



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_33095
Title of the Manuscript:	STRATEGIC USE OF EXIT INTERVIEWS: THE ART OF RETENTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The only concern is that most of the references are rather old. It would be good if authors can use references within 5 years in order to grasp latest view on studies recently conducted.	Very good observation from the reviewer. This is one of the objectives of this study, to bridge the literature gap. There is no recent study done on exit interview and absolutely no study on Bangladesh context. This study aims at fulfilling that literature gap. We have duly mentioned this literature gap issue on the revised paper under 'Research Objectives' section. However, the paper includes reference of research papers from 2011, 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005 which have been highlighted in the reference section.
Optional/General comments		