



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_40022_A
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDIES ON AESTHETICS STATUS OF WATER QUALITY OF RIVER BENUE, MAKURDI NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Conclusions Please incorporate results gotten from your study to your conclusions to portray work done.	I feel this is mere repetition as the result of the study is presented in the result section of the paper and discussed. So conclusion is just the summary of the findings and not incorporating the results in the result in the conclusion at most instances
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Abstract; To determine the aesthetic status and integrity of River Benue at Makurdi, water samples collected 8 monthly from five different locations on the shoreline of River Benue at Makurdi for a period of 9 two years (July 2011-June 2013). Please complete the sentence favorably Results; lines 100-102 There significant correlation between all the aesthetic parameters of the water samples indicating that the affect each other and contribute to making water look turbid and coloured. Please reconcile	The comment is ok and it has been effected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		