



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_35281
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING THE USE AND DETERMINANTS OF HOUSEHOLDS' ADOPTION OF IMPROVED COOK STOVE IN NIGERIA: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM ENUGU STATE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• Very Good effort to aware and improve the economy and health status of the country. • But this is not a research article this is perception and effort for upgrade the position of rural areas in the country regarding the Pollution and Health Effects. • The manuscript is too long. There is a need to summarize the introduction and Conclusion to remain the interest in the manuscript for reader.	This is not a perception but a research to ascertain the determinants of ICS use, constraints and possible solutions In the introduction, there is the need for clear statement of the background and the problem and the conclusion clearly reflected the findings courtesy of the objectives stated in the introduction.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• Summarize the manuscript.	This contradicts the second review that the manuscript is too short so which one should I follow to increase or decrease the length of the article?
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	• The manuscript is too long. There is a need to summarize the introduction and Conclusion to remain the interest in the manuscript for reader.	I think that the Introduction and the conclusion are in tandem with the study.