



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_43713
Title of the Manuscript:	ATTITUDES OF UNDERGRADUATE TOWARDS TEACHERS AND THE TEACHING PROFESSION :A Case Study of A Few Selected Departments of Management Faulty, Usman Danfodiyo University Sokoto State
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title of the paper is good for the Nigerian citizens because of their attitude towards the teaching profession. However, the results of this study may not be reliable due to some problems. 1. The abstract need to be revised 2. The introduction is not adequately written as regards the text of the manuscript and also the title. 3. The methodology section contained irrelevant information not required for the study. Some tables need not be included but mention the information in them at the preamble of the section. For instance, the number of students and lecturers need no particular table; also the age group and marital status. 4. The author(s) should have used Chi-square which who have been more appropriate. 5. The author(s) seem not to know the difference between the research hypothesis and research question. 6. The study had no discussion section. The finding cannot be valid without the discussion. 7. The paper did not cite any current literature. The most recent literature cited was 1991. Teacher education is evolving and had gone beyond what the author(s) cited here. 8. There are language, grammar and spelling errors. Ethical issue: The paper should tell the readers how the consent of the participants was obtained.	1. The abstract has been revised to content some very important information and observations 2. The introduction has been remodelled to a more logical and connected version. 3. Some changes have been made in the methodology section. For instance, the table showing the number of students and lecturers that participated in as respondents has been removed and the information moved up to the appropriate place. In as much as I want to follow every recommendations here, I find it appropriate to allow the tables of marital status and age groups of the respondents to exist because it shows in a clear and simplified way to understand the background information of the respondents. 4. Yes, among the statistical tools used in testing the research hypothesis is Chi Square Goodness of fit test for poisson distribution which is appropriate for this research study and it also displayed chi-sq calculated value and P-value in the analysis. 5. Thanks for the comment; the issue of research questions has been rectified. Those were questions from the research questionnaire. The questionnaire was divided into sections A and B. 6. Good observation. It has been rectified. 7. Some recent and relevant related literatures have been added to enrich the work. 8. The spelling and grammatical errors have been identified and corrected to the best of my knowledge. Ethical issues: The research study got the consent of the proper school authority which is the dean faculty of management sciences and face to face appeals during the sharing of the questionnaires. This has been cited on the abstract content of the research study.
Minor REVISION comments	The instrument is based on a questionnaire of Yes or No. I suggest Chi-square could be used to analyse the data. It will be direct and straightforward.	Chi-square is a great statistical tool but we believe strongly that the statistic tools used for this research study adequate, appropriate and simple to understand.
Optional/General comments		Thanks for the great insight and your corrections.