



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_4419
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of supplemental irrigation, nitrogen and genotype on the bread wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) productivity in Morocco
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

[\(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline\)](http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Title: -The title of this paper better be read as "Effects of supplemental irrigation and nitrogen applied on yield and yield components of bread wheat at the Saïs region of Morocco" Introduction: - Authors needs to state why this study was conducted, and how it was different from earlier similar works. What was the knowledge gab? M&M: - Use the Zadoks scale for all stage. - give the pedigree of all genotypes used in this study. Results and discussions: - Fig 1, it's not clear; change the colors. - give the different groups of genotypes for all level of nitrogen. - Change Ln 105 see another paper. - how you calculate WUE? - where the other table for the next parameters (HI, GW and NG per m²)	All corrections have been taken into consideration. They are highlighted in yellow in the text.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript in general is not very clear. Revising the way the results are presented and discussed may improve its readability.	