



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                         |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</a>                              |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJEE_37972                                                                           |
| Title of the Manuscript: | INDIGENOUS LAND TENURE SYSTEM AS A HINDRANCE TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF PANDAM WILDLIFE PARK |
| Type of the Article      |                                                                                         |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Line 40-41: The statement beginning with "although" seems to be incomplete. Delete "and" or revise it otherwise,</li> <li>Results and discussion sections appear to be highly summarised to the extent that they do not tell the reader how indigenous land tenure system has socially, economically, politically, ecologically and environmentally hindered the development of Pandam Wildlife Park. For instance, has land tenure system affected tourism infrastructure development or wildlife operations? Is tenure system responsible for encroachment? If yes, how? What about human-wildlife conflicts? How has land tenure system affected rural participation? In other words, the study leaves the reader with more questions than answers. May be by defining the scope of the study may neutralise some of the questions.</li> </ol> |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Line 6: use problem not problems</li> <li>Line 26: you may replace at with towards</li> <li>Line 96: you may replace numbers with number</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A good paper but it is not clear on what aspects of development the study tackled/assessed.</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                               |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                                      |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Adonia K. K. Bintoor</b>                          |
| Department, University & Country | <b>School of Sciences, Nkumba University, Uganda</b> |