



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_45621
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF LEADERSHIP EFFECTIVENESS IN PUBLIC SECTOR DELIVERY PROCESS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The greatest flaw of this article (unfortunately an irreparable one), is that it provides zero empirical evidence for the conclusions. No data whatsoever, not even secondary data is presented or discussed. Primary data collection is absent. No statistical methods (not even descriptive statistics is used). If the Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting have the objective of improving its quality, it should focus on publishing empirical articles with statistical inference analysis. The conclusions are not well developed enough. The subject is interesting, however, and a quantitative approach should be considered by the author in future work, for which this text can serve as preparation.	Thank you for your genuine suggestion and critically reviewing the paper. Author agree with the fact that this paper lack the empirical data as it completely based on secondary research. This is the limitation of this paper. But author would make sure to provide empirical paper in future. Thanks for appreciating the Subject of study.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		