



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_28958
Title of the Manuscript:	Dynamics in Farm Specific Capabilities: The Impact of Capabilities on Competitive Advantage
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It is a good work, but I considerate that it has to strengthen several points: It not indicated why only selected those variables and not include for example the prices It must be defined which dimensions are considered in the variables: quality management marketing capabilities. It has to justify theoretically why the relationship between marketing capability and competitive advantage is stronger than the relationship between quality management capability and competitive advantage. It must be to strengthen the part of results discussion towards the contribution in agricultural sector. It is recommended to support more theoretical study, it makes references to authors without further theoretical support in comparative advantage	A clear explanation on selecting those variables has been provided (Line 117 -123, 151 - 154, 160 - 162) Definitions of variables have been provided (Line 123 – 127, 154 – 155, 164 – 166, 182 - 185) The statement should be amended as the relationship between quality management capability and competitive advantage is stronger than the relationship between marketing capability and competitive advantage. Justification has been provided (Line 388 – 395) Discussion towards the contribution in the agriculture sector has been provided (Line 432 – 466) Theoretical support for RBV, resources, capabilities and competitive advantage have been provided.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	To describe in more detail the application of the questionnaire as well as the results obtained from the same	Line 240 - 244
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is a generally good job but it needs more theoretical support in the variables and in the results	