



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_39304
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study of Cyclists Activity Involvement, Place Attachment, Satisfaction and Loyalty
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	My general review of the paper is that a bit more work is needed before I can recommend publication. The authors failed to insert p-values or significance in the paper in the abstract and results. The author/s describes the method of data analyses but not their findings with statistical significance. The discussion is needs more work. The author/s need to compare their findings to present literature. Finally the paper needs to be sent for English language editing. The paper has six objectives, which is a bit to much. I recommend it should be reduced to 2 or 3 for this manuscript and use the others for subsequent papers. I recommend that the author/s consider these recommendations and address them.	revised
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		