



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_30244
Title of the Manuscript:	Bond Ratings in Indonesia. What really matters?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-Use '&' instead of 'and' for citations in brackets involving two authors -For citations by different authors all coming at the end of the statement put all of them in the brackets only separating them with semi colon instead of different brackets. Use e.g. (Nurmayanti, 2009;Yulianingsih, 2013) instead of (Nurmayanti, 2009); (Yulianingsih, 2013) -For citations when starting the statement use the format of : Nurmayanti (2009) stated... INSTEAD of (Nurmayanti,2009) stated -Avoid usage of points listing e.g. a, b, or 1, 2, 3... etc but instead adhere to continuous pros way of writing except for hypothesis stating only -References in reference page should strictly adhere to either APA referencing or Harvard Referencing, avoid mixture or any referencing that dilutes the comprehensiveness and persistence required	I appreciate your comments to revise the references. The reference section used endnote software and is directly linked to the paper, following APA reference. Major revision has been performed in the reference part, while other references cannot be changed – for example: the use of “and” for citations in brackets involving the two authors.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>