



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_40022_A
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDIES ON AESTHETICS STATUS OF WATER QUALITY OF RIVER BENUE, MAKURDI NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The content under the title "Water Samples Collection and Analysis' may be made more clear. Particularly the last sentence in that paragraph is meaningless. It is to be surely corrected.	The reviewer comment is ok
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Systematic analysis had been carried out and authentic discussion has been provided. The minor drawback is that the work had been presented for publication after three years which appear to be a long delay especially because the work deals with seasonal variation in water quality.	The research article present the findings when the study was carried out. Continuously environmental variables are changing so different findings may still be encountered after my study period.