



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_30818
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF WATER SUPPLY AND ITS IMPLICATIONS ON HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN KABUKU NDANI WARD, HANDENI DISTRICT, TANZANIA
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I couldn't find where you stated any research hypothesis in this work. No research hypothesis in the abstract and yet you were telling us in the body of of the work that the significant differences is this, is that. Please kindly state your research hypothesis where necessary. In order for us to know what you are testing.	-The hypothesis is stated in line 541, The null hypothesis states that household's expenditure on water supply does not significantly affect household income. -Hypothesis included in the abstract
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are so many errors in the study, most of which are typographical errors and some wrong sentences in some instances. But that is not a problem as i have taken the pain to help you make some necessary corrections. All you need to do is to patiently go through the entire study afresh by following each sentences line by line making necessary corrections. Here are some of my observations Line 34: please it should be reveals and not reveal Line 51: making it Line 55: please remove the slash symbol. You can use (region or place)(region and place). Any of the above that best describes your message Line 64: please don't you think it should be communities? I thought you were referring to more than one community right? Line 64-66: please look for away to merge the sentence as one. Line 70: Logistic location how? Please can you elaborate on this?	-Line 34 corrections done-reveals -line 51 corrections done-making it -line 55 corrections done-slash symbol removed



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 71: Also several Authors such as (etc) please do the appropriate thing here(wrong sentence) Line132: the second sentence on that paragraph is wrong.(and also easy accessibility during data collection). Line 256: were male not was males Line 268: suggestive to extended family please look at sentence again. Line 314: Also have and not have also Line 331: 51.1% argued that water is not reliable. Which water? Is it rain water? Tap water, stream or what or are you trying to say water sources ? please look at that sentence again. Line 359: the correct English here; is reveals and not reveal. Line 380: the first line here, please which water do you refer to? Are you talking about water sources? Line 382: water is adequate(please are you referring to the water sources) Line 388: The result show(please, correct to this) "The result shows" Line 390: The others please add this "who" participated Line 397: (dirt's) instead of dirty Line 439: (100 more than litres): this is a very wrong English Line 490: The correct sentence is that " households cannot afford and not "do not afford Line 531: in order to test how, were(please correct this sentence) Line 581: its not show that (the correct thing here is "showed that"): please use past tense for your outcome at this stage. Line 592: water supply "will" become reliable(please add that) Line 611: "The" and not he Line 618: Please rephrase sentence to a better	-line 64 corrections done –communities -line 64 – 66 corrections done –sentences merged to one. -line 70 corrections done- a word logistic is removed. -line 71- corrections done -line 132- corrections done -line 256- corrections done -line 268- corrections done -line 314 - -corrections done (also have) -line 331- corrections done-water sources (see highlighted sentence. -line 359 - corrections done-reveals (see highlighted word -line 380-corrections done-water used for the household -line 382- refer to water sources -line 388-390 – correction done see highlighted paragraph. -line 439-corrections made- more than 100 litres of water -line 490- corrections done –households cannot afford it is now in line 483 after merging some of the sentences -corrected in the highlighted paragraph from
--	---	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

	English. For example you can use this “Findings from key informants revealed that the reasons for poor water supply(etc)” Line 651: please correct to” Chronic water shortage in the study area “has led to increasing price” Line 654: Sanitation which was very important is a wrong sentence. The correct thing is “sanitation which is very important. Line 658: The correct statement here “the availability of water is a relief to the community Line 698: starting the statement there with” because” rephrase sentence	line 610-612 -corrections made in line 580-see highlighted sentence. -corrections done in line 617- Findings from the key informants revealed that -Deleted from the document. For further reference see corrections made in the highlighted paragraph from line 621-625 NB: most of the corrections are in the highlighted sentences and paragraphs for further reference
Optional/General comments		