



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_40224
Title of the Manuscript:	APPLICATION OF GEOSPATIAL TECHNOLOGIES IN ASSESSING GULLY EROSION IN THE HUMID TROPICS OF ENIONG OFFOT, UYO, AKWA IBOM STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract should be written in the past tense and it should begin with key message sentence. Please, write your abstract to highlight the main points, results, conclusion and recommendations. Combine the content of background, Aims and objectives under topic "Introduction". For publication purpose, background, literature, objectives and statement of objective are summarised and combined as Introduction. Note: introduction part should be just a page and half. What you have written under background is too long. Also combine the Description of the study area and Research Methodology together as one under "Materials and Methods". Delete only the topics of method and Geospatial Analysis and Methods and GIS analysis and put them together under "Materials and Methods". Refrain from bulleting key points when publishing article. Table 1 is not clear and I don't know the import you want to deduce from it. I know gully has linear features consisting of at least two points. The last column "Usage", what do you mean about that. The conclusion is too long and it contains a lot of literatures and needless materials. Please, the first paragraph is enough for conclusion. Kindly delete the rest.	The abstract has been corrected using past tense. However, the main points, results, conclusion, and recommendations had already been captured. The introduction issue is based on the recommendation of the previous reviewer. It is not a tradition in the AJEE that the introduction must be one and a half page. Besides, the work is not much. I don't see the need for that. The materials and methods had already been corrected as recommended by previous reviewers. I don't agree with this reviewer, please. Table 1 is used in describing and providing insight regarding the location, height, length and width of the gully erosion. The conclusion and recommendations are combine together and a paragraph can be enough. The literature have been selectively removed as recommended, please.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The author lacks knowledge about publication guidelines and he/she must read more on that at the journal website. The results of the data are accurate and scientifically represented.	If there are issues that deviate from the AJEE there is need to indicate them. This is my first paper send for review under AJEE as must be done passionately. I only follow the sciencedomain format notably (JGEESI).