



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_30791
Title of the Manuscript:	Biochemical changes in leaves of important trees exposed to pollution and exhausts at roadsides of Jabalpur, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The manuscript deals more with the types of fuel and mechanics of exhaust emissions in the introduction rather than dealing with the biochemical changes observed in plants in response to pollution. 2. The materials and methods poorly written in great detail are unnecessarily adding length to the paper, which is not required. As the standard procedures are followed, this could have been done quoting the reference only. 3. The paper has not been discussed in light of similar studies done in the area. 4. The manuscript needs thorough grammatical revision. 5. Data collected from sample is very less to conclude. 6. Botanical names are not written in italic. 7. Units are not mentioned in the soil parameters studied. 8. Chemical formulas wrongly written at few places.	The biochemical changes that occur in plants due to vehicular pollution have been added in the Discussion portion as reported by other researchers. Material and Methods portion has been reduced as advised with back-up reference. The paper has been discussed in light of similar studies done in the area in Discussion portion. Grammatical revisions have been done as indicated in the PDF. Botanical names have been written in Italics. Units mentioned. Formulae rectified.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		