



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_36075
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF CAPITAL FORMATION ON ECONOMIC GROWTH IN NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>-Paper explains the well known facts and definitions in detail in the introduction section which is not usual and those sections should be shortened and written in an analytical format.</p> <p>-Authors explain the differences between neoclassical and Ramsey's in detail but I think these are written in nearly most of the macroeconomics books. These explanations may be shortened.</p> <p>-Authors find significant long-run effect of capital formation on output. But in the short-run there is no significant effect. They apply VECM model for this purpose. But at the end, they use Granger Causality test for to determine the way of causality. However we cannot use Granger causality test for non-stationary variables and transform the equation. See literature for that.</p> <p>-Authors should make a clear distinction between short and long-run effects of capital formation. Interpret it econometrically and economically more clearly in the text.</p> <p>-Table formats and paper overall should be organized according to journal style.</p>	<p>The section had been shortened and written in an analytical format as suggested.</p> <p>The author had shortened the explanation.</p> <p>The author used the VEC Granger causality because the author was looking for the direction of causality among the variables.</p> <p>The short run and long run effect of capital formation was well explained in the work see implication of result in page 17.</p> <p>done</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		