

Original Research Article

VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT OF SOIL EROSION BASED ON TOPOGRAPHY AND VEGETATION COVER IN A DEVELOPING CITY OF ORLU L.G.A, SOUTH EAST NIGERIA

ABSTRACT

The problem of erosion has over time been exacerbated by the development and constructions of buildings, roads, poor drainage system and other urban characters on areas transiting from rural to urban setting. This study set out to evaluate the vulnerability of Orlu L.G.A., Nigeria, to erosion in the face of increased anthropogenic activities using a combination of Geographic Information System (GIS) and soil characteristics. Soil samples were collected and analysed for geotechnical/physical properties, slope was also measured. Satellite images were analyzed for changes in land use and land cover, while GPS coordinates of different points in the area were obtained and interpolated into a map to create digital elevation model (DEM). With the aid of the GIS, slope pattern was established. Urbanization has triggered some erosion problems in the study area. Gully formation was seen to have been induced mainly by poorly designed construction works. The study also established the degree and length of slope, the soil and inherent geologic formations of the study area are the primary factors of the gully erosion in the study area. From the GIS generated images, the northern and western section of the study area are prone to erosion hazards and this was confirmed by a field reconnaissance survey.

Keywords: Erosion, Vulnerability, Geographic Information System, Digital Elevation Model, Urbanization

25 1.0 INTRODUCTION

26 Soil erosion is one of the most serious land degradation problems all over the world, causing
27 irreversible land quality reduction (Jianping, et al., 2012). It has been defined as the removal
28 of the soil surface material by wind or water (Wischmeier & Smith, 1978). Water is the most
29 dominant agent of erosion where the process includes detachment, transportation and
30 deposition of sediments by raindrop impact and flowing water. Erosion is one of the major
31 problems in agriculture and natural resources management. It reduces soil productivity,
32 pollutes streams and fills reservoirs (Fangmeier, *et. al.*, 2006). Human activities such as
33 construction of roads, highways, and dams, control works on streams and rivers, mining, and
34 urbanization usually accelerate the process of erosion, transport, and sedimentation (Julien,
35 2010).

36 An important factor contributing significantly to soil erosion problems in southern Nigeria is
37 anthropogenic influence arising from misuse of land. Poor farming systems have contributed
38 to collapse of soil structure thus encouraging accelerated runoff and soil loss due to erosion.
39 The event of uncontrolled grazing caused by nomads has resulted in deforestation of the
40 landscape while indiscriminate foot paths created on the landscape has helped the incipient
41 channels on the landscape to form. These channels eventually metamorphose to gullies
42 especially when they are not checked at inception. Road constructions including uncontrolled
43 infrastructural developments have contributed significantly in gully developments. Some road
44 networks under construction have been abandoned in the region due to gully formation (Igwe,
45 2006).

46 According to (Landscape Planet, 2015) human activities, mainly agriculture and construction
47 on the earth's surface are known to exacerbate erosion ten times more than naturally
48 occurring processes. Construction when unnecessarily conducted can be quite damaging to
49 soil and dirt, while deforestation and agriculture remove the top soil and makes it prone to
50 erosion

51 The need for comfort and satisfaction of human desires, majorly because of increase in
52 human population and migration activities, has led to the transformation of rural landscapes
53 into urban landscapes. Thus, structures are developed and virgin areas and agricultural lands
54 restructured to follow suit. This act of urbanization results in changes unusual to the natural
55 environment, as it creates transformation in the land cover, slope and even the watershed of
56 the area (*Living Certificate Geography*, 2015).

57 In the context of environmental protection, most concerns about erosion are related to
58 accelerated erosion, where the natural rate has been significantly increased mostly by human
59 activity (Ionuş *et al.*, 2013. Erosion vulnerability has been mapped using GIS models
60 (Lufafa., et. al., 2003; Maidment, & Djokic, 2005).

61 It has been noted that natural as well as human-induced land use land cover change (LULC)
62 have had significant impacts on regional soil degradation, including soil erosion, soil
63 acidification, nutrient leaching, and organic matter depletion (Arabinda, 2010). Since the last

64 century, soil erosion accelerated by human activities has become a serious environmental
65 problem. It has a manifold environmental impact by negatively affecting water supply,
66 reservoir storage capacity, agricultural productivity, and freshwater ecology of the Study
67 area.

68 Geo-spatial modelling also known as digital terrain modelling is the study of ground surface
69 relief and pattern by numerical methods (Petrie & Kennie 1990; Aniah, Eni, Abua & Amama
70 2007). It has become integral to hydrology, tectonics, oceanography, climatology, and geo-
71 hazards assessment and involves a combination of Remote Sensing and Geographic
72 Information System (GIS) as tools in landscape analysis. The importance of GIS in landscape
73 analysis stems from its ability to combine through overlay different layers of data such as
74 land cover conditions and human pressure indices such as population density, and urban
75 expansion.

76
77 This paper assessed the vulnerability to soil erosion of an area transiting from the rural setting
78 to urban landscape. Prominent factors that necessitated this research were the poor soil
79 quality and terrain of the area. Parameters of urbanization include the proliferation of
80 structures, clearance of vegetative cover for urban purposes, road constructions in lieu of foot
81 tracks, and other significant changes on the land use and cover.

82 2.0 STUDY AREA

83 This study was carried out in Orlu Local Government Area of Imo State, Southeast Nigeria. It
84 has a total land area of 7.34km², and lies within latitude 5°43'45" to 5°53'00" and longitude
85 7°0'00" to 7°7'30". The study area was chosen because there are several gullies in the area
86 and it lies on an undulating highland. The population of the study area was 142,717 (NBS,
87 2006). It is the third largest urban centre in Imo State located on a sedimentary rock
88 formation within the Awka-Orlu uplands in a zone of sandy lateritic soil (Amangabara &
89 Otumchere (2016) (FIG.1). The climate is typically humid, the same as is obtainable in the
90 Southeastern region of Nigeria. There are two distinct seasons, the rainy and dry season. The
91 rainy season begins in April and ends in October, with higher intensity in June and late
92 September, while the dry season, begins in November and ends in March. The annual rainfall
93 varies between 1,990mm and 2,200mm. Temperature is generally high with little variation
94 during the year. The mean annual temperature is about 27°C with an average annual relative
95 humidity of 75 percent. The vegetation of Orlu is rain forest and the topography is
96 undulating. Inhabitants of the area are farmers producing products such as palm produce,
97 cocoa and rubber. The main staple crops are yam, cassava, cocoyam and maize. The economy
98 of the area depends largely on agriculture and commerce.

Comment [R1]: Source?

Comment [AP2]: General observation in the study area

Comment [R3]: What region?

Comment [AP4]: The study area

Comment [R5]: gullies

Comment [R6]: in 2006 stood at

Comment [AP7]: sentence has been re-phrased

Comment [R8]: Source?

Comment [AP9]: Amangabara & Otumchere 2016

Comment [R10]: ; the rainy and dry season.

Comment [AP11]: ?




Figure1: Map of the Study Area

3.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 TYPES OF DATA

Data for this study were obtained from both primary and secondary sources.

The primary data was obtained from direct field observations and a GPS (Extrex Garmin Version 16.0) instrument. The GPS was used to acquire the coordinates of specific areas used in assessing the terrain of the study area. While secondary data included the following satellite data: the NigeriaSat-X image of 2nd December 2013, acquired from GeoApps Plus with band combination 123 and 22 metre spatial resolution and the Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission (SRTM) data used for assessment of the topography of the area which was used to generate the slope map of the study area, acquired from U.S Geological Survey (USGS) Earth Explorer data archive, as well as publications from textbooks, journals, articles and the World Wide Web (www)

Software used include;

- a. **Arc-GIS 10.0:** Arc-Map was used for the vectorization and digitizing of the images to generate the map of the study area. ArcCatalogue was used in creating a personal geodatabase and shape-file used to generate the study area map.

Comment [R12]: May be using clipping tool in ArcGIS, it will be good to extract study area as in the squared area (that developing city) on fig 1 depending on the areal extent of the area. A recent satellite image may be very useful. Such delimitations makes analysis and presentation of results very clear

Comment [R13]: Not clear

Comment [AP14]: What is not clear please?

Comment [R15]: Not the same with the University of Maryland.

b. Surfer 10: This was used to generate the 3D Digital Elevation Model of the area in order to assess the nature of the terrain. The data used was based on the Longitude, Latitude and Altitude GPS data collected during the field survey

c. ERDAS IMAGING 9.2: Erdas Imagine 9.2 was used for spatial, spectral and radiometric enhancement. Spatial enhancement was carried out using a resolution merge model. It was also used to generate the land use land cover map revealing the current land use in the area

d. Other ancillary software used were:

Microsoft office word 2013, Microsoft office excel 2013 etc.

The mapped-out area was subjected to a supervised classification analysis and at this stage the domain of the spatial parameters mentioned above were adopted and further subjected to maximum likelihood classifier of the ERDAS software.

Land-use and land cover classification was done using the Anderson, *et. al.*, (1976), USGS classification scheme. Results describing the various disparities in vegetation, settlements, and the nature of the terrain are presented in maps, tables and histogram. The results in a table form showing disparities in spatial parameters using the number of pixels classified and the corresponding area in hectare.

Soil analysis for bulk density, dry density, moisture content, shear strength and atterberg limits was carried out in the laboratory using the USDA, NRCS (2004) soil survey laboratory methods.

4.0 RESULT PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION

4.1 LAND USE LAND COVER DISTRIBUTION OF THE STUDY AREA

The land use land cover classification results are presented in maps, as shown in Fig. 2




Figure 2: Land use land cover map of the study area 2013

In this study, three colour composite with RGB, R=Red, G=Green, B=Blue bands of Nigersat-X multispectral image was adopted for the Landuse/Landcover classification. The mid infrared portion of the spectrum is sensitive to active vegetation, blue represented the areas occupied by water bodies, white was used to represent areas covered by bare soil and brown was used to indicate areas with built-up surfaces. The composite image provides a naturalistic and earth view of the study area. The composite images revealed the drainage pattern of the study area to be linear. The raster attributes of the classification are shown in table 4.1

Table 4.1 The Raster Attributes for 2013 (Land Use Land Cover Distribution) Results

Class Name	Histogram	Opacity	Area(H)	Area (%)
Bare Surface	1805	1	87.362	0.672762
Water Body	80	1	3.872	0.029818
Vegetation	142440	1	6894.096	53.09044
Built-up	123972	1	6000.245	46.20702
Total	268297	4	12985.57	100

Comment [R16]: Is it near infrared or mid?

Comment [PKU17]: What should it had been?




Figure 3: Percentage distributions of classes from the LULC map.

According to the assessment of the LULC from the satellite imagery, it was revealed that vegetation occupied the highest percentage of the total area with an estimated land cover of about 53% of the total land cover classes, taking up more than half of the total classes. Whereas Built-up cover was the second largest with total of 45% of the entire study area, bare surface land with only 1% and water body occupying a very little space of the entire area as seen from the LULC map. 45% occupied by built-up area is huge indicating that the area is under intense urbanization which will ultimately lead to excessive surface water runoff from the impervious surfaces associated with urban landscape.

4.2 SOIL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE AREA

Table 1. Topography/Characteristics of Soil in the Study Area

Locations	Elevation (m)	Slope	Texture	Bulk Density	Dry Density	Moistur e content	Shear Strength	Atterber g Limits
Mgbidi	146	2°		1.58	1.64	14.67%	-9 kpa/ σ_{31}°	NP
Amaifeke	155	4°		1.51	1.63	16.79%	-8kpa/ σ_{30}°	NP
Ndiokwe	148	6°		1.65	1.31	13.31%	-15kpa/ σ_{31}°	NP
Okporo Rd	130.1	4°		1.48	1.71	14.08%	6 kpa/ σ_{30}°	NP
Ogberuru	155	7°		1.49	1.59	15.68%	5 kpa/ σ_{29}°	NP

- Shear strength Cohesion (kpa)/angle of internal friction (σ°)

The LULC show vegetation occupied the highest percentage of the total area with an estimated land cover of about 53% of the total land cover classes, taking up more than half of the total classes. While Built-up cover is 45% of the entire study area, the area occupied by built-up area is huge indicating that the area is under intense urbanization which will

Comment [R18]: (m)

Comment [R19]: How (method) this was measured is not in the methods.

Comment [AP20]: It will eat up space. See line 133 - 135

Comment [R21]: Provide method in methodology

Comment [R22]: Same

Comment [R24]: Same

Comment [R25]: same

Comment [R23]: same

ultimately lead to excessive surface water runoff from the impervious surfaces associated with urban landscape. Table 1 above shows that Slope is between 3.49% to 12.28%, permeability 1.7×10^{-2} while the Atterberg limit of the soil showed that the soil in the area is non-plastic.

4.2 EROSION VULNERABILITY MAPPING RESULTS

Geostatistical model (GM) and Geographic Information System (GIS) are used as the Decision Support System (DSS) for the examination of the vulnerability rate of gully erosion (Lu., *et. al.*, 2004). In this study, the slope of the area was generated and the terrain of the study area was also assessed in order to visualize the undulation of the terrain.

4.2.1 SLOPE PATTERN OF THE AREA

See figure 4 for the map of slope pattern of the study area.




Figure 4: Slope Map of the Area

The legend shows slope of eight (8) categories. The slope here is the gradient of the particular zone as indicated in the classified map. Areas of higher slope values show the areas vulnerable to erosion as a result steep slopes and the possible movement of runoff. This implies that areas with increasing slope values shows runoff /moving water runs into the

Comment [R26]: What's the implication on soil erosion in the area?

Comment [AP27]: A non-plastic do not bind and are easily eroded, once the top layer or cover is removed.

Comment [R28]: Source of DEM from which slope was generated?

189 gullies or flows in the direction of the eroded areas. Essentially water runs off from the areas
190 of higher value to the areas of lower value.

191 The areas under 555-777 metres are valleys and areas between 0-314 metres are well
192 represented in the area, it is from this zone that runoff are generated, is a gentle slope (2-
193 7°). between 3.49% to 12.28%). The image shows a lesser distribution of the very steep
194 places and a larger distribution of the slope regions below the “yellow” mark places. This
195 indicates that higher slope areas will trigger higher water runoff. Consequently, the areas
196 where runoff is generated with poor erodibility characteristics are highly vulnerable to soil
197 erosion while the “red” places serves as sink receiving sediments from the gully erosion. This
198 vulnerable area are in the North and Northwest section of the study area, communities within
199 this boundary are Amaifeke, Ogberuru, Ezizama, Amopara and Umuna. And these places are
200 known as the erosion precinct of Orlu.

201
202 Superimposing the LULC distribution, the areas associated with poor soil conditions and
203 slope are also highly built-up. The observation drawn here is that, Urbanization generates
204 runoff from impervious surface and because the area has a gentle slope of about 12.28% with
205 poor soil cohesive quality (soil being non-plastic) and lateritic sands, runoff quickly generate
206 knick-points which ultimately develop into rills and gullies, these gullies due to the unstable
207 nature of their banks heave in in the form of landslides and cause secondary environmental
208 problems.

209 The perch of high slope in the south part of Ezizama indicates the same event as we see the
210 much built-up community close to the water body.

211 4.2.2 ELEVATION MODEL

212 FIG. 5 is a display of the elevation pattern evident in the study area.

Comment [R29]: Not clear. Revise

Comment [R30]: No, this show very steep areas, probably the escarpment. See Horton (1945) erosional development of streams and their drainage basins. A projected DEM will give better results.

Comment [AP31]: It is a steep valley part of the tributary of Orashi river (Ezize Asa Stream) and the boundary between Orlu and Ideato

Comment [R32]: The idea here is the revise

Comment [AP33]: Result as presented is correct

Comment [R34]: North East or West?

Comment [AP35]: North West




Figure 5: Digital Elevation Model Showing the Area Vulnerable to Erosion.

The elevation patterns revealed by this DEM gives a general representation of the topographic conditions of the study area.

As a result of the spatial resolution of the Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission data used to generate the digital elevation model, the results display the geomorphologic characteristics that facilitate the development and formation of gullies inherent in the area.

From the results of the area, it was concluded that: Areas within the elevation of 230m-170m are regarded as highly vulnerable to erosion. The areas within the elevation of 170m-140m are regarded as moderately vulnerable to erosion and landslide, this is due to the increased steepness and length of the slope which invariably increases the velocity and volume of surface runoff.

While the areas within the elevation of 140m- below were classified are prone to flood and sedimentation.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The conclusion reached in this work is that the communities in the North and Northwest of the study area (e.g. Amaifeke, Ogberuru, Eziana, Amoparaetc.) are highly vulnerable to erosion principally because they are on a gentle and long topography with a slope of between 3 – 13%, the soil condition is also contributory as the area is underlain by the Bende-Ameki formation which is characteristically composed of fractured sandstones inter-bedded with siltstones and shales which are generally permeable. The sandstones are coarse, cross bedded, fine gray and sandy clay, weak, feeble sedimentary geologic condition thus making them have weak cohesion, poor angle of internal friction, poor bulk and dry density. This scenario is compounded by the processes and activities of urbanization which generally leads to

237 increased surface runoff from impervious surfaces and weakening of soil colloids by
238 construction of buildings/roads and especially the ongoing construction at Eastern Palm
239 University and Stadium, which required land excavation.

240 5.1 RECOMMENDATION

241 Based on the level of vulnerability of erosion in the study area, this study recommends that
242 the urban planning unit of the government should engineer and properly channel runoffs in
243 the area efficiently to nearby streams armoring them as they empty into the streams. The
244 residents of the area should manage the drainage systems by ensuring that the drainage
245 systems are free of debris and clogs to enhance flow of runoff after rainfall as this will reduce
246 the effect on the soil structure of the area. Development on slopes should only be carried out
247 after careful environmental impact assessment

248 REFERENCES

- 249 Anderson, J. R., Hardy E.E., Roach, J. T., and Witmer, R. E. (1976). *A Land Use and Land*
250 *Cover Classification System for Use with Remote Sensor Data*. Geological Survey
251 Professional Paper No. 964, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. p.
252 28.
- 253
- 254 Aniah, E.J., Eni, D.D., Abua, M.A., and Amama, S.A. (2007). Geospatial modelling of
255 the Obudu Cattle Ranch, Cross River State, Nigeria. *Journal of*
256 *Contemporary Research* 4, 309-328.
- 257 Arabinda, S. S. (2013). *Erosion Mapping And Sediment Yield Of The Kabul River Basin,*
258 *Afghanistan*. Colorado: Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering,
259 University of Colorado.
- 260 Arabinda, S. (2010). Effect of land use land cover change on soil. *Environmental*
261 *Monitoring And Assessment*, p. 4.
- 262 Fangmeier, D.D. Elliot, W. J. Workman, S. R. Huffman, R. L. Schwab, G. O. (2006). *Soil*
263 *erosion by water. Soil and Water Conservation Engineering, 5th ed.* New York:
264 Thomson Delmar Learning.
- 265 Igwe, C. A. (2006). *Gully Erosion in Southeastern Nigeria: Role of Soil Properties and*
266 *Environmental Factors*. Nsukka: Department of Soil Science, University of Nigeria,
267 Nsukka, Nigeria.
- 268 Ionuș, O., Boengiu, S., Licurici, M., Popescu, L., Simulescu, D. (2013). *Mapping Soil Erosion*
269 *Susceptibility Using GIS*. Romania, Romania: University of Craiova, Romania –
270 Geography Department.
- 271 Jianping, G., Tao, N., Rahimy, P., Fu, W., Zhao, H., & Jiahua, Z. (2012). *Assesment of Soil*
272 *Erosion Subseptibility Using Empirical Modeling*. Beijing, China: Acta
273 Meteorologica Sinica.

274 Julien, P. (2010). *Erosion and Sedimentation*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University
275 Press.

276 *Landscape Planet*. (2015, September 10). Retrieved from Human Causes of Erosion:
277 <http://www.landscapeplanet.com/maintenance-1-cause-of-erosion.htm>.

278 *Living Certificate Geography*. (2015). Retrieved September 2015, from Examine How
279 Human Activities Can Accelerate Soil Erosion and Methods Used to Prevent this:
280 <http://lcgeography.preswex.ie/how-human-activities-can-accelerate-soil-erosion.html>

281 Lu, D., Li, G., Valladares, G. S., & Batistella, M. (2004). Mapping soil erosion risk in
282 Rondonia, Brazilian Amazonia: using RUSLE, remote sensing and GIS. *Land*
283 *Degradation & Development*, 15(5): 499—512.

284 Lufafa, A., Tenywa, A. M., Isabirye, M., & Majaliwa, M. (2003). Prediction of soil Erosion
285 in a lake victoria basian catchment using a GIS based Universal Soil loss model. In
286 *Agricultural Systems* (pp. 883—894).

287 Maidment, D. R., & Djokic, D. (2005). *Hydrologic and hydraulic modeling support with*
288 *geographic information systems*. Redlands, CA: ESRI Press.

289 NBS (2006). Official Gazette, *Legal notice on publication of the breakdown of the National*
290 *and state provisional totals 2006 census*. National Bureau of Statistics.

291 Ogba, C. U. (2008). Integrated approach to urban flood adaptation in the niger delta coast of
292 nigeria. *Integrating generations, FIG working week 2008*.

293 Petrie, G. and Kennie, T.J.M. (eds), (1990). *Terrain Modelling in Surveying and Civil*
294 *Engineering*. New York: Whittles Publishing.

295

296 Stroosnijder, L. (2005). Measurement of soil erosion: Is it possible? *Catena*, 64:162—172.

297 USDA (2004). Soil Survey; Staff Soil Taxonomy 2nd Ed. United States Department of Agriculture,
298 National Resources Conservation Service. Washington

299 Wischmeier, W. H., & Smith, D. D. (1978). Predicting Rainfall Erosion Losses - a guide to
300 conservation Planning. USDA/ARS, Washington, D. C., (Agricultural handbook n°
301 537), 58p.

302

303