



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_30661
Title of the Manuscript:	Zooplankton Community Structure in a Deep Reservoir: Seasonal Trends and Structuring Variables
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title should be recast The word seasonal should be deleted from the title. The results were not presented in terms of seasons. An introductory paragraph of why the research work is important is necessary. For instance: Freshwater resources are prone to extinction due to anthropogenic pollution of water ways. This study was aimed at... Go to Line 2 of the abstract: The coordinates of Aguiera reservoir in your abstract is not necessary. Cut and send to your Methodology Chapter. The second to third line of the abstract is somewhat clumsy. Why not rephrase it? An abstract generally contains an introductory paragraph, a summary of methodology, a summary of major results and discussion, then the conclusion. For instance: Freshwater resources are prone to extinction due to anthropogenic pollution of water ways. This study was aimed at monitoring and characterising the zooplankton community of Aguiera reservoir. --- water samples were collected from --- sampling sites in the period of --- and ---. Results showed that This study concluded that... END This way, a bird's view snapshot of the whole research work and what to expect is got. Go to Line 14 and 15: Include reference Go to Line 27: The description of the study area	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	should come under the Methodology Chapter. Go to Line 170: Include a reference to back up that statement. If not, state that they exhibited such characteristics not that they exhibit such. Go to Line 196: Microorganisms is too large, Why not replace with algae instead? Go to Line 224: How was the degree of anthropogenic disturbance reflected in the water level fluctuations? Was it human use in terms of agriculture or domestic use by the medium sized towns?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The title should be recast. It doesn't represent what was carried out The abstract should be recast to represent a summary of the whole research work. The abstract in its present state has no representation of the methodology. *Freshwater resources are prone to extinction due to anthropogenic pollution of water ways. This study was aimed at monitoring and characterising the zooplankton community of Aguieira reservoir. --- water samples were collected from --- sampling sites in the period of --- and -- -. Results showed that This study concluded that...* The example stated above could be used by the author in restructuring the abstract. Other minor revisions in the 'Compulsory REVISION comments' Section should be adhered to.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The Manuscript is of sufficient quality for publication after minor corrections.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dimowo, Benjamin
Department, University & Country	Department of Environmental Management and Toxicology, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria