



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_4419
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of supplemental irrigation, nitrogen and genotype on the bread wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) productivity in Morocco
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

[\(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline\)](http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	(1). Line-21: “.., similar as 160 kg N/ha” should be “.., which is statistically similar to 160 kg N/ha”. (2). Line-54: “... intake of nitrogen ..” should be “... nitrogen rate or dose ..” (3). Line-95: “ nitrogen was not affected the grain yield” should be “ nitrogen did not affect the grain yield”	All corrections have been taken into consideration. They are highlighted in yellow in the text.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	(a). Legend should be given at the end of the Table 1. (e.g. Moy G/I, MoyI, etc.) (b). Line-103: “.. I*N, G*N and N*I*G ..” should be “.. I×N, G×N and N×I×G ..” (c). Line-145: “.... Irrigation in the hearing....” should be “.... Irrigation in the heading....”	All corrections have been taken into consideration. They are highlighted in yellow in the text
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	(i). Table 1 can be presented/arranged in a better way for more readability/understandable.	All corrections have been taken into consideration. They are highlighted in yellow in the text