

Original Research Article

Influence of some environmental variables on the zooplankton community of Aguieira reservoir (Iberian Peninsula, Portugal): Spatial and temporal trends

ABSTRACT

This research present research was aimed at assessing to assess the spatial and temporal trends in the zooplankton community of Aguieira reservoir at the Mondego catchment (Iberian Peninsula, Portugal) in response to some environmental variables. A total of 24 zooplankton as well as and water samples were collected in the months of March (spring), May (early summer), September (late summer) and December (winter) of 2010 and 2011, from three sampling sites. The samples were analysed following standard procedures, data from these samples was obtained by the Descriptive (range, percentage) and multivariate statistics (Non-metric Dimensional Scaling (n-MDS), Bray-Curtis distance, Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA)) were utilised in data analysis. Altogether, Twenty-three (23) zooplankton species were recorded which included consisting of Rotifera (12), Cladocera (8) and Copepoda (3). were recorded. In terms of abundance, Rotifera (*Keratella* spp. and *Polyarthra* sp.) dominated the zooplankton community in May and September, while Copepoda (*Copidodiaptomus numidicus* and *Tropocyclops prasinus*) was most dominant to overtook in December and March. Cladocera was the least abundant taxon. The most of which abundant cladoceran were *Daphnia longispina* and *Bosmina coregoni* has shown abundance from (December-March), whereas *Chydorus sphaericus*, *Ceriodaphnia pulchella* and *Diaphanosoma brachyurum* from (May-September). Results of n-MDS showed that environmental variables and zooplankton community exhibited similar spatial and inter-annual patterns with environmental variables and zooplankton community. However, there were differences between the samples collected in the months of March/December and May/September in both the years. Results of CCA revealed water temperature and algal biomass as the main environmental gradients that influenced the zooplankton community. Since some of the observed changes in the zooplankton composition might have been induced by other complex abiotic/biotic interactions. Therefore this study therefore concluded that suggests further research is required to understand the complexity of the interactions between biological, environmental and climatic parameters of the reservoir. Nevertheless, Understanding the dynamics and nature of zooplankton communities is crucial for the implementation of good management practices for reservoirs.

Keywords: zooplankton, Cladocera, Copepoda, Rotifera, reservoir, Iberian Peninsula, Portugal

1. INTRODUCTION

Zooplankton community is the result of colonisation followed by species selection processes. Both, colonisation and selection, depend on physical and chemical water conditions of water in the reservoirs. Water quality is directly influenced by watershed geology, climate and by the degree of human disturbance [1]. Therefore, understanding the dynamics and nature of zooplankton communities may constitute a source of information to implement correct management practices. This will in turn in order to maintain water quality of the reservoirs in a perspective of multiple-use approach of these ecosystems. Indeed, zooplankton, despite of not being recognised as a biological quality element by the European Union Water Framework Directive, they shows quick responses to environmental changes and so, it can be regarded as a valuable indicator to evaluate for ecosystem integrity. This value fact steams is evident from the zooplankton position in the food web. : #They constitutes form a

Formatted

... [1]

Formatted

... [2]

crucial link in the energy transfer to higher trophic levels being a keystone on ecosystem functioning. Moreover, its size, structure, reproduction and survival, among other characteristics, are affected not only by environmental variables (e.g., water temperature), but also by both top-down (fish or invertebrates) and bottom-up (nutrient level and phytoplankton) controls. Thus, the zooplankton community structure reflects the variability of environmental factors, disturbance events and ecosystem resilience [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

The present paper is focused on the zooplankton community patterns in the Aguieira reservoir, an impoundment built in-on the River Mondego catchment. The aim of this research was: (1) to characterize zooplankton community and (2) to assess how zooplankton community responds to the variations of several environmental parameters.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Study site

Aguieira Reservoir (40°19'53, 83°N; 8° 11'47, 76°W) with an altitude of 441 m is located in the Iberian Peninsula, in Portugal, on the Mondego River catchment in Portugal (Figure 1). In the reservoir region, where this reservoir is located, the climate is influenced by the Mediterranean with warm, dry summers and mild winters. The area of the reservoir is 2000 ha and its total capacity is $423030 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3$. Maximum depth is about 50 m. This reservoir was filled for the first time in 1981, and it is used mainly to generate hydro electrical power and to provide urban water supply. The catchment is occupied with intensive forestry, agriculture, livestock production and by several medium sized towns. The main industrial activities are olive oil and textiles industries. Further information concerning this reservoir can be found in Anonymous (2005).



Fig. 1. Aguieira Reservoir location and sampling sites.

Reservoir's map was adapted from [9]

2.1 Field sampling and laboratory analysis

A total of 24 zooplankton and as well as water samples were collected in the months of March (spring), May (early summer), September (late summer) and December (winter) in the years 2010 and 2011 at three sampling sites: P1, P2 and P3 (Figure 1).

Chlorophyll a concentrations (CHL a) were calculated from water samples taken with a van Dorn bottle at 2 m intervals in the euphotic zone (the extension of euphotic zone was considered to be about twice the

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Underline, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Secchi depth). CHL a concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically after overnight extraction in 90% acetone [10]. Water temperature, pH and conductivity were determined "in situ" using a multiparameter probe (YSI 6820). Water transparency was estimated as Secchi disk depth (20 cm diameter black and white disk). Zooplankton was collected on each sampling date and site by taking two vertical hauls using a 64 µm mesh size Wisconsin type net equipped with a flowmeter. Per each haul 100Hundred litres of water were filtered per each haul. Samples were concentrated to a volume of 100 ml. Animals were anaesthetised with carbonated water and preserved in sugar-saturated formaldehyde (4% final concentration). Depending on the density, zooplankton in 5, 10, 20 ml sub-samples or in total sample were counted and identified to the genera/species level. Carlson's Trophic State Index (TSI) [11] was computed from Secchi disk transparency (TSI (SD)), and from CHL a concentrations (TSI (CHL)).

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto

2.2 Data analysis

Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling (n-MDS) was used to determine whether environmental variables and zooplankton community had changed seasonally, yearly and spatially. Bray-Curtis distance was used to measure the dissimilarity between samples. In this method, samples were arranged in a continuum that those close together are similar and those which are far apart are dissimilar. Statistical differences between clusters identified in n-MDS plot were investigated by a randomization method, ANOSIM [12]. This method employs R statistics to examine the existence of differences between the established groups for each considered factor (groups and differences between sampling sites, seasons and years). To determine the influence of the studied environmental variables on zooplankton composition, a Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) was performed. In both analyses, taxa were included only if they reached a relative abundance larger than 1%. Absolute zooplankton counts were transformed to log (x+1), and rare species were down weighted. In CCA the automatic forward selection procedure by Monte Carlo permutation tests (9999 permutations) was used to remove the redundant environmental variables, allowing the selection of those contributing to the explanation of the whole data set [13].

3. RESULTS

3.1 Environmental variables

Environmental variables showed similar values during both years in each sampling site (Table 1). Spatial and inter-annual variations in these parameters were not relevant. However, n-MDS ordination (Figure 2) was able to differentiate two groups: samples obtained in December and March (winter and spring) from those obtained in May and September (early and late summer) (2D stress: 0.02). ANOSIM test confirmed significant differences between the two groups (R= 0.829; p<0.001). The highest values for water temperature, pH, CHL a concentrations were observed in the samples obtained in May and September. Conversely, the highest values of Secchi disk depth were observed in December and March. According to Carlson's Trophic State Index (the two components together- TSI (SD) and TSI (CHL a), this reservoir was classified as mesotrophic in December and March (the values ranged between 24 and 42 for both components of the index). In May and September, it was eutrophic (values of both components of the index (SD and CHL a reached 57).

Table1. Minimum-maximum range of environmental variables obtained for 2010 and 2011 in from the different sampling sites.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Parameter	2010			2011		
	P1	P2	P3	P1	P2	P3
Water temperature (° C)	11.9-23.0	12.2-23.2	12.8-22.8	14.7-25.0		13.4-25.3

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

					14.2-26.0	
pH	7.3-9.9	7.2-10.1	7.3-10.0	7.1-9.8	7.2-10.2	7.3-9.7
Conductivity ($\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$)	70.4-104.5	76.2-100.1	68.8-94.6	64.8-104.5	63.4-102.1	62.0-102.6
Secchi disk (m)	1.5-3.0	1.5-3.0	1.5-3.0	1.2-4.0	1.2-5.0	1.2-5.5
Chlorophyll a ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$)	0.4-16.2	0.4-14.2	1.4-15.7	0.5-14.4	0.9-10.7	0.5-5.9

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto




Fig. 2. Results of n-MDS ordination considering environmental variables.

Sampling sites: P1, P2, P3

Dez, Mar, Mai, Set: Samples obtained in December, March, May and September, respectively.

3.2 Zooplankton community

During the sampling period 12 taxa of Rotifera, 8 of Cladocera and 3 of Copepoda were recorded (Table 2). The zooplankton community was dominated in May and September by Rotifera (mainly *Keratella* and *Polyarthra*), whereas Copepoda (mainly *Copidodiaptomus numidicus* and *Tropocyclops prasinus*) were dominant in December and March. Cladocera was the less abundant taxon. *Daphnia* was represented in December and March, mainly by *Daphnia longispina* and *Bosmina coregoni*. Conversely, in May and September by *Chydorus sphaericus*, *Ceriodaphnia pulchella* and *Diaphanosoma brachyurum* became dominant (Figures 3, 4 and 5). n-MDS ordination (Figure 6) was able to differentiate the occurring shifts between the samples obtained in December and March and those obtained in May and September (2D stress: 0.12). ANOSIM test confirmed the significant differences between the two groups ($R=0.803$; $p<0.001$).

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Table 2. Taxonomic composition of zooplankton community between 2010 and 2011.

ROTIFERA	CLADOCERA	COPEDODA
<i>Asplanchna priodonta</i>	<i>Alona</i> sp.	<i>Acanthocyclops robustus</i>
<i>Brachionus</i> sp.	<i>Bosmina coregoni</i>	<i>Copidodiaptomus numidicus</i>
<i>Conochilus</i> sp.	<i>Bosmina longirostris</i>	<i>Tropocyclops prasinus</i>
<i>Filinia</i> sp.	<i>Ceriodaphnia pulchella</i>	Nauplii
<i>Gastropus</i> sp.	<i>Chydorus sphaericus</i>	
<i>Hexarthra mira</i>	<i>Daphnia longispina</i>	
<i>Keratella cochlearis</i> f. <i>cochlearis</i>	<i>Daphnia parvula</i>	
<i>Keratella cochlearis</i> f. <i>tecta</i>	<i>Diaphanosma brachyurum</i>	
<i>Ploeosoma</i> sp.		
<i>Polyarthra</i> sp.		
<i>Synchaeta</i> sp.		
<i>Trichocerca</i> sp.		




Fig. 3. Relative abundance (%) of Rotifera, Copepoda and Cladocera in the sampling sites from March to December 2010 and 2011.




Fig. 4. Spatial-temporal variation of relative abundance and composition of the most abundant zooplankton taxa in March, May, September and December 2010.




Fig. 5. Spatial-temporal variation of relative abundance and composition of the most abundant zooplankton taxa in March, May, September and December, 2011.

Formatted: Font color: Auto




Fig. 6. Results of n-MDS ordination considering zooplankton.

P1, P2, P3 - sampling sites.

Dez, Mar, Mai Set-Samples obtained in December, March, May and September, respectively.

The diagram depicted on figure 7 resulted from CCA analysis applied to the most correlated environmental variables and zooplankton taxa. The ordination space defined by the first two CCA axes accounted for 70% of species-environment relation and represented 45% of the variation in species data. With forward selection and Monte Carlo permutation test, a sub-set of environmental variables that significantly ($p < 0.05$) explained the variation in species data was identified. In descending order of significance, the variables included in that sub-set were: water temperature ($P = 0.0000$), CHL a ($P = 0.004$), Secchi disk depth ($P = 0.004$) and conductivity ($P = 0.009$). Therefore, some species/genera were found to be associated to the increase of water temperature and of algal biomass (CHL a concentrations). These were all the Rotifera (excepting *Gastropus* sp.) and the Cladocera *Ceriodaphnia pulchella*, *Diaphanosma brachyurum* and *Chydorus sphaericus*. Whereas, Copepoda and the Cladocera *Daphnia longispina*, *Bosmina coregoni* and *B. longirostris* were related to lower water temperature, algal biomass and to the increasing of water transparency (higher Secchi disc depth).

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto




Fig. 7. Results of CCA.

Scatters with samples: March 2010 – red circles; May 2010 – blue stars; September 2010 – yellow squares; December 2010 – pink rectangles; March 2011 – green triangles; May 2011 – dark blue circles; September 2011 – green diamonds; December 2011 – light blue circles.

4. DISCUSSION

During the period of study, zooplankton community followed a pattern strongly influenced by two gradients. The first was the temporal gradient that is related to temperature, and the other was the trophic gradient, related to algal biomass (CHL a), which ultimately influenced Secchi disk depth (Figures 4, 5, 6 and 7). A seasonal succession was apparent in this zooplankton community, with species dominating under in turn as changing suitable conditions respectively became suitable for each. In March and December (winter and early spring), *Tropocyclops prasinus*, *Copidodiaptomus numidicus*, *Daphnia longispina* and *Bosmina coregoni* were more abundant, and some of them became virtually absent in May and September (early and late summer), being replaced by Rotifera, *Ceriodaphnia pulchella*, *Diaphanosoma brachyurum* and *Chydorus sphaericus*, which are more thermophilic and typical for more eutrophic environments [14, 15]. This shift was also influenced by algal biomass and composition: in May and September, phytoplankton community is was dominated by Cyanobacteria [16, 17, authors' unpublished data]. The conditions created by late spring and summer temperatures and irradiance combined with a plausible higher level of nutrients must have been provided the ecological optimum for Cyanobacteria dominance [18, 19]. It is well known that either by being of low nutritional value, by clogging the feeding apparatus or by producing toxins Cyanobacteria may be important drivers in zooplankton composition and abundance [20, 21, 22]. In the presence of Cyanobacteria, zooplankton composition is changed frequently changed, where large Cladocera (such as *Daphnia longispina*) and herbivorous Copepoda (such as *Copidodiaptomus numidicus*) are often replaced by Rotifera and other zooplankters specializing on small particle feeding, which whose food preferences are mostly detritus-

247 bacteria [2]. Similar results were observed in other reservoirs influenced by Mediterranean climate [23,
248 24, 25, 26].

250 5. CONCLUSION

252 The preliminary results of the present study suggest that temperature had a direct and strong influence on
253 the zooplankton community patterns. However, a wide range of physical, chemical and biotic interactions
254 is are likely to influence zooplankton abundance and community structure. Therefore, it is necessary to be
255 cautious when relating the observed shifts exclusively with temperature and in the presence of
256 Cyanobacteria presence. Some of the observed changes might have been inducedbe influenced by the
257 other complex abiotic/biotic interactions independently of those studied in the present approach. Thus,
258 further research will be required in order to understand the complexity of the interactions between
259 biological, environmental and climatic parameters in this reservoir.

261 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

262 To the anonymous reviewers whose suggestions helped much to improve the present manuscript.

266 REFERENCES

- 267 1. Wetzel RG. Limnology - Lake and River Ecosystems, 3rd ed. New York: Academic Press; 2001.
- 268 2. Lampert W. Sommer U. Limnoecology - the ecology of lakes and streams. New York: Oxford
269 University Press; 1997.
- 270 3. Jeppesen E, Nöges P, Davidson TA, Haberman J, Nöges T, Blank K, et al. Zooplankton as indicators
271 in lakes: a scientific-based plea for including zooplankton in the ecological quality assessment of
272 lakes according to the European Water Framework Directive (WFD). Hydrobiologia. 2011; 676: 279-
273 97.
- 274 4. Jeppesen E, Jensen JP, Sondergaard M, Lauridsen T. Trophic dynamics in turbid and clear water
275 lakes with special emphasis on the role of zooplankton for water clarity. Hydrobiologia. 1999; 408:
276 217-31.
- 277 5. Pereira R, Soares AMVMR, Ribeiro F, Gonçalves F. Assessing the trophic state of Linhos lake: a first
278 step towards ecological rehabilitation. Journal of Environmental Management. 2002; 64: 285–97.
- 279 6. Abrantes N, Nogueira A, Gonçalves F. 2009 - Short-term dynamics of cladocerans in a eutrophic
280 shallow lake during a shift in the phytoplankton dominance. Annales de Limnologie - International
281 Journal of Limnology. 2009; 45: 237-45.
- 282 7. Obertegger U, Borsato A, Flaim G. Rotifer-crustacean interactions in a pseudokarstic lake: influence
283 of hydrology. Aquatic Ecology. 2010; 44: 121-30.
- 284 8. Haberman J, Haldna M. Indices of zooplankton community as valuable tools in assessing the trophic
285 state and water quality of eutrophic lakes: long term study of Lake Võrtsjärv. J. Limnol. 2014; 73(2):
286 263-73.
- 287 9. Anonymous. Plano de ordenamento da Albufeira da Agueira. Direcção de serviços de utilizações do
288 domínio hídrico divisão de ordenamento e protecção. Instituto da Água; 2005. Portuguese.
- 289 10. APHA. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 17th ed. Washington:
290 American Public Health Association; 1989.

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Comment [U1]: Rajeev please see if «might have been induced» is ok
There is a reviewer that claims that been have to be deleted; I do not agree because it is meant that those changes were induced by ...I think the problem is because «by» was missing

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Portuguese (Portugal)

- 301 11. Carlson RE. A trophic state index for lakes. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 1977; 22: 361-69.
- 302
- 303 12. Clarke KR, Warwick RM. Change in marine communities: An approach to statistical analysis and
- 304 interpretation. Natural Environmental Research Council, UK; 1994.
- 305
- 306 13. Ter Braak CJF. Ordination. In: Jongman RHG, Ter Braak CJF, van Tongeren OFR, editors. Data
- 307 Analysis in Community and Landscape Ecology. Cambridge: University press; 1995.
- 308
- 309 14. Sladeczek V. Rotifers as indicators of water quality. *Hydrobiologia* 1983; 100:169-201.
- 310
- 311 15. Verbitskii VB, Verbitskaya TI, Malysheva OA. Population dynamics of *Daphnia longispina* (O.F.
- 312 Müller, 1785) and *Diaphanosoma brachyurum* (Lievin, 1848) (Crustacea, Cladocera) under stable
- 313 and graded temperature regimes. *Biol. Bull. Russ. Acad. Sci.* 2009; 66-73.
- 314 16. Oliveira MRL, Monteiro MT. "Blooms" de Cyanophyceae na Albufeira da Aguieira: Efeitos na
- 315 qualidade da água e no zooplâncton. *Relat. Téc. Cient. INIP.* 1992; 61. Portuguese.
- 316
- 317 17. Vasconcelos V. Toxic Cyanobacteria In the Mondego basin reservoirs. An overview. In: Pardal MA,
- 318 Marques JC, Graça MA, editors. Aquatic ecology of the Mondego River Basin: Global importance of
- 319 local experience. Coimbra: Imprensa da Universidade de Coimbra; 2002.
- 320
- 321 18. Barone R, Castelli G, Naselli-Flores L. Red sky at night cyanobacteria delight: the role of climate in
- 322 structuring phytoplankton assemblage in a shallow, Mediterranean lake (Biviere di Gela, southeastern
- 323 Sicily). *Hydrobiologia.* 2010; 639:43 - 53.
- 324
- 325
- 326 19. Huber V, Wagner C, Gerten D, Adrian R. To bloom or not to bloom: contrasting responses of
- 327 cyanobacteria to recent heat waves explained by critical thresholds of abiotic drivers. *Oecologia.*
- 328 2009; 169(1): 245-56.
- 329
- 330 20. Geller W, Müller H. The Filtration Apparatus of Cladocera: Filter Mesh-Sizes and their Implications on
- 331 Food Selectivity. *Oecologia.* 1981; 49: 316 -21.
- 332
- 333 21. Łotocka M. Toxic effect of cyanobacterial blooms on the grazing activity of *Daphnia magna* Straus.
- 334 *Oceanologia.* 2001; 43: 441-53.
- 335
- 336 22. Sarnelle O, Gustafsson S, Hansson LA. Effects of cyanobacteria on fitness components of the
- 337 herbivore *Daphnia*. *Journal of Plankton Research.* 2010; 32(4): 471-77.
- 338
- 339 23. Geraldles AM, Boavida MJ. What factors affect the pelagic cladocerans of the meso-eutrophic Azibo
- 340 reservoir? *Annales de Limnologie – International Journal of Limnology.* 2004; 40: 101-11.
- 341
- 342 24. Geraldles AM, Boavida MJ. Zooplankton assemblages in two reservoirs: one subjected to
- 343 accentuated water level fluctuations, the other with more stable water levels. *Aquat Ecol.* 2007;
- 344 41:273–84.
- 345
- 346 25. Caramujo MJ, Boavida MJ. The crustacean communities of River Tagus reservoirs. Zooplankton
- 347 structure as reservoir trophic state indicator. *Limnética.* 2000; 18: 37-56.
- 348
- 349 26. Castro BB, Antunes SC, Pereira R, Soares AMVM, Gonçalves F. Rotifer community structure in three
- 350 shallow lakes: seasonal fluctuations and explanatory factors. *Hydrobiologia,* 2005: 543: 221–32.

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: English (United Kingdom)

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

[illegible]

[illegible]