



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_35972
Title of the Manuscript:	DELINEATION OF RIVER WATERSHED AND STREAM NETWORK USING ILWIS 3.7.1 ACADEMIC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Follow the guidelines of the journal For the introduction, I would put more references to compare with your reasoning (that anyhow good) ILWIS 3.7.1 ACADEMIC is okey, I wonder if you have heard of OSGEO using linux.	Ok
Minor REVISION comments	Fig. 3.1 although I understand what they said, the figure 3.1 come unclear to me. I would put a DEM without operation. 192 order using the delineated stream network also shhowed that the stream order is 5. spelling	Ok
Optional/General comments	195 The results showed that the use of ILWIS DEM hydro-processing tools with 90m SRTM DEM 196 produced watershed and stream network similar in shape and pattern to the ones delineated by 197 manual method. The use of ILWIS is okey but the scientist can use other techique open and used often to compare the final output. You must to include more references about GIS work.	Ok