SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_44990
Title of the Manuscript:	Remittances and Financial Inclusion: Micro econometric Evidences from Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	[1] Add Keywords: Remittances, financial inclusion, financial services	
	[2] Page is full with citations which are nor reported in your list of references which constitute an ethical problem of inflation of references Example:	
	(Gabriela, 2009).	
	(Sami et al. 2016, Imtiaz et al. 2018)	
	Olmedo et al. 2006, Catherine et al, 2009 & World Bank 2017	
	(Ureta et al, 2003),	
	(Kuwonu F. 2017 & Catherine et al, 2009),	
	(Javed et al. 2017)	
	Oke et al. (2001)	
	Lensink et al. (2007)	
	[3] Need to correct the following citations to match what you have reported in your ref list:	
	Page 2:	
	Meyer and Shera, 2017 not Meyer et al., 2017	
	Cordova and Olmedo, 2006 not Cordova, 2006	
	Aggarwal et al. (2011) not (2010)	
	[4] Line 31, Add reference for your note 1.	
	[5] Line35, add reference for Dawn Newspaper 2017 in your list	
	[6] Page 3, same problem as above: Citations not found reported in your list of references	
	World Bank, 2017	
	WB, 2017	
	Alexandra et al., 2016	
	Zuzana et al., 2015	
	Gautier et al., 2013	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)



SDI Review Form 1.6

[7] Line 62, write world who do not have	
[8] Line 72, write According to Allen et al. (2016)	
[9] Line 78, write (Kumar, 2011)	
[10] Line 80, 81, write (Gautier et al., 2013) (Anzoategui et al., 2014) [Add comma]	
[11] Line 82, write (Kronberger et al., 2007 & Kasim et al., 2015)	
[12] Line 84, write household level. Also this study provides	
[13] Line 85, write financial inclusion. Further, this study finds	
[14] Line 87, write inclusion. Moreover, other explanatory variables including education, age	
[15] Line 88, write all have positive	
[16] Need to write titles consistently Line 92, write Data and Methodology	
[17] Line 99, write received remittances (see Appendix).	
[18] Line 107, write rise in households' earnings with the	
[19] Lines 190 and 110, references not mentioned in your list:	
Pederson & Kiiza (2002) Diego et al. (2014)	
[20] Line 110, write reference correctly Fungacova and Weill (2015)	
[21] Line 118, write Overall, the aforementioned studies highlight the role	
[22] Line 122, write specify the following general equation.	
[23] Line 136, write In our second equation	
[24] Line 137, write while in our third equation	
[25] Line 147, Reference not found in your list Zuzana et al. (2015)	
[26] Line 154, write femder, Share of female adults refers	
[27] Line 158 write Table 1 depicts the definitions of the variables selected for the formulation of equation 1 to 3.	
Then write above the Table its title as follows: Table 1: Variables definitions	
[28] Your page numbering is changing from 6 back to 1 and 2, then back to 1 Make sure to correct that	
[29] Remove the : from main titles Line 162 Econometric Methodology	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018) Approved by: CEO



SDI Review Form 1.6

SDI Review Form 1.0	
	[30] Line 167, write to shift to Logit Model
	[31] Line 171, write On the dependent variable side of the model, we have a dummy variable to represent financial inclusion.
	[32] Line 174, write The logisitic form and this odd ratio
	[33] Line 180, write financial inclusion in the logit model.
	[34] Line 187, write As logit solves the problem, se we
	[35] Line 189, write but marginal effect is best to explain
	[36] Line 190, write The logit model is a non;linear
	[37] Number your Table Example:
	Above the Table write Table 2: Probability distribution table for Province data
	[38] Line 201, write Table 2 shows that 78,635 households
	[39] Line 203, write are 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively.
	[40] Number your Table Example
	Above the Table under education Table 3: Frequency distribution table for education
	Within the Table use the appropriate signs instead of >= use ≥ and instead of <= use ≤
	[41] Line 205, write Table 3 shows the 78,635 households which are receiving remittances versus the education
	[42] Number your Table… Example… Above the Table under the Region Table 4: Probability distribution table for Region
	[43] Line 208, write Table 4 shows that 78,635 are living in the
	[44] Line 211 Empirical Results Line 212 Foreign Remittances
	[45] Line 214, write Table 5 shows the estimation
	[46] Line 218, write see from Table 5 there is a positive
	[47] Line 219, write financial inclusion. Results show that as foreign remittances increase
	[48] Line 220, write inclusion also increases. Model 1 R-square explains 74 percent of the variability
	[49] Line 221, write data around its mean, and shows that this model offers good fit of the data.

Checked by: ME Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018) Created by: EA Approved by: CEO

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



	SCIENCEDOMAIN .	
SDI Review Form 1.6		
	[50] Line 222, write The second model includes	
	[51] Line 223, write and education. Education was added to model 2 because we wanted	
	[52] Line 224, write education has any impact on financial inclusion. Results show that foreign	
	[53] Line 226, write inclusion. As education increases, so does financial inclusion. This means if	
	[54] Line 228, write financial institutions. Model 2 R-square explains 76 percent of the variability	
	[55] Line 230, write Column 3 shows model 3 which includes 3 independent variables, age, education and foreign remittances.	
	[56] Line 236, write he/she is more likely to use	
	[57] Line 237, write Model 3 R-square explains 79 percent	
	[58] Line 239-240, write Model 4 depicted in column 4 includes five explanatory variables namely, the square of age, and the variables of model 3.	
	[59] Line 241, write Results show that square of age has a strong	
	[60] Line 242, write as he/she is going to retire, it is less likely	
	[61] Line 243, write that he/she owns	
	[62] Line 246, write inclusion. All other variables are positive and significant. Model 4 R-square explains 80 percent of the variability in	
	[63] Line 248, write Model 5 includes five explanatory of the variables including the square of education.	
	[64] Change the number of Table 5.1 to just 5: Impact of	
	[65] Line 253, write Results show that if	
	[66] Line 255, write significant. All other variables are positive and significant except for the square of age. Model 5 R-square explains 82 percent of the	
	[67] Line 258-259, write Model six includes seven explanatory variables whereby two more independent variables are added, education*remittances and age*remittances. These variables and all others are also	
	[68] Line 261, write square of age. Model 6 R-square explains 82 percent of the variability	
	[69] Line 263, write Finally, the seventh column shows the estimates of the seventh model. Nine explanatory variables including the number of adults	
	[70] Line 266-267, write a household. Results show that both of these independent	

Created by: EA Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018) Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO



SDI Review Form 1.6

	[71] Line 271, write deposit account. All other variables are	
	[72] Line 272, write square of age. Model 7 R-square explains 82 percent of the	
	[73] Line 276 Renumber the Table to: Table 6: Impact	
	[74] Line 277, write Table 6 shows the estimation	
	[75] Line 301, write perfect measure of any variable	
	[76] References	
	Your list suffers from another ethical issue Inflation of references which are not used in your text Must be either removed or state inside the text Line 314 Line 319 Line 321 Line 323 Line 327 Line 329 Line 331 Line 337 Line 340 Line 342 Line 348 Line 353	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	In general, good and attractive subject.	
	The author(s) were very clear in their research plan.	
	The abstract is adequate but no Keywords are mentioned These were suggested above.	
	The introduction is good, streamlined and clear but suffers from the ethical problem of Inflation of Citations which are not included in the List of References, a fact that jeopardizes the write up to reach plagiarism	
	If the above problem is resolved, then the introduction is satisfactory to tie the title, the abstract and the literature itself to the methodology and later on to the discussions.	
	The methodology suffers from the same problem above, though technically is acceptable	
	The results need major proofreading which are suggested to be corrected and shown in the above section discussion is satisfactory when corrected.	
	Serious Proofreading is needed	
	References need review after adding more references and removing extra ones not used in the text which constitute an ethical issue [Inflation of referencing] Need to take care of this	

PART 2:

Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018) Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in
		the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors
		should write his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
	Yes,	
	The introduction is good, streamlined and clear but suffers from the ethical problem of Inflation of	
	Citations which are not included in the List of	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	References, a fact that jeopardizes the write up to reach plagiarism	
	The methodology suffers from the same problem	
	above, though technically is acceptable	
	References need review after adding more	
	references and removing extra ones not used in the	
	text which constitute an ethical issue [Inflation of	
	referencing] Need to take care of this	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon

Approved by: CEO Created by: EA Checked by: ME Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)