



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_36247
Title of the Manuscript:	OFFICIAL DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT: EVIDENCE FROM THE WEST AFRICAN MONETARY ZONE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The paper is generally good with minor corrections. The abstract should also indicate the author's major recommendation. The problem statement is clearly spelt out and the objectives clearly stated. The methodology was also good but the presentation of results was not professional. He presented the results just as the E-view package brought out the raw results (see Table 3). There is also the need by the Author to be consistent in the use of either PIGRgr or PGDPgr in the model specification and results presentation. This incopsistency was also maintained in the discussion of results. Discussion of Table one should include the variability as wwell as EDS which the Author neglected. Figure 1 should be in colour for readers to be able to differentiate the variables.Discussion of table 2 line 201 and 202 is contradictory. If the variables are all stationary at first difference, it does not mean that there is no unit root test. Infact it shows unit root test. The Author did not discuss or offer explanation as to why ODA and Inflation had negative impact on PGDPgr. He also did not discuss the joint determination influence of all the explanatory variables on GDPgr. His recommendation did not stem out of the discussion of the results. If he had offered explanation as to why the negative impact then the recommendation may hold. Reference number 4 was not so labelled in the reference. Otherwise the paper was properly referenced.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Omofa Moses Niyi Gbenga
Department, University & Country	Department of Economics, Adamawa state University, Nigeria