

Original Research Article

Microbial Community Profiling of Spent-oil Contaminated Soil in Odukpani, Nigeria

Abstract

The evaluation of microbiological and heavy metals concentration of oil contaminated soil samples from three different locations, thus; Okurikang, Inuakpa and Creek Town of Odukpani Local Government Area of Cross River State was investigated. The Total Heterotrophic Bacteria (THB) count ranged from 1.09×10^3 to 3.6×10^3 cfu/ml, 1.11×10^3 to 6.4×10^3 cfu/ml, and 1.26×10^3 to 4.6×10^3 cfu/ml for the three locations respectively and the Hydrocarbon Utilizing bacteria (HUB) population obtained ranged from 2.28×10^3 to 7.24×10^3 cfu/ml, 1.63×10^3 to 6.96×10^3 cfu/ml and 1.62×10^3 to 7.57×10^3 cfu/ml respectively for the three locations. The percentage occurrence of bacteria isolates for THB includes; *Enterobacter cloacae* 27.27%, *Lactobacillus* sp 45.45%, *Mycobacterium* sp 18.18% and *Enterobacter aerogenes* 9.09%, the percentage occurrence of bacteria isolates for HUB includes; *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* 18.18%, *Micrococcus* sp 36.36% and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 45.45%. The levels of physicochemical properties; pH, Nitrate, Nitrite, Phosphate, Calcium, Magnesium, Potassium, Lead, Cobalt, Nickel, Iron, Ammonia and electrical conductivity obtained varied greatly with each soil sample. These findings provide adequate information on the microbial levels and heavy metals concentration of oil contaminated soil in Odukpani, Nigeria.

Introduction

One of the major environmental challenges today is hydrocarbon pollution arising from activities related to petrochemical industry. Accidental and deliberate release of petroleum products is of particular concern in the environment [1-2]. Petroleum-based products are the major source of energy for industry and daily life. Leaks and accidental spills occur regularly during the exploration, production, refining, transport, and storage of petroleum and petroleum products.

The amount of natural crude oil seepage is estimated to be 600,000 metric tons per year with a range of uncertainty of 200,000 metric tons per year [1-2]. Release of hydrocarbons into the environment whether accidentally or due to human activities is a main cause of water and soil pollution [3-5]. Soil contamination with hydrocarbons causes extensive damage of local system since accumulation of pollutants in animals and plant tissue may cause death or mutations [1]. The technology commonly used for the soil remediation includes mechanical, burying, evaporation, dispersion, and washing. However, these technologies are expensive and can lead to incomplete decomposition of contaminants. The process of bioremediation, defined as the use of microorganisms to detoxify or remove pollutants owing to their diverse metabolic capabilities is an evolving method for the removal and degradation of many environmental pollutants including the products of petroleum industry [6-9]. In addition, bioremediation technology is believed to be non-invasive and relatively cost-effective. Biodegradation by natural populations of microorganisms represents one of the primary mechanisms by which petroleum and other hydrocarbon pollutants can be removed from the environment [10-11] and is cheaper than other remediation technologies.

The success of oil spill bioremediation depends on the ability to establish and maintain conditions that favor enhanced oil biodegradation rates in the contaminated environment. Numerous scientific review articles have covered various factors that influence the rate of oil

44 biodegradation [3, 11]. One important requirement is the presence of microorganisms with the
45 appropriate metabolic capabilities. If these microorganisms are present, then optimal rates of
46 growth and hydrocarbon biodegradation can be sustained by ensuring that adequate
47 concentrations of nutrients and oxygen are present and that the pH is between 6 and 9. The
48 physical and chemical characteristics of the oil and oil surface area are also important
49 determinants of bioremediation success [1, 9-14].

50 The broad objective of this study was to critically evaluate the microbial community structure
51 and heavy metals concentration of spent-oil polluted soil in Odukpani Local Government Area of
52 Cross River State of Nigeria. Specific objectives were; the evaluation of the microbial indices of
53 spent-oil contaminated soil, the determination of the relationship between hydrocarbon pollution
54 and heavy metals bioavailability and bioaccumulation, and the determination of the
55 biodegradability of microbes isolated from spent-oil contaminated soil.

Comment [k1]: Replace with 'aim' Note that aim (what the study sets to achieve) is different from objectives (steps or methods to achieving the set aim)

Comment [k2]: Replace with 'The' see comment K1)

56 **Materials and Method**

57 The samples were collected from three mechanic workshops in three different towns (Okurikang,
58 Inuakpa and Creek Town) in Odukpani Local Government of Cross River State of Nigeria.
59 Microbial analysis was carried out in microbiology research laboratory university of Calabar
60 while physiochemical and heavy metal analyses were done at the laboratory of cross river state
61 water board, Calabar.

62 **Collection of Samples**

63 Soil samples were collected in a sterile polyethylene bag using a sterile spatula from the edge of
64 a motor-oil stained patch at different locations of each workshop by scooping to about 5cm. They
65 were transported immediately to the laboratory for analysis [3, 11-15]. Samples were
66 homogenized and sub-samples taken to obtain a good representation of the hydrocarbon
67 degrading microorganisms required for the study. Physiochemical properties of the soil such as
68 conductivity, pH, temperature and heavy metals were examined.

69 **Sample Preparation**

70 Before proceeding to the analysis, an aliquot (portion) was taken from the bulk sample and in
71 order to be sure that what was analysed was a representative of the collected samples, the
72 samples were homogenized for the extraction. Soil samples from the various sampling locations
73 were mixed and the composite samples from all the stations were analysed properly for various
74 physiochemical parameters and heavy metals using standard procedures [3, 11].

75 **Microbiological Analysis**

76 **Sample Processing**

77 Soil samples for microbial analysis were collected aseptically labelled and transported to the
78 laboratory immediately where analysis was done. Prior to analysis, the soil samples were
79 homogenized. One (1) g of each sample was weighed out, added to 90 ml of sterile water and
80 vigorously shaken for one minute. Treated samples were allowed to settle in order for
81 withdrawal of supernatant for serial dilution. Tenfold serial dilution of the soil sample was
82 carried out for enumeration of densities of microbial isolates [3, 11-15].

83 **Culture Media**

84 The analytical media used for this research included Nutrient Agar (NA), mineral salt medium
85 (MSM), the media were prepared according to recommendations by the manufacturers (Difco);
86

Biotech). The mixture was thoroughly shaken and allowed to dissolve. It was sterilized by autoclaving at 121⁰ c for 15 minutes. After the sterilization, it was allowed to cool at 45⁰c (before dispensing into sterile Petri-dishes).

Estimation of Heterotrophic Microorganisms

Ten (10) grams of the oil contaminated soil was suspended in 90 ml of distilled water and tenfold serial dilutions of the samples from 1.10 to 1.100000 were carried out, 0.1ml of the 10⁻⁵ dilution for each soil sample was plated in triplicates on Nutrient Agar amended with Nystatin (50 µg/ml) to suppress the growth of fungal contaminants. The counts of THB were determined using pour plate techniques [3, 11-16]. The Nutrient Agar plates were incubated at 37⁰c for 24 h. The number of viable microorganisms in the samples was calculated from the number of colonies formed.

Isolation, Purification and Maintenance of Pure Culture

Distinct representative colonies from the culture plates were selected for characterization. Bacterial colonies were repeated transferred to freshly prepared nutrient agar plates by streak-plate method and allowed to grow for 24 h before stocking [3, 11].

Enumeration of Hydrocarbon Utilizing Microorganisms

The counts of hydrocarbon utilizing bacteria were enumerated by pour plate techniques [11-17] using vapour phase transfer on mineral salt medium (MSM), which basically contains mineral salts and vital nutrients. The medium was supplemented with 30 gml⁻¹ fungizol miconazole to inhibit the growth of fungal contaminants. The crude oil used was sterilized by Millipore filtration (0.45 µm pore size) and stored in sterile bottles. The oil agar plates were incubated at room temperature for 5 days before enumeration.

Physiochemical Analysis

Physicochemical analyses were used to evaluate the physical and chemical properties of the soil including [3, 11-17]. The parameters analyzed included:

pH value determination

The 50 ml beaker was half-filled with the samples. Water was added to sufficient depth to allow immersion of the electrode. Mixing was carried out using a gentle shaker and stirred frequently for a few minutes then allowed to stand for another 15 minutes. The electrode of the meter was immersed into the starry and waited for middle drift to cease. The pH was recorded for each sample [3, 11].

Electrical conductivity (EC) determination

A saturated paste of the crushed/soil samples were made using distilled water. The electrical conductivity of the samples was determined electrometrically with a calibrated electrical conductivity meter [3, 11].

Heavy Metals Determination

1 g of soil sample was transferred into a dry 100 ml conical flask using a spatula. 30 ml of concentrated nitric acid was measured using a measuring cylinder and added into the conical flask containing 1 g of soil sample. 10 ml of hydrochloric acid was added into the conical flask

using a 10 ml measuring cylinder. The two acids – HCl and HNO₃ were added in a ratio of 3:1 respectively, it was then covered with aluminium foil and heat in the oven at 100°C. Heavy metals content of the soil digest was then determined using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Model UNICAM 939) [3, 11-13]

Result

Total Heterotrophic Bacteria (THB)

In this study, the result represented in Table 1 show the total heterotrophic bacteria count in the samples from various locations. It is observed that the mechanic workshop situated at Inuakpa of Odukpani Area of Cross River State, produced a significantly higher total heterotrophic bacteria count ranging from 1.11x10³ to 6.4x10³ cfu/ml, Creek Town had counts ranging from 1.260x10³ to 4.63x10³ cfu/ml, while Okurikang had the least number of heterotrophic counts ranging from 1.09x10³ to 3.6 x10³ cfu/ml

TABLE 1

Total Heterotrophic Bacteria Count in cfu/ml

Sample Location	Sampling Point	Sample 1 (cfu/g)	Sample 2 (cfu/g)	Sample 3 (cfu/g)	Mean (cfu/g)
Okurikang	SP 1	2.8 x 10 ³	3.6 x 10 ³	2.46 x 10 ³	2.95 x 10 ³
	SP 2	1.09 x 10 ³	1.48 x 10 ³	1.53 x 10 ³	1.37 x 10 ³
	SP 3	2.01 x 10 ³	1.46 x 10 ³	1.57 x 10 ³	1.68 x 10 ³
Inuakpa	SP 1	1.26 x 10 ³	1.42 x 10 ³	1.46 x 10 ³	1.38 x 10 ³
	SP 2	2.66 x 10 ³	6.4 x 10 ³	1.11 x 10 ³	3.39 x 10 ³
	SP 3	1.28 x 10 ³	3.67 x 10 ³	3.33 x 10 ³	2.76 x 10 ³
Creek Town	SP 1	1.8 x 10 ³	1.43 x 10 ³	4.63 x 10 ³	2.62 x 10 ³
	SP 2	1.52 x 10 ³	1.46 x 10 ³	3.57 x 10 ³	2.18 x 10 ³
	SP 3	1.45 x 10 ³	1.260 x 10 ³	2.53 x 10 ³	1.75 x 10 ³

KEY: SP_x = Sampling Point, cfu/g = colony forming unit per gram

Hydrocarbon Utilizing Bacteria (HUB)

Table 2 shows the result obtained for hydrocarbon utilizing bacteria. The mechanic work shop at Creek Town had the most significant counts ranging from 1.62x10³ to 7.57x10³ cfu/ml, Okurikang had counts ranging from 2.28x10³ to 7.24x10³ CFU/ml, while the Inuakpa had the least count ranging from 1.63x10³ to 6.96x10³ cfu/ml

153

154

155

156

157

158

TABLE 2

Hydrocarbon utilizing bacteria counts in cfu/ml

Sample Location	Sampling Point	Sample 1 (cfu/g)	Sample 2 (cfu/g)	Sample 3 (cfu/g)	Mean (cfu/g)
Okurikang	SP 1	3.8×10^3	5.9×10^3	5.46×10^3	5.05×10^3
	SP 2	2.28×10^3	6.7×10^3	3.34×10^3	4.11×10^3
	SP 3	7.24×10^3	3.32×10^3	3.14×10^3	4.57×10^3
Inuakpa	SP 1	1.75×10^3	3.41×10^3	1.63×10^3	2.26×10^3
	SP 2	5.23×10^3	6.96×10^3	2.46×10^3	4.88×10^3
	SP 3	4.78×10^3	3.94×10^3	5.92×10^3	4.88×10^3
Creek Town	SP 1	7.57×10^3	6.41×10^3	4.36×10^3	6.11×10^3
	SP 2	2.57×10^3	3.67×10^3	3.74×10^3	3.33×10^3
	SP 3	1.62×10^3	2.1×10^3	1.73×10^3	1.82×10^3

159

160 **KEY: SP_x = Sampling Point, cfu/g = colony forming unit per gram**

161

162 Table 1 and 2 shows the result in cfu/g of the total heterotrophic bacteria (THB) count and
 163 hydrocarbon utilizing bacteria (HUB) in triplicates of the different spent-oil contaminated soil.

164 **Physiochemical Characteristics of the Contaminated the Soil samples**

165 The physiochemical characteristics of composite soil samples collected from different mechanic
 166 workshops were analysed and the result obtained is presented in Table 3. Various characteristics
 167 like electrical conductivity and PH were taken into consideration for each of the samples named
 168 sample A, B and C representing the three sampling locations (Okurikang, Inuakpa and Creek
 169 Town) respectively.

170

171

172

173

TABLE 3
Physicochemical Characteristics of the soil sample from the three sampling locations

Parameters	Sample A (Okurikang)	Sample B (Inuakpa)	Sample C (Creek Town)
pH	7.07	7.04	7.16
Conductivity (μScm^{-1})	39.5	35.7	105.4
Nitrate (%)	394	249.5	374.5
Nitrite (%)	0.325	0.395	0.435
Phosphate (ppm)	11	27.5	12.5
Calcium (mg/g)	0.35	BDL	BDL
Magnesium (mg/g)	BDL	0.1	0.05
Potassium(mg/g)	20	11.5	22
Ammonia	0.85	0.7	0.5

KEY: BDL = Below Detection Level




FIGURE 1: Percentage prevalence of the bacterial isolates from the different spent-oil contaminated soil samples.

187

188

189

TABLE 4

Concentration and distribution of heavy metals in the three sampling locations

Parameters (mgkg ⁻¹)	Sample A (Okurikang)	Sample B (Inuakpa)	Sample C (Creek Town)
Lead (Pb)	825	255	240
Cobalt (Co)	0.31	0.74	0.91
Nickel (Ni)	0.95	1.07	0.96
Iron (Fe)	43	48.5	34.7
Manganese (Mn)	BDL	BDL	BDL

190 **KEY:** BDL = Below Detection Level191 **Discussion**

192 Evaluating the effects of different hydrocarbons on soil microbial activity and determining the
 193 suitability of analytical techniques for discriminating between soil treatments are essential for
 194 investigating petroleum polluted soils. Microbiological and heavy metal concentration of oil
 195 contaminated soil in (Okurikang, Inuakpa and Creek Town) in Odukpani, Nigeria was carried
 196 out. The total heterotrophic bacteria count was significantly high ranging from 1.09×10^3 to
 197 3.6×10^3 , 1.11×10^3 to 6.4×10^3 and 1.26×10^3 to 4.63×10^3 cfu/g and the hydrocarbon utilizing
 198 bacteria (HUB) population obtained ranged from 2.28×10^3 to 7.24×10^3 , 1.63×10^3 to 6.96×10^3
 199 and 1.62×10^3 to 7.57×10^3 cfu/g respectively from the three study locations. From the results
 200 obtained, the THB counts were slightly higher than the (HUB).

201

202 The slight increase is in agreement with the report of Unimke, *et al* [25-26], who reported that
 203 such increase could be attributed to the high level of nutrients available in the soil which favours
 204 the growth of heterotrophic microorganisms. The slightly high levels of HUB obtained may be
 205 due prior exposure enabling the organisms to have high survivability rate. The morphology and
 206 biochemical characterization of bacteria isolates from contaminated soil samples showed the
 207 following suspected organisms *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Lactobacillus spp*, *Mycobacterium sp*,
 208 *Enterobacter aerogenese*, *Acinetobacter calcoacoticus*, *Micrococcus aeruginosa*, *Pseudomonas*
 209 *aeruginosa*, etc. The percentage occurrence of bacteria isolates for THB includes; *Enterobacter*
 210 *cloacae* 27.27%, *Lactobacillus sp* 45.45%, *Mycobacterium sp* 18.18% and *Enterobacter*
 211 *aerogenese* 9.09%. The percentage occurrence of bacteria isolates for HUB includes;
 212 *Acinetobacter calcoaccticus* 18.18%, *Micrococcus sp* 36.36% and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
 213 45.45%.

214

215 The contamination of the soil environment with heavy metals has been on the increase due to
 216 high level of industrialization [1-3, 11]. The mean concentrations of heavy metals and
 217 physiochemical parameters shows variation within the following ranges pH 7.07, 7.04 and 7.16 ,
 218 Nitrate 394, 249.5 and 374.5, Nitrite 0.325, 0.395 and 0.435, Phosphate 11, 27.5, and 12.5,
 219 Calcium 0.35, 0 and 0, Magnesium 0, 0.1 and 0.05, Potassium 20, 11.5 and 22, Lead 825, 255
 220 and 240, Cobalt 0.31, 0.74 and 0.91, Nickel 0.95, 1.07 and 0.96, Iron 43, 48.5 and 37.7,
 221 Ammonia 0.85, 0.7 and 0.5, and electrical conductivity 39.5, 35.7 and 105.4 for each soil sample

222 respectively. This report is in agreement with the report of Cavalho *et al.* [5] that heavy metals
223 are some of the most toxic, persistent and widespread contaminants in aquatic and terrestrial
224 ecosystems.

225 The high levels of heavy metals and physicochemical characteristics recorded can be ascribed to
226 the petrochemical pollution and run-off [3-5, 11]. The soil samples contain different kinds of
227 heavy metals at different volumes; this might be due to the level of contamination and period of
228 pollution.

229 Conclusion

230 From the study it can be concluded that hydrocarbon degrading bacteria are abundant in soils
231 contaminated with spent oil. The understanding of the influence of different perturbation and the
232 fate of the release of oil in the soil environment is useful in the assessment of the environmental
233 impact of the oil pollutant and its remedial investigation. The variation in the physiochemical
234 parameters were as a consequence of the build-up on the soil of the product of oil degradation.
235 The result of this study revealed that indigenous microbial populations in soils of oil
236 contaminated areas are capable of mineralizing these pollutants in their environment to a save
237 and acceptable level. It also proves that the soils contain different kinds of heavy metals at
238 different volumes; this might be due to the level of contamination and period of pollution.

239 Reference

- 240 1. Chikere, C.B., Okpokwasili, G.C. & Chikere, B.O. Bacterial diversity in a tropical
241 crude oil-polluted soil undergoing bioremediation. *African Journal of Biotechnology*.
242 2009; 8: 2535-2540.
- 243 2. Kvenvolden, K.A and Cooper, C.K. Natural Seepage of Crude Oil into the Marine
244 Environment. *Geo-Marine Letters*. 2003; 23: 140–146.
- 245 3. Unimke, A. A., Bassey, I. U., Mmuoegbulam. O.A. And Nseabasi, N. O. Seasonal
246 Implications on the Microbiological and Physicochemical Characteristics of Sediments.
247 *Imperial Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*. 2017; 3(1): 156 – 164.
- 248 4. Atlas, R. M. and Bartha, R. Biodegradation of petroleum in seawater at low
249 temperatures. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 1992; 18: 1851-1855.
- 250 5. Carvalho, R.A., Benfield, M.C. & Santschi, P.H. Comparative bioaccumulation
251 studies of colloiddally complexed and free-ionic heavy metals in juvenile brown-
252 shrimp. *Limnological Oceanography*. 1999; 44: 403-414.
- 253 6. Medina-Bellver, J.J.; Marín, P. Evidence for *in situ* Crude oil Biodegradation after
254 the Prestige oil Spill. *Environmental Microbiology*. 2005; 7: 773–779.
- 255 7. Nweke, C.O. & Okpokwasili, G.C. Effects of bioremediation treatments on the
256 bacterial populations of soil at different depths. *Nigerian Journal of Microbiology*.
257 2004; 18: 363-372.
- 258 8. Leahy, J.G. & Colwell, R.R. Microbial degradation of hydrocarbons in the
259 environment. *Microbiology Reviews*. 1990; 54: 305-315.
- 260 9. Jarup, L. Hazards of Heavy metal Contamination. *Brazilian Medical Bulletin*. 2003;
261 68:167-182.
- 262 10. Mills, A.L., Breuil, C. & Colwell. Enumeration of petroleum degrading marine and
263 estuarine microorganisms by most probable number method. *Canadian Journal of*
264 *Microbiology*.1978; 12: 234-248.

Comment [k3]: Observation: Many of the references were stale (over 10 years)

- 265 11. Unimke A.A, Antai S.P, Agbor R.B, Nseabasi N.O & Agbo B.E. Evaluation of
266 Seasonal Variation in the Microbial and Heavy Metal Concentrations of Imo River
267 Estuary of the Niger Delta Mangrove Ecosystem. *Advanced Research in Agriculture*
268 *and Veterinary Science*. 2014; 1 (2): 88 – 94.
- 269 12. Colwell, R.R.;Walker, J.D and Cooney, J.J. Ecological Aspects of Microbial
270 Degradation of Petroleum in the Marine Environment. *Critical Reviews in*
271 *Microbiology*. 1977; 2: 231 – 244.
- 272 13. D’Amore, J.J.; Al-Abed, S. R.; Scheckel, K.G and Ryan, J.A. Methods for Speciation
273 of Metals in Soils: “A Review” *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 2005; 34: 1707–
274 1745.
- 275 14. Pinholt, Y.; Struwe, S. and Kjoller, A. Microbial changes during oil decomposition in
276 soil. *Holarctic Ecology*. 1979; 2: 195–200.
- 277 15. Nwajei, G.E. & Gagophien, P.O. Distribution of Heavy Metals in the Sediments of
278 Lagos Lagoon, *Pakistani Journal of Science and Industrial Research*. 2000; 43:338-
279 340.
- 280 16. Nies, D.H. Microbial Heavy metal Resistance. *Applied Microbiology and*
281 *Biotechnology*. 1999; 51: 730-750.
- 282 17. Miroslav, R. & Vladimir, N.B. *Practical Environmental Analysis*. Cambridge, The
283 Royal Society of Chemistry, 1999.
- 284
- 285

286 **Comment to the Managing Editor:**

287 There is need to correct the confused use of objectives. As observed, many of the references
288 were quite stale (over 10 years). Updating these old references, if possible at this stage, will
289 enhance the relevance of the article and the Journal, AJEE.

290

291 **Submitted: 21/06/2017**