



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_35972
Title of the Manuscript:	DELINEATION OF RIVER WATERSHED AND STREAM NETWORK USING ILWIS 3.7.1 ACADEMIC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 1-22: Abstract needs major revision • The objective of the study need to be changed or improve • The need of 90m STRM DEM than 30m STRM DEM is not justified clearly with convincing sentence. Line 42: abbreviation should start at the beginning of GIS not here Line 46: their paper? It is not proper term to cite Line 49-54: please summarize this section in more understandable manner? Justify the use of 90m STRM DEM than 30m STRM DEM Line 55-57: Don't always start the citation at the beginning try to mix in order to make attractive for the reader? Line 23-68: where is your clear problem statement and the benefit of this research finding? Please state your problem and the importance of this finding? Line 66-68: This is not part of your objective; Please use more appropriate and comprehensive objective sentence. Line 70: Please follow the proper manuscript format so insert this section in methodology or introduction section. Line 73-74: already abbreviated above so use uniform format eg. GIS Line 70-121: this is not important for the reader here, it need complete summarize sentence under methodology. Similarly, it needs citation of the source. Or use this in the methodology section with short summary and citation. Line 123: Please provide information about the study site description such as topography, soil, hydrology, vegetation, etc Line 128: change this figure and better to use map of the study with topography	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 130-145: This is the techniques used so need an independent section under methodology. In general it is repetition of the above listed section so delete the above section before methodology. Line 146-162: Provide an independent section for this part watershed delineation using topographic map. Line 162: where is the accuracy and other form the delineation. In general where is the technicalities used to measure your delineation accuracy and other important issues in GIS. Line 164: don't start by saying figure...it is not attractive Line 177-180: This is not important to least it here. Line 164-192: where is your discussion with other findings elsewhere or related topic? Line 199-202: This is your only result after all and it poor for publication. Line 206: you have cited few documents that is below the minimum standard for manuscript preparation the reference format is not uniform so use uniform format.	
Minor REVISION comments	All section need intensive revision to be document.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript was constructed by very poor objective, so that it has no or very few contribution for science and knowledge generation. The document can be used technical report or small verification case study. It does not fit for manuscript level due to the technical drawbacks in the document.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Daniel Jaleta Negasa</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Ethiopia</i>