



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_33946
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of the Burnout Syndrome on Intrapreneurship: A Practice in Province Ankara
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) Please check your English sentences. There are some mistakes which can be traced in Abstract until Conclusion and Recommendations. 2) In the Introduction part, provide more discussions about Intrapreneurship instead of Entrepreneurship. 3) Also, knowing the fact that there are many studies about Burnout and Intrapreneurship around the globe, justify why your study is still relevant. 4) Please modify your Research Model because it is confusing. Follow a proper way of developing the diagram. The label for Hypotheses also found to be not similar with the written Hypotheses. 5) Hypotheses must be consistent. If you are testing H_0 (Null Hypothesis), make sure that all hypotheses from H1 until H7 follow the same method. Otherwise, write your hypothesis without Null Hypothesis. 6) Please include the Levene's Test for Equality of Variances in your t-Test tables. 7) Please refer to SPSS Survival Manual written by Julie Pallant for a better interpretation of the results. 8) Consider to check again the procedure of conducting the Correlational Analysis. 9) I cannot find any Recommendations for this study. It is merely an explanation about the analysis and findings. 10) Please state the differences or similarities of	All the necessary changes have been done according to the referee review. Thank you for suggestion and comments.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	your research findings with the previous studies.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please consider my comments to improve the quality of your article.	