



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_37105
Title of the Manuscript:	Nature Protection in Armenia and Slovakia
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Lines 345, 364 and 446 should present Trnka, Kopilec, 2007 as Trnka & Kopilec, 2007. - Between lines 24 and 25, put a heading (Introduction/Background). - Lines 76,77 and 78, use Act instead of act. - Novanska (2008) is missing on the list of references.	We agree with the comments and they are corrected and highlighted in the manuscript. For the 4th point, Novanska (2008), we just posted a picture, so there will not be any citation
Minor REVISION comments	- Citation in the section of Abstract may not be necessary - In Abstract, include a statement on methods, tools and or approaches used by the author(s) to come up with this paper. It may be necessary to include a whole section that talks about methods and materials used. - Unless, it is the requirement of the publication/Journal, it is not necessary to present the names of authors on the list of references in upper case	We accepted 1st and 3rd points of the comments. For the second comment, we added in abstract about methods we applied, and also after the introduction part, we added a new paragraph about the methods.
Optional/General comments	- Verify and confirm that UNDP (2014) is cited in the main text - It may be necessary to improve citations indicated in line 76,77and 78. - Very good general statements (line 172-179) which may require appropriate citation(s). - It may not be necessary to indicate date on Table 3. - It may be necessary to present information found in lines 175-299 in a Table form in order to make the paper look smarter in terms of presentation. - Reference in line 407 can be improved for instance, Republic of Armenia (2014).--- - You may include the management plans of 2009 on the list of reference.	All comments are accepted except 5th one. Regarding to the 5th point, we think it's not possible all the information convert to a Table in lines 175-299. We would appreciate if we have more detailed explanation and we may present the information in a table.