----Original Message----From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz> Sent: Sat, Sep 7, 2013 at 5:47 PM To: Managing Editor Subject: RE: Request for final decision for manuscript number 2013_AJEA_4929

I have checked the manuscript entitled "The Relationship between Phenotypic, Testicular Traits and Serum Testosterone Levels in Pre-pubertal Male Boer Goat Crosses" (2013_AJEA_4929).

I agree with the reviewer DH that "the scientific content is justified and the study is well executed", and that the presentation of the results is not perfect.

I my opinion, before acceptation of this manuscript for publication in AJEA some further amendments are needed.

Please find my suggestions in the attached file.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Edward Wilczewski Faculty of Agriculture, University of Technology and Life Sciences in Bydgoszcz, Poland

Attached Part:

Abstract

1. The authors write:

"Although, results show a non-significant relationship between TT levels and many body conformation traits, serum testosterone levels were lowly and positively correlated to BL (r = 0.19), BW (r = 0.19), CG (r = 0.13), HTW (r = 0.11) and SC (r = 0.18)."

Whereas coefficients for: CG (r = 0.13), HTW (r= 0.11) and SC (r = 0.18) were non-significant.

I suggest removing this part of sentence.

2. The authors write:

"In addition, SC $(23.22 \pm 0.86$ cm, 31.95 ± 2.64 kg, and 24.53 ± 1.43 cm) and BW $(34.72 \pm 2.98$ kg, 26.05 ± 1.35 cm, and 37.46 ± 3.44 kg) increased (*P*<.01) linearly from week 6 through week 12, respectively."

I agree with the reviewer (DH). This sentence should be corrected. I suggest removing values from this sentence (values are in tables and there is no need to re-write them in the text). Maybe like this: In addition, SC and BW increased (P<.01) linearly from week 6 through week 12.

3. "TT levels increased from week 0 to week 3, after which there was a decinto week 6"

According to Table 1. these differences are non-significant. So you cannot w that TT levels increased or declined in this period. The same sentence shou improved in lines 175-176.

Part 2.2. Body Conformation Measurements

Line 94 - is: wk 0/31 instead 0/131

Moreover, according to the reviewer (DH) suggestion, the phrase: "body weigl BW, kg (recorded using a MTIAHS500 Sheep and Hog Scale System) and, body condition score, BCS, (scored subjectively on scale of 1 = emaciated to 5 = obes should be improved.

Results and discussion

lines 181-182 The authors write: "SW is slightly negatively correlated (r = -0.08) with TT levels, which is correlated with SC (r = 0.18), BL (r = 0.19), BW (r = 0.19), CG (r = 0.13)," Whereas coefficients for: SW vs. TT and TT vs. CG were non-significant.

Note: Modification was done in this document ONLY to hide the email id.