



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_34143
Title of the Manuscript:	A GIS APPROACH TO EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT OF A DEVELOPING CITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	There are mistyping in the text. The text should be checked according to grammar rules. There are a lot of misunderstanding sentences. GIS models may be shortly described in the introduction. The minimum and maximum altitude of the study area may be given. 85 beginning of these sentences is unwritten in the text which was sent me. The sentences between 85 to 90 told us general information. Giving the importance of this study for the region and literature may be better. I figures were taken from anywhere it should be indicated in the figure definition. Conclusion may be supported with literature and results. I am sorry, I couldn't clearly evaluate GIS method because I never used this before.	We have taken time to correct the typographical errors. A short description of GIS model has been provided in the introduction. Previous 85 to 90 has been moved to 58 to 63. The importance of the study has also been highlighted.
Optional/General comments		