



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_35580
Title of the Manuscript:	CRITICAL FACTORS FOR SUPPLIERS' ASSESSMENT AND SELECTION: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS IN A DEVELOPING COUNTRY
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Conclusion and recommendation: The paper does not make any path breaking or new discovery. It simply states the factors for suppliers' assessment and selection. The authors should provide a significant and elaborated version of recommendation to enable effective assessment of suppliers' performance rather than just recommend 'modern performance management tools'.	The recommendation is provided in line 573 to 576
Minor REVISION comments	Methodology The data in this manuscript was collected by the survey, which is usually used in the social science. However, the authors should conduct operationalization of variables to sets down exact definitions of each variable, increasing the quality of the results, and improving the quality of the design.	The definition of the various variables are provided in Section 2.4, line 187 to 250
Optional/General comments	Well written literature with latest citations.	Noted