



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_40224
Title of the Manuscript:	APPLICATION OF GEOSPATIAL TECHNOLOGIES IN ASSESSING GULLY EROSION IN THE HUMID TROPICS OF ENIONG OFFOT, UYO, AKWA IBOM STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The general aim of the work does not fit a research paper. It is a goal for a technical report. 2. The 2 and 3 specific objectives are tasks and not objectives. 3. In the RESEARCH METHODOLOGY, instruments, field work, work methods and procedures with GIS are mixed. It is suggested to order according to a work criterion or make a methodological table. 4. The methodology used satellite images for image processing is not explained. 5. Moreover, the radiometric and geometric correction method of the images for the construction of the NDVI is not explained. Also not mentioned the classification type, statistical method used, justification. 6. The maps are very deformed 7. In the results it is recommended to present the results before the presentation of the figures and then discuss them 8. It is recommended to look the other paper format for the structure 9. The figures presented are not explained, there is only one reference of them. The results are insufficient. 10. In the description of figure 6 and graph 4 a result is explained that does not arrive with said representation.	That is noted and corrected accordingly. I'm sure objective and task goes together before it can accomplish The issue on method, instruments and fieldwork has been corrected as suggested but not necessarily with the use of Table. Satellite image was used in capturing the features presented as Figures 2 and 5 respectively. I don't think there is need for further explanation. That has been noted and corrected as suggested. The statistical method used is descriptive as depicted in Table 4; NDVI and Area coverage were analysed using GIS tools as reflected in the methodology. The deformation on maps is due to the arrangement to reduce page. This work is methodologically inclined. Emphasis is on the application of geospatial techniques and gully mapping. The useful figures and Tables are presented first, and then followed by discussion and is acceptable in geo-researches anywhere. That has been corrected and properly referenced now. The explanations are captured in the discussion and referred to accordingly It is Table 4 which is derived from Figure 5, and the graph derived from Table 4 and presented as figure 6 respectively. There are discussed in the discussion section and refer to accordingly.
I		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		