



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_41293
Title of the Manuscript:	Numerical extrapolation of the species abundance distribution unveils the true species richness and the hierarchical structuring of a partially sampled marine gastropod community in the Andaman Islands (India)
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Manuscript entitled “ Numerical extrapolation of the species abundance distribution unveils the true species richness and the hierarchical structuring of a partially sampled marine gastropod community in the Andaman Islands (India) ” is a commendable work. Authors in sighted the numerical extrapolation of a partial inventory of gastropod community located on a rocky shore near Rangat, Andaman Islands, India. The work provide additional information related to all those species that remained undetected. Manuscript is well illustrative, informative and written in a very comprehensive manner along with proper citations. However, I suggest to consider the issue regarding the title of the manuscript mentioned below: Title of the manuscript is too lengthy, shorten it appropriately.	Thanks for the Review and comments As regard the title, I agree that it seems somewhat lengthy; yet after careful re-examination, I consider that each of the words is of significant importance and that any withdrawal would adversely alter the minimum information content that must be conveyed by the title of a scientific paper. Besides titles of that length although not common are yet not rare in the scientific literature. Accordingly, I do not wish to modify the title, at risk of becoming mis-representative.
Minor REVISION comments	Delete word “completed” in line no 15.	In fact the word “completed” may have seem out of relevance probably because the reason of this word had not been explained immediately : so I added this precision (underlined in yellow)
Optional/General comments	NA	