



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_34315
Title of the Manuscript:	Treatment of Industrial Effluent of the Kaduna Refining and Petrochemical Company Using Rice Husk
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1.The rice husk is not the new item used in the effluent treatment. 2. But, the application on the particular KRPC effluent is a novel one. 3. Spell check has to be carried out. 4.The Statiscal analysis is compulsory, which is missing in this paper. 5.In the graph, the scale must be mentioned properly. Labeling is not appropriate. 6.FTIR results are missing.	1. The objective of the study was to determine the effectiveness of rice husk on effluent obtained from KRPC 2. Yes 3.That has been addressed 4. The authors only wanted to compare the results, to see if any difference exist. Statistical analysis will be difficult because, there are only two groups, and single reading for each parameter. 5.That has also been addressed. 6. Including FTIR was an oversight by the authors, because at the time of this report, the machine was bad. FITR has been removed from the methodology
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		