



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_35287
Title of the Manuscript:	Temporal and spatial distribution of sulfate reducing bacteria in shrimp culture pond sediment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is a very complete and interesting study, especially when there are few studies that include anaerobic microorganisms called Sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB). In this sense, it is important that the author raises a research question and a research hypothesis that allows to verify the results obtained after carrying out the experiment and gathering information in the ponds and on the days determined for it. After that, to make the discussion with regard to the hypothesis posed and to respond to the research question formulated. It is important to review the literature as a frame of reference to obtain the necessary elements to discuss the results obtained.	Very meagre amount of study is available on the aspect of distribution of SRB vertically and horizontally. All the previous studies available regarding the objective of the study was cited in the manuscript
Minor REVISION comments	It is important to mention the method and type of research used in the study to have a better understanding of how the research was conducted. The analysis is already explained in a very correct way.	
Optional/General comments	The author should be careful in the use of grammatical signs as well as the spaces between characters blank. Introduction. After line 72. The author should formulate a research question, followed by a research hypothesis in congruence with the objective of the research and the problem to be resolved. Literature Review. After Introduction, the author must include the review of the literature that founded the	Checked and corrections were incorporated wherever it is necessary Before and after line 72, sentences were modified



SDI Review Form 1.6

	results obtained after applying the field research. Conclusion. The author must answer the research question formulated and discuss whether or not the hypothesis was accepted according to the level of significance established.	Review of literature pertaining to SRB was included Corrections were incorporated
--	--	---