



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_44493
Title of the Manuscript:	Service Quality and Customer Satisfaction of Electricity Distribution Company: A Study of Olumo Business Hub, Abeokuta, Ogun State.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	the title adequate for the content, informative, concise, and clear Abstract: it comprehensive by itself the problem of the study to solve in the work? not clearly. please try to improvement The sampling method needs to be explained clearly References: not adequate references to related works covered sufficiently in the list and all references old. you need to improvement Structure and length: the overall structure of the article well organized and well balanced and the article written with the minimum length necessary for all relevant information	
Minor REVISION comments	Contribution to existing knowledge is good Organization and Readability is good Adequacy of literature review is good	
Optional/General comments	Comments and Suggestions The study will undoubtedly contribute to the field of contemporary research. The paper focuses on a current demand of the society. The author was/were able to critically analyze the topic from realistic perspective. I would like to recommend this paper to publish in AJEBA	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdel Fattah
Department, University & Country	Mahmoud Al-Azzam Zarqa University, Jordan