SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_AJEA_7200**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT APPLICATION RATES OF SINGLE SUPER PHOSPHATE ON THE PERFORMANCE, NUTRIENT UPTAKE AND NODULATION OF PIGEON PEA IN DEGRADED ULTISOL**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work presented deals with quite exciting issue of phosphorus application rates, which deserves due consideration because of its importance in plant health and improved productivity.. Thus, it requires thorough editorial work including the technical language.	Revisions have been made
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		Corrections has been made