



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_28958
Title of the Manuscript:	Dynamics in Farm Specific Capabilities: The Impact of Capabilities on Competitive Advantage
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Need for language check. A lot of grammar mistakes and phrases that are difficult to understand (foreign exchange earnings (61), consumable purposes (65), high export target (66), severe issues (154), in additionally (157), there are 12 items were consisted.... (215), face to face-to-face (230), were lasted (231)) and many others 2. Explain who were experts (148) 3. Explain what do you mean by "... to keep firms separate from its competitors..." (line 180) 4. "...set of academic with relevant expertise..." (line 207) should be clarified 5. Explain what do you mean by "construct" in second paragraph on p.5 6. What does "...adequate money..." means in general and "...adequate money to devote..." in particular 7. Factors in Table 1 need a major revision in terms of formulation and wording 8. Explain what is "...goodness-of-fit..." criteria (305) 9. Please explain in what way the Structural model (fig. 1) can be used by farms and/or authorities 10. There are no aspects for discussion evident in section 5.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Line 48: one citation from the paper about Mexican chilli production is not an appropriate argument for contribution of agriculture to "... economic well-being of the nations" 2. Line 66: please explain what is the target in numbers	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	or values 3. Table 1: 2 times "Trusted employees" 4. Table 1: not sure if you can say "employees are well aware", "knowledge of customers/competitors"	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Olena Maksymets</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>International Business Management Department, Ukrainian National Forestry University, Ukraine</i>