1

- 2
- 3
- 4

5 ABSTRACT

6 This study examined the effect of capital formation on economic growth in Nigeria. The specific 7 objectives of the study are to: (i) to determine if capital formation has any significant impact on 8 economic growth in Nigeria. (i) to determine the direction of significant causal relationship 9 between capital formation and economic growth in Nigeria. The study adopted co integration and 10 vector error correction model in the analysis of the variables specified in the model in addition to VEC granger causality test. The result of the data analyzed showed that; Stable long run 11 12 relationship was identified between the dependent and independent variables as indicated by four 13 (2) co integrating equations. In the VECM, it was found that GCF has a positive insignificant 14 impact on RGDP in the short run and the long run. GCE revealed negative significant 15 correlation with RGDP both in the short and long run; From the causality test result, the p value 16 of 0.0004 for RGDP is less than 0.05; showing that a bi directional causality runs amid RGDP and gross capital formation (GCF). Granger causality result also reveal a bi directional causality 17 18 running among government capital expenditure (GCE) and RGDP as supported by the p value of 19 0.0012 and another two way causality also among GCF(gross capital formation) and GCE 20 (government capital expenditure) indicated with a p-value of 0.0000. Based on the findings and policy implications, the study makes the following recommendations; There should be a 21 22 deliberate collaboration between the government and the private sector towards building conducive enabling environment that promotes capital investment in the economy. There should 23 24 be conscious effort by both government and private sector to address the issue of corruption in 25 the economy in addition to strengthening public statistical bodies to ensure that all private 26 investments are captured and regulated

28 INTRODUCTION

29

27

30 Background to the Study

The rate of growth in Nigeria economy cannot be fully examined without a closer look at the contribution of capital formation to Nigeria's economic growth. This is in the understanding that capital formation has been recognized as an important factor that determines the growth of Nigerian according (Ugwugghe and Oruskan, 2013)

- 34 Nigerian economy (Ugwuegbe and Oruakpa, 2013).
- 35 No country has achieved sustained economic growth without substantial investment in capital
- 36 formation (Apuu, 2014). In a bid to attain economic growth around the world, emphasis has
- been placed on increased capital formation. Nevertheless, understanding the determinants of the
- 38 capital formation is a crucial prerequisite in designing a number of policy interventions towards
- 39 achieving economic growth (Okonkwo, 2010).
- 40 Capital formation refers to the proportion of present income saved and invested in order to
- 41 augment future output and income. It usually results from acquisition of new factory along with
- 42 machinery, equipment and all productive capital goods. Capital formation is equivalent to an
- 43 increase in physical capital stock of a nation with investment in social and economic
- 44 infrastructure (Ajao, 2011). Capital formation is the process of building up the capital stock of a
- 45 country through investing in productive plants and equipment. Capital formation, in other words,46 involves the increase of capital assets by efficient utilization of the available materials and

EFFECT OF CAPITAL FORMATION ON ECONOMIC GROWTH IN

NIGERIA.

47 human resources of the country (Gbenga and Adeleke, 2013). It is the increase in the stock of 48 both material and human capital by making available a part of society's currently available 49 resources. It results when some proportion of society's present income is saved and invested in 50 order to increase material as well as human capital (Jhingan, 2006). The meaning of capital 51 formation is that society does not apply to the needs and desires of immediate consumption but 52 directs a part of it in the making of capital goods, tools and instruments, machines and transport 53 facilities, plants and equipment, all the various forms of real capital that can so greatly increase

54 the efficiency of productive effort (Owolabi and Ajayi, 2013).

55 Bakare (2011) defined Capital formation as the proportion of present income saved and invested

- in order to augment future output and income. It usually results from acquisition of new factoryalong with machinery, equipment and all productive capital goods. Capital formation is
- 58 equivalent to an increase in physical capital stock of a nation with investment in social and
- 59 economic infrastructure (Kanu and Ozurumba, 2014). Capital formation can be classified into
- 60 private domestic investment and public domestic investment (Ugwuegbe and Uruakpa, 2013).
- 61 The public investment includes investment by government and public enterprises. Domestic
- 62 investment is equivalent to fixed capital formation plus net changes in the level of inventories.

This study therefore defines Capital formation as the process of building up the capital stock of acountry through investing in productive plants and equipment.

5 Jhingan (2003) defines economic growth as a process whereby the real per capita income of a

66 country increase over a long period of time. According to him, economic growth is measured by

67 the increase in the amount of goods and services produced in a country. Economic growth occurs

68 when an economy's productive capacity increases which, in turn is used to produced more goods 69 and services.

Economic growth is the increase in the amount of the goods and services produced by an
economy over time. It is conventionally measured as the percent rate of increase in real gross
domestic product, or real GDP. Growth is usually calculated in real terms, i.e. inflation-adjusted

- terms, in order to net out the effect of inflation on the price of the goods and services produced.
 In economics, "economic growth" or "economic growth theory" typically refers to growth of
 potential output, i.e., production at "full employment," which is caused by growth in aggregate
- 76 demand or observed output (Anyanwu, 1998).
- Economic growth is best defined as a long-term expansion of the productive potential of the economy. Sustained economic growth should lead to higher real living standards and nation's economic growth can be measured in terms of its national income and the real per capital income. Economic growth is very important goal of macro-economic policy because of the role it plays in economic development (Elhanah, 2004). It is an increase in the capacity of an economy to produce goods and services, compared from one period of time to another. Economic growth can be measured in nominal terms, which include inflation, or in real terms,

which are adjusted for inflation. For comparing one country's economic growth to another, GDP

85 or GNP per capita should be used as these take into account population differences between

- 86 countries (Bakare, 2011).
- This study thus sees economic growth as the annual records of national income or output in Nigeria. One major determinant of economic growth is the availability of natural resources.
- 89 These factors determine the capability of an economy to grow. Countries with high natural
- 90 endowment have higher growth potentials than those that are less naturally endowed (Bakare,
- 91 2011).

92 There are numbers of theoretical issues and empirical studies that established the relationship 93 between capital formation and economic growth. The neo-classical synthesis, established that for 94 an economic agent, saving plus borrowing most equal asset acquisition. It follows that in a 95 closed economy national saving and domestic investment will always be equal. Thus, a high rate 96 of capital lead to a high rate of productivity which brings about growth (Babalola, 2003).

97 Capital naturally plays an important role in the economic growth and development process. It has 98 always been seen as potential growth enhancing player. Capital formation determines the 99 national capacity to produce, which in turn, affects economic growth. Deficiency of capital has 100 been cited as the most serious constraint to sustainable economic growth (Owolabi and Ajavi, 101 2013). It is therefore not surprising that the analysis of capital formation has become one of the 102 central issues in empirical macroeconomics. One popular theory in the 1970s, for example, was, 103 that of the "Big Push" which suggested that countries needed to jump from one stage of 104 development to another through a virtuous cycle (Hernandez-Cata, 2000) in which large 105 investments in infrastructure and education coupled with private investment would move the 106 economy to a more productive stage, breaking free from economic paradigms appropriate to a 107 lower productivity stage. Growth models like the ones developed by Romer (1986) and Lucas 108 (1988) predict that increased capital accumulation can result in a permanent increase in growth 109 rates.

110 The relationship between capital formation of the nation and economic growth has been 111 documented in a number of empirical investigations. The result which has been found in several 112 analyses is that causality exists between capital accumulation and economic growth (Okonkwo, 113 2010). Jhingan (2006) stressed that the process of capital formation is cumulative and self-

feeding. It involves three inter-related conditions; (a) the existence of real savings and rise in

them; (b) the existence of credit and financial institutions to mobilize savings and to direct them

to desired channels; and (c) to use these savings for investment in capital goods (Jhingan, 2006). Therefore, we can understand that savings is the major determinant of capital formation (Apuu,

117 Interefore, we can understand that savings is the major determinant of capital formation (Apud, 118 2014). It is widely believed that an increase in the proportion of national income devoted to 119 capital formation is only one avenue for growth. Therefore people are encouraged to save more 120 than to consume more, because a growing economy requires a constant flow of fund for 121 investment in other to assure a supply of capital goods adequate for production of consumer 122 goods and replacement of obsolete equipment (Iyoha, 2007).

123 Over the years, the growth rate of capital formation in Nigeria has not been satisfactory. It has always been very low and often negative. In the drive towards rapid economic growth and the 124 125 Nigerian vision of being one of the twenty biggest economies in the world come 2020, expert 126 opinion is that the economy should be growing at the rate of at least 15 percent per annum (Soludo, 2010). Jhingan (2006) argued that the rate of capital formation is low in less developed 127 128 countries, the reason being that they lack in those factors which determine capital formation. 129 This brings about capacity under-utilization as resources (human and material) are not 130 adequately mobilized to bring about substantial economic growth. Such growth can only be 131 possible if there is continuous increase in the capital stock of the nation to be brought about by massive public and private investment in the country (Iyoha, 2007). 132

From the foregoing, it can be observed that emphasis has been on capital formation as a major determinant of economic growth. However, there is conventional perception that the most pertinent obstacle to economic growth is the shortage of capital.

136

138 **Statements of the Problem**

- 139 In 1986, the Nigerian government pursued a structural adjustment programme (SAP) which
- 140 shifted emphasis from public sectors to private sectors (Apuu, 2014). The goal was to encourage
- 141 private domestic savings and private domestic investment for capital formation in order to
- 142 enhance economic growth (Bakare, 2011). The supposed relationship between capital formation
- 143 and economic growth is that through financial services such as savings and deposit mobilization, 144 credit creation, it increases the accumulation of capital which in turn is expected to enhance
- 145 economic growth of the country (NPC, 2004).
- 146 However, capital formation in Nigeria has been characterized by fluctuations which may be
- 147 responsible for lack or inadequate social infrastructure such as roads, power supply and health 148 facilities. The speed and the strength of economic growth in Nigeria have not been satisfactory 149
- which contributes equally to the decline in capital formation over time. (Oloyede, 2001).
- 150 For instance, during 1980s, gross fixed capital formation average was 21.3 percent of GDP in
- 151 Nigeria. This proportion increased to 23.3 percent of GDP in 1991 and declined drastically to 14.2 percent of GDP in 1996. It picked and increased to 17.4 percentage in 1997 and average 152
- 153 21.7 during 1997 to 2000. The gross fixed capital formation rose from 22.3 percent of GDP in
- 154 2000 to 26.2 percent in 2002 and declined to 21.3 percent in 2005. The capital formation rate in
- 2008 was 0.060 which represent 6% of the GDP (CBN, 2008). 155
- 156 By implication, the initial optimism expressed about public sector reforms has not been met as
- Nigeria continues to be confronted with low rate of economic growth. The rate of infrastructure 157 158 development is very slow in the country which hinders foreign and domestic investment
- 159 (Chirinko, 1999 as cited by Bakare, 2011). The skills of labour are poor and technological
- 160 backwardness hampering the process of new inventions and innovations (Ajao, 2011). Hence
- low capital accumulation is the main obstacle faced in achieving the goal of sustained economic 161
- 162 growth in Nigeria (Okonkwo, 2010). Overall, the empirical evidence on the performance of
- 163 capital formation is mixed. While some studies had positive effects other showed negative effect.
- 164 Judging fluctuation trends of GCF to GDP, This study intends to study the relationship existing 165 between the two variables. The study also intends to complement the existing literature by
- 166 investigating empirically the extent to which capital formation has impacted on economic growth 167 in Nigeria.

Objectives of the study 168

- 169 The major objective of the study is to examine the effect of capital formation on economic growth in Nigeria. The specific objective of the study are to: 170 171
 - determine if capital formation has any significant impact on economic growth in Nigeria.
 - determine the direction of significant causal relationship between capital formation and economic growth in Nigeria.
- 174 This paper is organized into five sections, section one comprises the introductory background of
- 175 the study. Section two covers the theoretical framework and literature review. Section three gives
- information about the research methodology. Section four deals with empirical results and 176
- 177 discussion. Section five covers the summary of findings, policy implications and policy
- 178 recommendations.
- 179

172

173

180 **REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE**

181 **Conceptual Review**

- 182 Capital formation is the process of building up the capital stock of a country through investing in
- 183 productive plants and equipment. Capital formation, in other words, involves the increase of

184 capital assets by efficient utilization of the available materials and human resources of the 185 country (Gbenga and Adeleke, 2013). It is the increase in the stock of both material and human 186 capital by making available a part of society's currently available resources. It results when some 187 proportion of society's present income is saved and invested in order to increase material as well 188 as human capital (Jhingan, 2006). The meaning of capital formation is that society does not apply 189 to the needs and desires of immediate consumption but directs a part of it in the making of 190 capital goods, tools and instruments, machines and transport facilities, plants and equipment, all 191 the various forms of real capital that can so greatly increase the efficiency of productive effort

- 192 (Owolabi and Ajayi, 2013).
- 193 Bakare (2011) defined Capital formation as the proportion of present income saved and invested 194 in order to augment future output and income. It usually results from acquisition of new factory 195 along with machinery, equipment and all productive capital goods. Capital formation is 196 equivalent to an increase in physical capital stock of a nation with investment in social and 197 economic infrastructure (Kanu and Ozurumba, 2014). Gross fixed capital formation can be 198 classified into gross private domestic investment and gross public domestic investment 199 (Ugwuegbe and Uruakpa, 2013). The gross public investment includes investment by 200 government and public enterprises. Gross domestic investment is equivalent to gross fixed 201 capital formation plus net changes in the level of inventories.

202 The Determinants of Capital Formation

Capital formation is the main key to economic growth. It reflects effective demand and, on the other hand, it creates productive efficiency for future production. However, the level of impact of capital formation on economic growth depends on the intensity of its determinants. Thus, these determinants could be savings, foreign direct investment (FDI), gross domestic product (GDP), interest rate, population growth (Jhingan,2006), money supply, exchange rate (Anyanwu,1993). In the opinion of most economists, it is believed that changes in any of these factors, affect capital formation either positively or negatively, which in turn affect the economy as a whole.

210 Savings

211 Mankiw (2000), states that if savings rate is high, the economy will have a large capital stock 212 and high level of output. If the savings rate is low, the economy will have a small capital stock 213 and a low level of output. Todaro and Smith (2002), opined that capital accumulation results 214 when some proportion of present income is saved and invested in order to augument future 215 output and income. Ramsey (2006) states that high savings contribute to higher investment on 216 capital assets and hence, higher GDP. Bakare (2011), opined that savings contributes to higher 217 investment on capital assets and hence higher GDP. Apeh and Apuu (2015) observed that the 218 central idea of traditional development theory is that increasing savings would accelerate growth 219 rate of capital formation. The higher the income per capita, the higher the consumption and 220 savings rates thereby increasing the capital stock.

221 Foreign Direct Investment

- Al-Shamsi (2005) stressed that foreign direct investment is a significant part of capital formation in the country. Foreign direct investments consist of external resources, including technology, managerial and marketing expertise and capital. All these generate a considerable impact on host nation's production capabilities. At the current level of gross domestic product, the success of government's policies of stimulating the productive base of the economy depends largely on
- her ability to control adequate amount of foreign direct investments comprising of managerial,
- 228 capital and technological resources to boost the existing production capabilities. However, some

229 analysts (known as the dependence school) are strongly opposed to pro foreign direct investment 230 perspectives. Anyanwu (1993) argued that foreign investments bring to the home country, "a 231 package of cheap capital, advanced technology, superior knowledge of foreign market for final 232 products and capital goods, immediate inputs and raw materials". He argued that developing 233 countries need to employ export oriented development strategies in order to meet their foreign 234 exchange and employment requirements and that such orientation is much more likely to succeed 235 if these countries can acquire "capital export markets". Such markets he maintained are precisely 236 what multinational companies with their worldwide sourcing and marketing can offer.

237 Ajao (2011) argued that developing countries' economic difficulties do not originate in their 238 isolation from advance countries, but that the most powerful obstacle to their development comes 239 from the way they are joined to their international system. He maintained that multinational 240 corporations transfer of technologies to developing countries result in mass unemployment; that 241 it result to monopoly rather than inject new capital resources; displace rather than generate local 242 business and they worsen rather than ameliorate the country's balance of payment. The 243 dependence school rejects the pro foreign direct investment analysts' depiction of the benefits 244 derived from participation in the international economy.

245 246

247 Surplus Labour

248 Donwa and Odia (2009) points out how underdeveloped countries suffer from disguised 249 unemployment on a mass scale. This surplus labour force can be put to work on capital projects 250 like irrigation, drainage, roads, railways, and houses. They can supply simple spare tools by 251 farmers and food by their families and through that way, surplus rural labour force can be a 252 source of capital formation. Aiyelogbon (2011) on the other hand suggested that economic 253 growth takes place when capital accumulates with withdrawal of surplus labour from rural sector 254 and its employment in the industrial sector. Such workers are paid the subsistence wage which is 255 less than the prevailing market wage rate. This leads to profits which are invested by capitalists

256 for capital formation.

257 **Population Growth**

Jhingan (2006) argued that as population increases, per capita available income declines as people are required to feed more children with the same income. It means more expenditure on consumption and a further fall in the already low savings and consequently in the level of investment. Furthermore, a rapidly growing population with lower incomes, savings and investment compels the people to use a low level technology which further retards capital formation.

264 Interest Rate

265 High interest rate discourages investors and low interest rate encourages investors and the 266 existence of high interest rate acts as an obstacle to growth of both private and public investment in an underdeveloped country (Jhingan, 2006). In an underdeveloped country, businessmen have 267 268 little savings out of undistributed profits, they have to borrow from the banks or from the capital market for the purpose of investment and they would borrow only if interest rate is low. A low 269 270 interest rate policy is a cheap money policy. It makes public borrowing cheap, keeps the cost of servicing public debt low and thus helps in financing economic development. Even from the 271 272 point of view of foreign investors, the availability of cheaper money for 'complimentary funds'

- 273 encourages private foreign investment.
- 274 Government Assets

275 According to Mark (2012) Government assets and their value at the time of assessment are the 276 main factors behind capital formation. Governments begin capital formation by buying land in 277 times of economic stagnation, when property values fall. In such times, they are also liable to 278 seize land. It is then their choice to hold onto the land or to sell it. Favourable government 279 policies aim at fostering investment-friendly environment through provision of basic 280 infrastructural facilities, subsidies, tax concessions, investment allowances and low interest rate, 281 high disposable incomes and business profits also determine capital formation (Donwa and 282 Odia,2009).

283 284

285 **Theoretical review**

286

287 Harrod-Domar Economic Growth Model

288 This theory named after two famous economists, Sir Roy Harrod of England and Professor 289 Evesey of United State of America who independently formulated the model in the early 1950's. 290 This basic model assumes that it is a closed economy and that there is no government, no 291 depreciation of existing capital so that all investment is net investment, and all investment (I) 292 comes from savings (S). The model describes the economic mechanism by which more 293 investment leads to more growth. For a country to develop and grow, it must divert part of its 294 resources from current consumption needs and invest them in capital formation. Diversion of 295 resources from current consumption is called saving. While saving is not the only determinants 296 of growth, the Harrod-Domar model suggests that it is an important ingredient for growth. Its 297 argument is that every economy must save a certain proportion of its national income if only to 298 replace worn-out of capital goods. The model shows mathematically that growth is directly 299 related to saving and indirectly related capital output ratio. Suppose we define national income as 300 Y, growth as G, capital output ratio as K, saving as S, and investment as I, and average saving 301 ratio as s, and incremental capital output ratio as k, then we can construct the following simple model of economic growth. 302

304	S=Y	1
305	Saving (S) is some proportion of national income (Y)	
306	$I = \Delta k$	2
307	Investment (I) is defined as the change in capital stock (K)	
308	$G = \Delta Y / Y$	3
309	Growth is defined as change in national income (ΔY) divided by the value	e of the national
310	income. But since the total stock, K, bears a direct relationship to total nat	tional income, or output
311	Y, as expressed by the capital/output ratio k, then it follows that	
312	K/Y=k	4
313	$Or \Delta K / \Delta Y = K$	5
314	Finally, since total national saving (S) must equal total investment (I), we	e can write this equality
315	as;	
316	S=I	6
317	But from Equation (1) above we know that S=Y and from Equations (2) and (3) we know that
318	I= $\Delta K = k\Delta Y$. It therefore follows that we can write the identity of saving	ng equaling investment
319	shown by Equation (6) as	
320	$S=Y=k\Delta Y=\Delta k=I.$	7

321 Or simple as $S.y = K\Delta y$ 322 $\Delta Y/Y = G = s/K$

The simplified version of the famous Harrod –Domar equation in the theory of economic growth 323 324 implies that the rate of growth of GNP ($\Delta y/y$) is determined jointly by the national saving ratio, 325 S, and national capital/output ratio, k. More specifically, it says that the growth rate of national 326 income will directly or positively be related to saving ratio (the more an economy is able to save-327 and invest-out of given GNP, the greater will be the growth of that GNP) and inversely or 328 negatively; relate to the economy's capital/output ratio (the higher the K, the lower will be the 329 rate of GNP growth). In order to grow, an economy must save and, therefore invest, a certain 330 proportion of their GNP. The more an economy can save, the more it can grow for any level of 331 the rate of growth depends on how productive the investment is (Bakare, 2011)

332

333 The Solow Neo-classical Model of Economic Growth

- 334 In the 1950s, MIT economist Robert Solow presented a new model of economic growth that 335 addressed limitations in the Harrod-Domar model. Following the seminal contributions of 336 (Solow, 1956 and 1957) and (Swan, 1956), the neoclassical model became the dominant 337 approach to the analysis of growth. Between 1956 and 1970 economists redefined 'old growth 338 theory known as the Solow neoclassical model of economic growth. Building on a neoclassical 339 production function framework, the Solow model highlights the impact of capital, population 340 growth and technological progress, on growth in a closed economy setting without a government 341 sector. The key assumptions of the Solow model are:
- 342 It is assumed that the economy consists of one sector producing one type of commodity that can
- 343 be used for either investment or consumption purposes.
- 344 The economy is closed to international transactions and the government sector is ignored.
- 345 All output that is saved is invested; that is, in the Solow model the absence of a separate
- 346 investment function implies that Keynesian difficulties are eliminated since ex ante saving and 347 ex ante investment are always equivalent.
- 348 Since the model is concerned with the long run there are no Keynesian stability problems; that is,
- 349 the assumptions of full price flexibility and monetary neutrality apply and the economy is always 350 producing its potential (natural) level of total output.
- Solow abandons the Harrod–Domar assumptions of a fixed capital–output ratio (K/Y) and fixed capital–labour ratio (K/L).
- The rate of technological progress, population growth and the depreciation rate of the capital stock are all determined exogenously.
- The Solow growth model is built around the neoclassical aggregate production function and focuses on the proximate causes of growth:
- 357 $Y_t = f(K_t, A_t L_t)$
- 10

8

- 358 where Y is real output, K is capital, L is the labour input and A is a measure of technology (that 359 is, the way that inputs to the production function can be transformed into output) which is 360 exogenous and taken simply to depend on time. Sometimes, A is called 'total factor 361 productivity'.
- 362 It is worthy to point out two major things that are vital;
- Time(t) does not enter the production function directly except through capital(K), labour(L) and technology(A).
- 365 A and L enter multiplicatively into the model. AL is called "effectiveness of labour" and
- 366 technological progress that enters in this way is called "labour augmenting" or "Harrod Neutral".

12

- 367 Technology is "capital augmenting" if technology enters as
- 368 Y = f(AK, L)
- and "Hicks Neutral" when Y = Af(K, L) 369
- 370 In the neoclassical theory of growth, technology is assumed to be a public good. Applied to the 371 world economy this means that every country is assumed to share the same stock of knowledge
- 372 which is freely available; that is, all countries have access to the same production function.
- 373 The model assuming a situation where there is no technological progress. Making this
- 374 assumption of a given state of technology will allow the economy to concentrate on the relationship between output per worker and capital per worker. Therefore rewritten as:
- 375
- 376 Y = F(K, L)
- The aggregate production function given by (2.2) is assumed to be 'well behaved'; that is, it 377 378 satisfies the following three conditions.
- 379 First, for all values of K > 0 and L > 0, $F(\cdot)$ exhibits positive but diminishing marginal returns
- with respect to both capital and labour; that is, $\delta F/\delta K > 0$, $\delta^2 F/\delta K^2 < 0$, $\delta F/\delta L > 0$, and $\delta^2 F/\delta L^2 < 0$ 380 381 0.
- 382 Second, the production function exhibits constant returns to scale such that F (λ K, λ L) = λ Y; that
- 383 is, raising inputs by λ will also increase aggregate output by λ . Letting $\lambda = 1/L$ yields Y/L = F
- 384 (K/L). This assumption allows (2.2) to be written down in intensive form as (2.3), where y =
- 385 output per worker (Y/L) and k = capital per worker (K/L):
- 386 y = f(k)
- 387 where f'(k) > 0, and f''(k) < 0 for all k
- 388 Equation (2.3) states that output per worker is a positive function of the capital-labour ratio and
- 389 exhibits diminishing returns. The key assumption of constant returns to scale implies that the 390 economy is sufficiently large that any Smithian gains from further division of labour and
- 391 specialization have already been exhausted, so that the size of the economy, in terms of the 392 labour force, has no influence on output per worker.
- 393 Third, as the capital–labour ratio approaches infinity $(k \rightarrow \infty)$ the marginal product of capital
- 394 (MPK) approaches zero; as the capital-labour ratio approaches zero the marginal product of
- 395 capital tends towards infinity (MPK $\rightarrow \infty$).

PHHRRHV



396 397 Figure 1



399 Figure .1 shows an intensive form of the neoclassical aggregate production function that satisfies

400 the above conditions. As the diagram illustrates, for a given technology, any country that 401 increases its capital-labour ratio (more equipment per worker) will have a higher output per worker. However, because of diminishing returns, the impact on output per worker resulting 402 403 from capital accumulation per worker (capital deepening) will continuously decline. Thus for a 404 given increase in k, the impact on y will be much greater where capital is relatively scarce than

405 in economies where capital is relatively abundant. That is, the accumulation of capital should 406 have a much more dramatic impact on labour productivity in developing countries compared to 407 developed countries.

408 The slope of the production function measures the marginal product of capital, where MPK = f(k)

409 (+ 1) - f(k). In the Solow model the MPK should be much higher in developing economies

410 compared to developed economies. In an open economy setting with no restrictions on capital

411 mobility, capital flowing from rich to poor countries, attracted by higher potential returns, 412 thereby accelerating the process of capital accumulation (Okonkwo, 2010).

413

414 **Empirical Review**

415 Many studies have been undertaken so far in this area of research. A brief mention of these 416 studies and their results is being made in this section.

417 Bakare (2011) studied capital formation and economic growth in Nigeria. The study covered

418 1979 – 2009 which is a period of thirty (30) years. The ordinary least square multiple regression

419 analytical method was used to examine the relationship between capital formation and economic

420 growth. The study tested the stationarity and co integration of Nigeria's time series data and used 421 an error correction mechanism to determine the long-run relationship among the variables

422 examined. Econometric results suggested the need for the government to continue to encourage

423

savings, create conducive investment climate and improve the infrastructural base of the 424 economy to boost capital formation and promote sustainable growth.

Orji (2009) studied the relationship between foreign private investment, capital formation and economic growth in Nigeria using the two-stage least squares (2SLS) method of estimation using a time span of 1970-2007. The study finds that the long run impact of capital formation and foreign private investment on economic growth is larger than their short-run impact. There is thus, a long-run equilibrium relationship among the variables as the error correction term is significant, but the speed of adjustment is small in both models. It conclude that foreign private investment affect economic growth positively but crowds out private capital formation in Nigeria

432 Okonwo (2010) studied the impact of capital formation on economic growth in Nigeria 433 from 1979-2008. It employ the use of the classical linear regression model (CLRM) through the ordinary least square (OLS) method, the impact of capital formation on the Nigeria's economic 434 435 growth was examined. The result shows that capital formation, government deficit, money 436 supply is positively related to GDP, inflation is negatively linked to economic growth. The result shows that the level of financial development (as proxied by market capitalization of the Nigeria 437 438 Stock Exchange) has significant positive impact on capital formation, Foreign Direct Investment 439 (FDI) showed a negative relationship with capital formation .The empirical findings revealed 440 that capital accumulation has a significant positive impact on Nigeria's economic growth

441 Aiyedogbon and John (2011) carried out a research on military spending and gross capital 442 formation in Nigeria. The study covered from 1980-2010. It employed the econometric 443 methodology of vector error correction model and testing the results using stationarity test, co-444 integration and variance decomposition. It was discovered that military expenditure and lending 445 rate constrained private investment in the short run as well as in the long run while the impact of 446 GDP was significant and positive with GCF in the long run. However, in the short run, its impact 447 was only positive but not significant in explaining GCF in Nigeria in the period under review. 448 Although, the econometric results show that GDP contributes more than any other variables 449 employed in the study in influencing GCF performance in Nigeria, the variance decomposition 450 results show that GCF and MILEX are the most exogenous variables in the model. The study 451 conclude that excessive MILEX has a deleterious impact on the Nigerian economy

452 Desroches et al. (2007) tried to find out the global forces that had led to the decline in the world 453 real interest rate over recent decades and also to find out the key factors that shaped the 454 behaviour of desired world savings and investment. For their analysis, they used the dataset on 455 savings, investment and their determinants from 35 industrialized and emerging economies 456 covering the time period from 1970 to 2004.

- Adofu (2010) examined the impact of foreign direct investment on economic growth in Nigeria from 1986-2004. The study employed the use of ordinary Least Square regression technique. The result shows that FDI has significant impact on economic growth in Nigeria during the period under review.
- 461 Rekha (2011) carried out a research on the short-long run relationship between capital 462 formation and economic growth. The study Covers a long time-period from 1950-51 to 2009 in 463 which annual time series data are used in the analysis. The results showed that capital formation 464 exert influence on economic growth.
- Owolabi and Ajayi (2013) on stock market and economic growth in Nigeria. To achieve this objective, ordinary least square regression (OLS) was employed using the data from 1971-2010. The result indicated that there is a positive relationship between economic growth and all the stock market development variables used. With 97% R-squared and 95% adjusted R-squared, the result showed that economic growth in Nigeria is adequately explained by the model for the period between 1971 and 2010. By implication 95% of the variation in the growth of economic

471 activities is explained by the independent variables. The result of the study, which established 472 positive links between the stock market and economic growth, suggests the pursuit of policies 473 geared towards rapid development of the stock market. Also, all sectors of the economy should 474 act in a collaborative manner such that the optimum benefits of linkages between the stock 475 market and economic growth can be realized in Nigeria.

Godwin (2000) studied the effect of export earnings fluctuations on capital formation in Nigeria.
The study covered the period from 1972-1995. The study used the standard normalization
combined with a moving average approach (reduced form equation). The study concluded that
that the current level of export earnings fluctuations adversely impinges on investment.

- 480 Ogunjiuba and Adeniyi (2004) studied economic growth and human capital development in 481 Nigeria. The study covered a time frame from 1970-2003. The ordinary least squares method 482 (OLS) was adopted as the estimation technique through stepwise regression in order to avoid 483 multicollinearity of explanatory variables. It was found that the parameter estimate is positively 484 signed and the t-statistic for human capital (proxy by RGCF) is statistically significant at 5 per 485 It indicates that it significantly impact on Nigeria's economic growth. cent level. The 486 coefficient of lagged RGDPG is positive and statistically significant at 5 per cent level. The 487 recurrent expenditure on education (RE) is rightly signed and statistically significant at 5 per 488 cent. This empirically shows that investment in human capital accelerates economic growth. 489 Considering PRYE, the result validates the expected positive relationship between this variable 490 and RGDPG. And its coefficient is statistically different from zero at 5 per cent. This result 491 points that human capital formation has a significant impact on economic growth.
- 492 Gbenga and Adeleke (2013) examined the relationship among savings, gross capital formation 493 and economic growth in the Nigeria economy, between 1975 and 2008. The study adopted co-494 integration and vector error correction model VECM as the estimating technique with special 495 reference to VAR causality test. The result of unit root i.e. stationary test showed that the gross 496 domestic product GDP which is a proxy for growth, savings which is a proxy for gross national 497 savings GNS are both integrated of order two i.e. 1 (2) while capital formation which gross 498 capital formation GCF served as its proxy is integrated of order 1 (1) The findings revealed the 499 existence of long run relationship among the three variables as shown from the co-integration 500 regressions which were characterized by high R square, positive coefficient from all parameter 501 estimates and significant of F values from all the three equations. The vector error correction 502 model, apart from corroborating the strong linkage among the three variables, also showed that 503 GDP has stronger influence on both GNS and GCF than the influence of GNS and GCF have on 504 GDP .Also causality test confirmed the existence of the symbiotic relationship among them since 505 GDP and GCF, GDP and GNS, and GNS and GCF all exhibit bidirectional causality. If the 506 findings of this research work are transformed into policy implementation i.e. proper 507 harmonization of policies on economic variables, development of the real sector of economy, 508 acceleration of the growth of capital formation, grass root mobilization of savings from the 509 surplus sector to deficit sector, it will lead to a sustained long run economic growth.
- Pat and Odia (2010) studied the impact of globalization on the gross fixed capital formation in Nigeria from 1980 to 2006 using the ordinary least square. It was found that globalization proxy by openness was negatively and insignificantly related to gross fixed capital formation. Foreign Direct Investment and Gross Domestic Product were positive and significant while exchange rate had a negative impact on GFCF. Interest rate had positive and insignificant relationship with GFCF, therefore globalization has no significant impact on gross fixed capital formation in Nigeria.

Ugwuegbe and Uruakpa (2013) investigated the impact of capital formation on economic growth 517 518 in Nigeria from 1982-2011. The data were collected from Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) 519 statistical bulletin (2011). The study employed Ordinary least square (OLS) technique. Phillip-520 perron test was used to determine the stationarity of the variables, Johasen co-integration test 521 was employed to determine the order of integration while error correction model was employed 522 to determine the speed of adjustment to equilibrium. The empirical findings suggest that capital 523 formation has positive and significant impact on economic growth in Nigeria for the period 524 under review. The result further shows a long run relationship between capital formation and 525 economic growth in Nigeria for the period under review. Therefore emphasis should be place on 526 accumulating capital in Nigeria as this will accelerate growth and development in Nigerian 527 economy. The Nigerian stock market should be deepened more to enhance their contribution to 528 the growth of the domestic economy.

529 Ajao (2011) analysed the stock market development, capital formation and economic growth in 530 Nigeria. The study examines the impact of stock market development on capital formation and 531 growth in Nigeria. The main objective is to determine the relationship between gross fixed 532 capital formations and other independent variables like market capitalization, new issues of 533 instruments, gross domestic product and industrial production index that determine capital 534 formation. Time series data obtained from Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) and Nigerian Stock 535 exchange (NSE) for the period 1981 to 2009 were analyzed using Ordinary Least Square (OLS) 536 analysis. The result of the regression analysis shows that a positive and significant relationship 537 exists between gross fixed capital formation and gross domestic product as well as industrial 538 production index. However, there is an inverse relationship between gross fixed capital 539 formation and market capitalization as well as new issues of instruments; this indicates that the 540 Nigerian Stock Market in its many years of existence has contributed marginally to long-term 541 capital formation in Nigeria.

542 Bakare (2011) in the study focused on financial sector liberalization and economic 543 growth in Nigeria. The ordinary least square multiple regression analytical method was used to 544 examine the relationship between financial sector liberalization and economic growth. Some 545 statistical tools were employed to explore the relationship between these variables. The analysis 546 started with the test of stationarity and co-integration of Nigeria time series data. Thereafter an 547 error correction mechanism was used to determine the long-run relationship among the variables 548 examined. The empirical study found that the data were stationary and co integrated and showed 549 that there is a long run significant relationship between financial sector liberalization and 550 economic growth in Nigeria. The multiple regression results showed a significant and negative 551 relationship between financial sector liberalization and economic growth in Nigeria. These results were robust to a number of econometric specifications. The econometric results and 552 553 conclusion support the need for the government to develop the financial sector towards greater 554 effectiveness and efficiency. In complement of the above, there is the need to revisit the 555 structural adjustment program with a view to enhancing efficiency by altering the structure.

Adelakun and Ojo(2011) on human capital formation and economic growth in Nigeria growth for the period of 1985-2009. Multiple regression model was used to evaluate the relationship between human capital development and economic in Nigeria. The study shows that human capital development is beneficial and remains an essential tool of economic growth in Nigeria. The primary, secondary and tertiary school enrolments, total government expenditure on health and on education were significantly related to economic growth in Nigeria

563 **METHODOLOGY**

564 Model Specification

565 Specifically, this study adopted the popular Harold- Domar growth model and followed a multiple regression approach, thus the growth equation.

- 567 $\Delta Y/Y = G = s/K$
- 568 Where
- 569 ΔY represents the rate of change of national income or rate of GNP
- 570 Y = national income
- 571 G =growth of GNP
- 572 S = national savings ratio
- 573 K = national capital/output ratio
- 574 In this study, RGDP is the dependent variable, while gross capital formation (GCF), 575 government capital expenditure (GCE) are independent variables.
- 576 Expressing equation 22 to accommodate the variables of this study in structural form, we have
- 577 RGDP = f(GCF, GCE,) ...
- 578 The functional equation above is stated in a linear form as;
- 579 $RGDP = \beta_0 + \beta_1 GCF + \beta_2 GCE + u_t...$
- 580 where;
- 581 RGDP connotes real gross domestic product a measure of economic growth, GCF refers to gross
- 582 capital formation, GCE is government capital expenditure, U_t is the white noise random element
- 583 and $\beta o \beta_2$ are parameter
- 584 585

587

586 Estimation Procedure

- To determine the suitability of the time series data employed we ran the unit root test.
- The data was discovered to be all stationary at first difference
- The researcher was advised to investigate for the presence of cointegration equation.
- With the presence of cointegrating equation established, we were advised to develop vector error correction model.
- With the developed VEC model, we employed system equation estimation method to 594 evaluate the model to establish the effect of the independent variables on the dependent 595 variables.
- And finally investigating the direction of causal relationship between the dependent and independent variables using the VEC causality estimation producure.
- 598

599 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

600 Unit Root Test Results

After collecting data with the aid of important tools and method, the next essential step is to present the result, analyze and interpret the result with aim of getting the empirical solution to the problem identified in the research work. So Data analysis means operating on the data to get the pattern and trends in data sets. Data analysis is a very vital step and it is the heart of every

- research work. Therefore the results for the data analysis are presented here.
- 606 Unit Root Test
- 607 The Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) statistic was employed to test for the existence of unit
- 608 roots in the data using trend and intercept. The test results are presented below:

22

23

Somios		50/ and 10	Droh Val	Ordon	Domontra
Series		J%cm.val	F100. V al	Order	Remarks
	Test Statistic				
GCF	-2.022541	-3.544284	0.5691	0(0)	Not Stationary
RGDP	-1.428954	-3.544284	0.8344	0(0)	Not Stationary
GCE	-3.159253	-3.544284	0.1091	0(0)	Not Stationary
Table 2: A Trend and	ugmented Dicke Intercept @ 1 st I	ey Fuller Unit Ro Difference	oot Test		
Table 2: A Trend and Series	ugmented Dicke Intercept @ 1 st I ADF	y Fuller Unit Ro Difference 5%crit.val	oot Test Prob. Val	Order	Remarks
Table 2: A Trend and Series	ugmented Dicke Intercept @ 1 st I ADF Test Statistic	ey Fuller Unit Ro Difference 5%crit.val	oot Test Prob. Val	Order	Remarks
Table 2: A Trend and Series GCF	ugmented Dicke Intercept @ 1 st I ADF Test Statistic -6.668529	ey Fuller Unit Ro Difference 5%crit.val -3.548490	oot Test Prob. Val	Order 1(1)	Remarks
Table 2: A <u>Trend and</u> Series GCF RGDP	ugmented Dicke Intercept @ 1 st I ADF Test Statistic -6.668529 -10.77980	ey Fuller Unit Ro Difference 5%crit.val -3.548490 -3.548490	oot Test Prob. Val 0.0000 0.0000	Order 1(1) 1(1)	Remarks Stationary Stationary

609 Table 1: Augmented Dickey Fuller Unit Root Test

617 Sources: Researcher's compilation from E-view version 9

Co-integration Test

Johansen co-integration test was used to test for the presence of co-integration between the series of the same order of integration. Johansen co-integration test for the series; RGDP and the explanatory variables; GCF and GCE are summarized under table 3. Based on the lag length criteria, the model with lag 2 was chosen with the linear deterministic test assumption.

638 **Table 3: Co integration Test**

639 Unrestricted Co integration Rank (Trace) Test

Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Trace statistics	0.05 crit.val	Prob.*
None [*]	0.603378	50.54809	29.79707	0.0001
At most 1 [*]	0.437395	20.03061	15.49471	0.0097
At most 2	0.031310	1.049738	3.841466	0.3056

Trace test indicates 2 co integrating equations at the 0.05 level, * denotes rejection of the hypothesis at the 0.05 level, ** Mackinnon – Haug – Michel (1999) P – value.

642

In Johansen co integration, the trace statistic is used to determine the presence of co-integration
 among the variables. As observed under unrestricted co-integration rank test, the trace statistics
 indicated two co-integrating equations.

646 Vector Error Correction Model Result

The essence of this estimation procedure is to ascertain the speed of adjustment since the deviation from the long run equilibrium is corrected through the short run adjustments. Having established that there is co-integration equation among the variables, the study confirms the reason to estimate the vector error correction model (VECM). The result for the VECM is stated in table 4 below:

- 652
- 653
- 654

655 Table 4: VECM Test

Error correction	Coefficient	Std. Error	T - statistics	P - values
ECT = C(1)	0.026140	0.005702	1 505500	0.0001
D(RGDP(-1)) = C	(2) -0.499698	0.206049	-4.383388 -2.425149	0.0229
D(GCF(-1)) = C(4)	4) 0.003943	0.002198	1.794385	0.0849
D(GCE(-1)) = C(6)	5) -0.090492	0.025561	-3.540186	0.0016
$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C} \ (8)$	27.07672	5.901321	4.588246	0.0001

656 R-square = 0.506339, F stat = 3.66, Prob(F stat) = 0.007, DW = 2.388

The presence of long run equilibrium relationship among the variables as found from the Johansen co integration led to the application of VECM. With this approach, both the long run equilibrium and short run dynamic relationships associated with variables under study is established. From the table above, the ECT has the expected negative sign with the coefficient of -0.026149, which is fractional and p value of 0.0001 indicating statistical significance.

The R- square is 0.506339 showing that 50.6 percent variation in the dependent variable is explained by the explanatory variables as 49.4 percent difference being explained by variables not captured by this model which is represented by error term (et) The F – statistics of 3.663145 with p value of 0.007 which is less than 0.05 shows that there is statistical significant influence of explanatory variables on the dependent variables. This entails that all the independent variables jointly impact on economic growth in Nigeria. The DW as indicated in the above table has the value of 2.3 indicating nonexistence of auto correlation among residuals.

670

671 Granger Causality

672 **Table 5**

673

VEC Granger Causality/Block Exogeneity Wald Tests Date: 06/07/17 Time: 10:44 Sample: 1984 2015 Included observations: 29

Dependent variable: D(RGDP)

Excluded	Chi-sq	Df	Prob.
D(GCF) D(GCE)	12.81526 13.40221	2 2	0.0016 0.0012
All	17.74118	4	0.0014

Dependent variable: D(GCF)

Excluded	Chi-sq	Df	Prob.
D(RGDP) D(GCE)	15.74294 14.46911	2 2	0.0004 0.0007
All	25.09290	4	0.0000

Dependent variable: D(GCE)

Excluded	Chi-sq	Df	Prob.
D(RGDP) D(GCF)	7.809917 28.20695	2 2	0.0201 0.0000
All	28.59747	4	0.0000

674

675 Test of Research Hypotheses

676 In order to determine the probability that a given hypothesis is true or false Statistics are 677 employed. Hypotheses are of two types namely null and alternative hypothesis. So in testing the

678 first hypothesis, p-value of the t-statistics in VECM are employed, while the p-value of the f-

679 statistics in VEC granger causality Test is used for the second hypothesis

680 Hypothesis One

681 Capital formation has no significant impact on economic growth in Nigeria.

Decision rule: if the p-value of the t-statistics in VECM is less than 5% critical value the null
 hypothesis is rejected.

684 Hypothesis one is tested using vector error correction mechanism (VECM). The null hypothesis

- 685 is rejected if the p value is less than 0.05. From the VECM result presented in table 4 , the p
- value of gross capital formation (GCF) is 0.0849 which is greater than 0.05. The study therefore,
- 687 accept the null hyprothesis and conclude that gross capital formation has no significant impact on
- 688 Nigerian economic growth within the period of the study.

689 Hypothesis Two

- 690 There is no significant causal relationship existing between capital formation and economic 691 growth in Nigeria.
- Decision Rule. Hypothesis of no causality is rejected if the p value is less than 0.005. From the causality test result, the p value of 0.0004 for RGDP and 0.0016 for GCF are less than 0.05;
- 694 therefore, the study rejects the null hypothesis and concludes that bi directional causality runs 695 among RGDP and gross capital formation (GCF).
- 696
- 697
- 698
- 699

700 **DISCUSSION OF RESULT**

701

702 At this point, we analyzed the various test conducted in the cause of testing the hypotheses of the 703 study starting from the pre test that determined the stability of the variables. We conducted the 704 unit root test to ensure stationarity of specified variables using the ADF technique. Both the 705 dependent and independent variables were not stationary at levels in ADF. However, at the 1st 706 difference, every variable turn out to be stationary. Considering the time series using Augmented 707 Dickey Fuller at trend and intercept, all their calculated statistics were > critical values at both 708 5% even at 10% levels of significance. The result shows that the time series are integrated of the 709 same order 1(1), with the application of ADF. Thus, a linear combination of series integrated of 710 the same order are said to be co integrated. The number of times a series undergoes differencing 711 to attain stationarity proves the level of integration in such estimation.

- 712 Johansen cointegration analysis is summarized in table 3 in chapter 4 and model with lag 2 was 713 chosen with the linear deterministic test assumption. In other to find out the long run equilibrium 714 point of real GDP (dependent variable), GCF and GCE (independent variables), Johansen 715 cointegration test was conducted with result showing two (2) co integrating equations as 716 indicated in table 3 above. Therefore, the null hypothesis of no co integration among the 717 variables is rejected since about two variables in the equation are statistically significant at 5% 718 level of degree of freedom. The result therefore, indicated the existence of a long run equilibrium 719 relationship among the variables. This result agrees with the findings of Gbenga and Adeleke 720 (2013) and Ugwuegbe and Uruakpa (2013) who reported long run relationship between gross 721 capital formation and economic growth in Nigeria.
- With the proof of co integration among the variables adopted for estimation, vector error correction mechanism (VECM) presents the only option for predicting the dynamic behavior of real GDP in response to GCF and GCE. The ECT attained the rule of thumb or bore signs of negative sign with the coefficient of -0.026149; this implies that gross capital formation by the above coefficent adjust annually to economic growth for equilibrium to be restored in the long run. This result is supported by the ECT p value of 0.0001 indicating statistical significance.

The R- square is 0.506339 showing that 50.6 percent variation in the dependent variable is explained by the explanatory variables as 49.4 percent difference being explained by variables not captured by this model which is represented by error term (et)

The F – statistics of 3.663145 with p value of 0.007408 which is less than 0.05 shows that there is statistical significant influence of explanatory variables on the dependent variables. This entails that all the independent variables jointly impact on economic growth in Nigeria. The DW as indicated in the above table has the value of 2.3 indicating nonexistence of auto correlation among residuals.

From the results of VECM in the short run, it is revealed that gross capital formation has insignificant positive relationship with economic growth in Nigeria within the period of the study having a coefficient of 0.003943 and pval of 0.0849, indicating that capital formation has not

- contributed significantly to the growth of the Nigerian economy as postulated by the Harold-
- Domar model of economic growth, this result agrees with Odo et al (2016) which found no
- significant relationship between economic growth and capital formation in Nigeria.Government capital expenditure was found to have a significant negative relationship with economic growth
- 742 capital experimentative was found to have a significant negative relationship with e
 - in Nigeria with the coefficient of -0.090492 and pval of 0.0016.
 - However, in the long run as revealed by the upper chamber of the VECM, gross capital formation have a positive insignificant relationship with economic growth as indicated by a tstatistics of 0.23562 and co-efficient of 0.008398 and government capital expenditure indicated a
- significant negative relationship with economic growth confirmed by its negative co-efficient of
 -3.826294 and t-statistics of -5.70675. This shows that gross capital formation has not contributed
- significantly to the growth of the Nigerian economy in the longrun, just as the capitalexpenditure is seen to be harmful to economic growth within the study period.
- 751 From the causality test result, the p value of 0.0004 for RGDP and 0.0016 for GCF are less than 752 0.05; showing that a bi directional causality runs among RGDP and gross capital formation 753 (GCF). Granger causality result also reveal a bi directional causality running from government 754 capital expenditure (GCE) and RGDP as supported by the p value of 0.0012&0.0201 and another 755 two way causality also among GCF (gross capital formation) and GCE (government capital 756 expenditure) indicated with a p-value of 0.0007 & 0.0000. This means that increase in gross 757 domestic product contributes to rise in gross capital formation of Nigeria within the period of the 758 study.
- 759 760

761 **IMPLICATIONS OF RESULT**

762

The result of co integration test as indicated by the trace statistics of the Johansen co integration equations in table 3 in chapter four shows the existence of long run equilibrium relationship between gross capital formation and growth in Nigerian economy. This implies that the result of this estimation can be relied upon in taking long run policy decisions in the economy. It also means that gross capital formation and economic growth policies if pursued vigorously can be beneficial to Nigerian economy in the long run.

As reported above in the short term, from the results of VECM, it is revealed that gross capital formation has insignificant positive relationship with economic growth in Nigeria within the period of the study, indicating that capital formation has not contributed significantly to the growth of the Nigerian economy as postulated by the Harold- Domar model of economic growth.Several reasons has been adduced to explain the positive insignificant or negative 774 contribution of gross capital formation to the growth of the Nigerian economy in both short and 775 longrun periods. Odo et al (2016) suggested that while it is easy to capture public capital 776 investments in the economy, it is usually difficult to collate information on private investment 777 due to the inefficiencies associated with public institutions responsible for data collection and the 778 negative and sharp practices by Nigerian business men who deliberately falsify records so as to 779 evade taxes. They further attributed the poor outcome of gross capital formation in the economy 780 to endemic corruption in the public sector leading to over inflation of capital 781 investments. However, it is the opinion of this study that capital formation need to contribute to 782 economic growth if effort is made to address the issues of corruption in the economy in addition 783 to strengthening public statistical bodies to ensure that all private investments are captured and 784 regulated. The negative outcome of government capital expenditure as it relates to the economy 785 in this study further confirms that our public expenditure programme need to be addressed as its 786 outcome still runs contrary to approrri expectation. The Keynesian economic model presupposes 787 that government capital spending contributes to the growth of any economy, which has not been 788 the case in Nigeria within the period of this study.

- From the causality test result, the p value of 0.0004 for RGDP is less than 0.05; showing that a bi directional causality runs among RGDP and gross capital formation (GCF). Granger causality result also reveal a bi directional causality running among government capital expenditure (GCE) and RGDP as supported by the p value of 0.0012 and another two way causality also amid GCF (gross capital formation) and GCE (government capital expenditure) indicate with a p-value of 0.0000.This means that increase in gross domestic product contributes to rise in gross capital formation of Nigeria visa vese within the period of the study.The implication of the result is that
- any policy which encourages the growth of gross caital formation will also by extension
 influence gross domestic product positively.

799 CONCLUSION

- The objective of the study is to examine the effect of capital formation on economic growth inNigeria. The specific objectives of the study are to:
- 802 1.To determine if capital formation has any significant impact on economic growth in Nigeria.
- 803 2.To determine the direction of significant causal relationship between capital formation and 804 economic growth in Nigeria. The study adopted co integration and vector error correction model 805 in the analysis of the variables specified in the model in addition to VEC granger causality 806 test. The result of the data analyzed showed that; Stable long run relationship was identified 807 between the dependent and independent variables as indicated by four (2) co integrating 808 equations. In the VECM, it was found that GCF has a positive insignificant impact on RGDP in 809 the short run and the long run. GCE revealed negative significant correlation with RGDP both in 810 the short and long run;
- 811 From the causality test result, the p value of 0.0004 for RGDP is less than 0.05; showing that a bi
- 812 directional causality runs amid RGDP and gross capital formation (GCF). Granger causality
- result also reveal a bi directional causality running among government capital expenditure (GCE)
- and RGDP as supported by the p value of 0.0012 and another two way causality also among GCF(gross capital formation) and GCE (government capital expenditure) indicated with a p-
- 815 GCF(gross capital formation) and GCE (government capital expenditure) indicated with a p-816 value of 0.0000.
- 817 Based on the findings and policy implications, the study makes the following recommendations;
- 818 There should be a deliberate collaboration between the government and the private sector
- 819 towards building conducive enabling environment that promotes capital investment in the

21

economy. There should be conscious effort by both government and private sector to address the
issue of corruption in the economy in addition to strengthening public statistical bodies to ensure
that all private investments are captured and regulated

823 that an private investments are capture

824 I	REFERENCES
-------	------------

830

833

836

- Adelaku, O. J. (2011). Human capital development and economic growth in Nigeria.
 European Journal of Business and Management, 3(9), 2011.
- Aiyedogbon, J. & Olu, C. (2011). Military expenditure and gross capital formation in Nigeria.
 European Journal of Humanities and social sciences, 7 (1)
- Ajao, M.G. (2011). Stock market development capital formation and growth in Nigeria.
 International Journal of Current Research 33(6), pp.382-388, June, 2011.
- Anyanwu, J.C. (1993). Monetary economics: theory, policy and institutions ; Onitsha, Hybrid
 Publishers Ltd
- Anyanwu, J.C. (1998). An econometric investigation of determinants of foreign direct
 investment in Nigeria. In investment in the growth process: proceedings of the Nigerian
 Economic Society Conference 1998:219–240. Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Bakare, A.S. (2011). Financial sector liberalization and economic growth in Nigeria: an
 empirical study. *Economics and Finance Review 1(4), pp. 08 16, June, 2011.*
- Engle, R. F. & Granger, C. W. J. (1987). Co-integration and error correction: representation,
 estimation and testing. Econometrica. 55, pp. 251-76.
- Gbenga, W. & Akinola, A. O. (2013). Savings, gross capital formation and economic growth
 nexus in Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and Finance 1(2), 2013*)
- 850 Granger, C. W. (1986). Development in the study of cointegrated economic variables, Oxford
 851 Bulletin of Economic and Statistics 48(3), pp. 213-28.
- 852853 Mankiw, G. (2000). Macroeconomics. New York. Harvard University Press.
- Gujarati D. N. (2004). Basic econometrics. New Delhi. Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company
 Limited.
- Jhinghan M. L. (2003). Advanced economic theory, 12th Edition New Delhi. Vrinda
 Publications.
- B60 Jhingan M. L. (2006). Economics of development and planning. Delhi. Vrinda Publication
 B61 Ltd.
- Kanu. S. I, Ozurumba B.A & Anyanwu F.A. (2014). Capital expenditures and gross fixed
 capital formation in Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable development*

	22
866	
867	Todaro, M.P & Smith, S.C. (2002). Economic development. Singapore. Pearson Education
868	Inc.
869	
870	Nurske, E. (2000) Human capital and economic growth, New York: Macmillan Publishing co.
871	
872	Odo, S.I, Igberi, C.O, Udude C.C. & Anoke C.I. (2016). Public expenditure and economic
873	growth: evidence from Nigeria and South Africa. International Journal of Research in
874	Management, Economics and Commerce, 6(10), 7-28
875	
876	Ogujiuba K. K. & Adeniyi A. O.(2004). Economic growth and human capital development:
877	a case of Nigeria published January1, 2004.
878	

- Okonkwo, A. (2010). Impact of capital formation in Nigeria: an unpublished B.sc research
 project submitted to the department of economics, University of Nigeria Nsuka
 881
- Orji, A. (2009). Private domestic savings mobilization, bank credits and economics growth in
 Nigeria: an unpublished M.Sc research project submitted to the Department of
 Economics, University of Nigeria, Nsukka .
- 885
 886 Owolabo, A. & Ajayi, N.O. (2013). Econometrics analysis of impact of capital market on
 887 economic growth in Nigeria. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 3(1):99-110,2013
- Romer, P. M. (1986). Endogenous technological change. *Journal of Political Economy*, 98, *S71-S102*.
- Solow, R. (1956). A contribution to the theory of economic growth. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 70: 65-94.
- Ugwuegbe S. U. & Uruakpa, P. C (2013). The impact of capital Formation on the growth of
 Nigerian economy. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*4(9), 2013
- 898 899 900

888

- 901
- 902
- 903 904
- 905
- 906
- 907 908