



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_7200
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT APPLICATION RATES OF SINGLE SUPER PHOSPHATE ON THE PERFORMANCE, NUTRIENT UPTAKE AND NODULATION OF PIGEON PEA IN DEGRADED ULTISOL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	Introduction: Contains statements about the reasons for this investigation and a concise analysis of the essential background, using the relevant literature on the subject. Materials and methods: Clear and repeatable. Results: Results are relevant to international audience. Provides full comprehension of the data reported in tables, but results in the text are not presented with clarity and precision. I suggest to rewriting interpretation of results. Discussion: Underlines the significance of the results and place them in the context of previous research.	The results was rewritten as suggested Corrected.
Minor REVISION comments	Line 11 and 72: two-year experiment; Line 44, 46, 47: the frequent use term Pigeon pea in sentences; Line 110: single; Line 144: The nitrogen uptake of pigeon pea (Table 6) was..... (avoid the construction of sentences: Results in table 6 shows). The same comment for Line 150; Line 150-152: Please, rewrite the sentence to make it clear; Line 184: the sentence is not clear Check and uniform for all units: kg/ha or kg / ha; also check and uniform for all data: 1,493.3 or 1493.3;	Corrected Pigeon pea / <i>cajanus cajan</i> used interchangeably. Corrected Corrected corrected
Optional/General comments	I find this article interesting and topic of investigation is very important regarding sustainable agricultural production and possibility to economize of fertilizer application, but regarding grammatical incorrectness I would like to suggest authors to consult English spoken person for the review of the text. I would like to propose this manuscript to be accepted for publication after mentioned revision.	Alright