



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_45621
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF LEADERSHIP EFFECTIVENESS IN PUBLIC SECTOR DELIVERY PROCESS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Follow the format of the journal in respect of the referencing by doing a major work on it where decimals should replace the references in the main work and narrow it in accordance with the reference list.	Have been change accordingly. Thanks
Minor REVISION comments	• Eschew the idea of research context and replace it with Introduction. It's more appropriate. • Methodology ought to reflect in the abstract so as to make it more concrete. • Detach the aim(s) from the methodology and harmonize both • See 1.4. Thus, line 184 should rather be Conclusion and Recommendations. Its more laudable.	Thanks for your Genuine Review Sir/Madam, I have made all the recommended changes.
Optional/General comments	• The abstract should not be italic. • The topic should be in small letters per the format of the journal.	Thanks