



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_32900
Title of the Manuscript:	IRON ORE DEPOSIT AND IT'S TAILING IMPACT ON THE TOXIC METAL LEVEL OF NEIGHBORING AGRICULTURAL SOILS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: -define all abbreviations. Text of the manuscript: define all abbreviations first time when are used. Materials and methods: -Please fully describe the sampling in terms of how the sites were choose, how many samples were extracted from each site, when the sampling was done, who do the sampling.	Major abbreviations are sample codes described ain Table 1. Others are symbols of elements. However unfamiliar ones were noted and defined as instructed Sampling details were provided. It is expected that the analysts carried out the sampling following standard procedures and with the aid of technical assistance. For extraction, most soil analysis are non destructive. AAS is however based on samples digestion of representative samples.
Minor REVISION comments	Introduction: - This section presents the state of the art so it is expected that all information provided here is supported by references. - Instead to say "believed" you could say that your hypothesis was ... Materials and methods: - "Physico-chemical" should be 'physico-chemical' - Which are the significance of the codes? - when provide location data as longitude for example please use the degree symbol not the 0 to superscript. - Did you check if data follow the normal distribution before application of ANOVA and T-test? Results and Discussions: - The graphs in Fig 4 are too small and deformed	Suggestion on references is noted. 18 references were presented in less than 1 page hypothesis was adopted as recommended physico-chemical' noted and adopted Significance of the codes: Each code carries information on the mineral and farmland. It allows easy representation of results and discussion of results instead of using continuous sentences to describe sample aand sample location. Degree symbols noted and adopted Data follows the normal distribution with both positive and negative deviations from the mean values Fig 4: Noted and enlarged. The purpose is peak representation. Values were interpreted as tables
Optional/General comments	- Misspellings: "showstthe" & "shown i Figure 5" - Please check the first equation ... it is seems that there is a mistake.	Misspellings: Noted and now presented as "shows the" first equation: Checked