



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_37019
Title of the Manuscript:	Review of Sustainable Good Governance in Nature Park – Carita Beach
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The process is good but the result is unclear. It needs to be explained by summarizing in each topic after showing on the table. The other research need to be cited and reviewed on the discussion part. If plagiarism is suspected http://turnitin.com/	
Minor REVISION comments	-grammar need to be checked - line 152 and 160: How different between 2 and 6 is? - line 190: "sustainable tourism" not "sustainableity tourism" - line 228-231: what are numbers in bracket? -line 250 and 257: the word of Socio-cultural and Globalization should be similar to the same word in figure 1.	
Optional/General comments	Format of in text citation should be concerned.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maythawin Polnyotee
Department, University & Country	Faculty of Tourism and Hotel Management, Mahasarakham University, Thailand