

**EFFECT OF DIETARY INCLUSION OF GINGER (*Zingiber officinale*) DRIED WITH
DIFFERENT METHODS ON PERFORMANCE AND GUT MICROBIAL
POPULATION OF BROILER CHICKS**

Abstract

Effect of dietary inclusion of differently processed ginger on performance and microbial population of broiler chicks were investigated in a 52-days feeding trial. A total of three hundred one-day old (arbor acre) broiler chicks were used for the experiment, the birds were allotted into ten dietary treatments of five replicates and six birds per replicate in a 3x3 factorial arrangement of completely randomized design. Weights of the birds were taken weekly throughout the experimental period. Birds were fed *ad-libitum* such that diet 1 was the basal diet (BD) without ginger, diets 2, 3 and 4 were BD+ sundried ginger at 1, 1.5, 2% inclusion levels respectively, diets 5, 6 and 7 were BD+ air-dried ginger at 1, 1.5 and 2% inclusion levels respectively, diets 8, 9 and 10 were BD+ oven-dried ginger at 1, 1.5 and 2% inclusion level respectively. On day 52 of the experiment, the birds were slaughtered. Sections of the ileum was cut and aseptically emptied into a sterile bottle for microbial analysis.

Broilers fed diets containing ginger had no significant ($P > 0.05$) differences on the average initial body weight, average final body weight and average daily feed intake. Although the birds fed with diet containing oven-dried ginger at 2% inclusion level had the least ($P > 0.05$) numerical difference of the average daily feed intake. The average body weight gain and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were not significant across the dietary treatments. Birds fed diet without ginger had higher body weight gain and best feed conversion ratio ($P < 0.05$).

The total Aerobic and coliform microbial counts of broilers were not significantly ($P > 0.05$) affected by the dietary treatments. Although the total aerobic microbial count was reduced in birds fed diets containing ginger when compared with the control.

Keywords: Ginger, Drying methods, Microbial population, Performance, Broilers

31

32 **INTRODUCTION**

33 Numerous studies have been done to improve the efficiency of poultry products. Considering the
34 importance of broiler growth, proper development of the gastrointestinal tract is necessary to
35 make optimal use of feeds and to provide appropriate conditions to actualize the genetic potential
36 of birds (Torres- Rodrigues *et al.*, 2005). Thus, appropriate supplements should be added to their
37 feed given the nature of metabolism and digestive system. Given the possible adverse effects in
38 poultry caused by the use of antibiotic growth promoters (AGP) and bacteria resistance, careful
39 selection and use of appropriate non-antibiotic additives in poultry nutrition is very important
40 (Barraw *et al.*, 1992). The alternative feed additives used in livestock and poultry nutrition
41 include probiotics, prebiotics, symbiotics, organic acids and medicinal plants (Doyle, 2003).

42 Feed additives are added in animal feeds to improve their nutritive value, boost animal
43 performance by increasing their growth rate, better feed conversion efficiency, greater livability
44 and lowered mortality in poultry birds (Onu, 2010).

45 Herbs could be expected to serve as feed additives due to their suitability and preference, lower
46 cost of production, reduced risk of toxicity, minimum health hazards and they are also
47 environmentally friendly (Devegowda, 1996). Recent research works on herbal formulations as
48 feed additives have shown encouraging results as regards weight gain, feed efficiency, lowered
49 mortality and increased livability in poultry birds (Kumar, 1991; Babu *et al.*, 1992; Mishra and
50 Singh, 2000; Deepak *et al.*, 2002; Jahan *et al.*, 2008).

51 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

52 Ginger root was purchased from a local market in Ibadan, Nigeria. The ginger used was observed
53 for any physical defect, it was surface cleaned and washed in running tap water to remove
54 adhering debris after which the samples was divided into three portions. The first portion was
55 oven dried at 60⁰C for 72 hours; the second and third portions were sundried and air-dried at an
56 average temperature of 32.4⁰C and 30.9⁰C respectively. After drying, the dried ginger was
57 ground into powder using commercial blender. The powdered ginger samples were stored in an
58 airtight container until needed for use. The samples were analysed for chemical composition
59 according to Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) at the University of Ibadan,
60 Nigeria.

TABLE 1: Proximate Composition of Processed Ginger

PARAMETERS	SUNDRIED GINGER	AIRDRIED GINGER	OVENDRIEDGINGER
CRUDE PROTEIN	11.53	13.33	11.01
CRUDE FIBRE	15.35	9.88	14.87
ETHER EXTRACT	4.12	4.46	3.97
DRYMATTER	88.90	89.36	92.42
ASH	6.80	7.20	6.51
GROSS ENERGY	3.38	3.39	3.38

Diets formulation

Ten experimental diets were formulated such that diet 1 served as the control diet which contained no ginger. Sun dried ginger was included in diets 2, 3 and 4 at 1.0%, 1.5% and 2.0% respectively. Diets in 5, 6 and 7 contained 1.0%, 1.5% and 2.0% air dried ginger respectively. Diets 8, 9 and 10 contained 1.0%, 1.5% and 2% oven dried ginger respectively. The composition of the experimental diets is shown in Table 2.

Management of Experimental Broiler Chicks:

The chicks were offered experimental diets and water *ad libitum*. The feed intake was determined as the differential between the quantity of feed served and left over. The weight gain was determined by weighing chicks initially and thereafter on a weekly basis until termination of the experiment.

Microbial analysis

Thirty broilers were sacrificed and eviscerated. The ileum was separated aseptically and the digesta within the 2/3rd caudal area of the ileum was collected aseptically into sterile universal bottles. Microbial assay was carried out using methods describe by Barrow and Feltharn (1993). Media used were prepared according to manufacturers' specification. The standard plate count technique was used in the microbial load determination. One millimeter of the digesta described above was used for serial dilution in sterile 15ml test tubes, containing 9ml 0.1% sterile peptone water and vortex. Serial dilution of digesta was made to 10^{-3} dilution level. 1ml of the dilution

was pipette and inoculated on Plate count agar and Mac Conkey agar and was incubated at 37°C for 18 – 24 hours. Discrete colonies on plates were counted using colony counter and estimated in log₁₀ CFU/ml.

Experimental Design and Statistical Analysis

The experimental design was 3 x 3 factorial arrangements in a completely randomized design (CRD) and the data obtained were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SAS (1999) and difference among treatments means were separated using SAS macro (1998).

Table 2: Gross Composition of Experimental Basal Diets

INGREDIENTS	STARTER (kg/100kg)	FINISHER (kg/100kg)
Maize	55.00	56.50
Soybean	33.00	---
Wheat Offal	---	10.00
Fish meal (72 %)	0.50	0.30
GNC	4.60	9.50
FF soya	3.00	20.00
Oyster shell	0.50	1.00
L-lysine	0.15	0.10
Dl-methionine	0.25	0.15
B.Premix	0.25	0.25
Salt	0.25	0.25
DCP	2.50	1.95
Total	100.00	100.00
Calculated nutrients		
Crude protein (%)	23.11	19.72
Metabolizable Energy (Kcal/kg)	3005.31	3000.39
Crude fibre (%)	3.82	3.79
Ether extracts (%)	3.86	5.51
Calcium (%)	1.02	1.12
Available phosphorus (%)	0.55	0.45

GNC- Groundnut Cake; FF Soya- Full Fat Soya; DCP- Dicalcium Phosphate
B. Premix- Broiler Premix

127

128 **Table 3: Effect of Different Processing Methods of Ginger on Growth Performance**
 129 **Characteristics of Broiler Chicks**

PARAMETERS	METHODS				
	Control	Sundried	Airdried	Ovendried	SEM
AIBW/bird (g)	38.62	44.44	43.33	47.63	1.02
AFBW/bird (g)	1941.43	1801.11	1893.33	1862.06	70.06
ADFI/bird/day (g)	94.07	93.18	95.13	93.25	1.38
ABWG/bird/day(g)	38.80 ^a	35.85 ^b	37.76 ^{ab}	37.01 ^{ab}	1.42
FCR/bird/day	2.43	2.61	2.54	2.53	0.10

130 Means with different superscript are significantly different from each other (P<0.05)

131 AIBW: Average Initial Body Weight, AFBW: Average Final Body Weight, ADFI: Average Daily Feed Intake, ABWG: Average
 132 Body Weight Gain, FCR: Feed Conversion Ratio

133 **Table 4: Effect of Graded Inclusion Levels of Ginger on Growth Performance Characteristics of**
 134 **Broiler Chicks**

PARAMETERS	INCLUSION LEVEL				
	0%	1%	1.5%	2%	SEM
AIBW/bird (g)	38.62	47.16	42.22	46.03	1.02
AFBW/bird (g)	1941.43	1876.19	1837.78	1842.54	70.06
ADFI/bird/day(g)	94.07	94.66	95.35	91.54	1.38
ABWG/bird/day(g)	38.80	37.31	36.64	36.65	1.42
FCR/bird/day	2.43 ^b	2.55 ^{ab}	2.63 ^a	2.51 ^{ab}	0.10

135 Means with different superscript are significantly different from each other (P<0.05)

136 AIBW: Average Initial Body Weight, AFBW: Average Final Body Weight, ADFI: Average Daily Feed Intake, ABWG: Average
 137 Body Weight Gain, FCR: Feed Conversion Ratio

138 **Table 5: Interaction Effect of Processing Methods and Inclusion Levels of Ginger on Growth Performance**
 139 **Characteristics of Broiler Chicks**

PARAMETERS	METHODS										
	CONTROL		SUNDRIED		AIR-DRIED			OVEN-DRIED			SEM
	INCLUSION LEVEL	0%	1%	1.5%	2%	1%	1.5%	2%	1%	1.5%	
AIBW/bird (g)	38.62	46.66	40.00	46.66	43.33	40.00	46.66	51.48	46.66	44.76	1.02
AFBW/bird (g)	1941.43	1860.00	1693.37	1850.0	1886.67	1973.33	1820.00	1881.91	1846.67	1857.62	70.06
ADFI/bird/day(g)	94.07	95.85	91.36	92.31	96.33	97.62	91.23	91.61	97.06	91.09	1.38
ABWG/bird/day(g)	38.80 ^a	37.01 ^{ab}	33.74 ^b	36.80 ^{ab}	37.62 ^{ab}	39.46 ^a	36.19 ^{ab}	37.32 ^{ab}	36.73 ^{ab}	36.96 ^{ab}	1.42
FCR/bird/day	2.43 ^b	2.60 ^{ab}	2.73 ^a	2.52 ^{ab}	2.58 ^{ab}	2.51 ^{ab}	2.54 ^{ab}	2.47 ^{ab}	2.66 ^{ab}	2.47 ^{ab}	0.10

140 Means with different superscript are significantly different from each other (P<0.05)

AIBW: Average Initial Body Weight, AFBW: Average Final Body Weight, ADFI: Average Daily Feed Intake, ABWG: Average Body Weight Gain, FCR: Feed Conversion Ratio

Results

There were no significant differences ($P>0.05$) in all the performance indices measured for the birds fed diet containing ginger from different drying methods, except for the average body weight which was significantly ($P<0.05$) influenced. Birds fed with dietary treatments without ginger had the highest body weight gain (38.80g) while birds fed with sundried ginger had the lowest weight gain (35.76g). The feed conversion ratio of broilers fed diet containing ginger from different processing methods throughout the experimental period showed no significant ($P>0.05$) difference. Although the best mean value was obtained from broilers fed diet without ginger.

The varying inclusion levels of ginger inclusion in the diet do not significantly ($P>0.05$) influence the performance of the broiler chicks. However, the feed conversion ratio was significantly ($P<0.05$) affected. Birds fed with diet with ginger at 1.5% inclusion level had the highest mean value (2.63) when compared with birds fed diet without ginger which had the lowest mean value (2.43)

The interaction effect of the different processing methods and inclusion levels of broilers fed dietary treatment showed no significant ($P>0.05$) difference in the average daily feed intake of the birds. Although birds fed oven-dried ginger at 1.5% inclusion level had the highest value (139.9g) while birds fed with sun-dried ginger at 1.5% inclusion level recorded the lowest value (128.30g).

There was significant ($P<0.05$) influence of both factors on the average body weight gain of the birds, with the highest value obtained from birds that consumed diet without ginger and Air-dried ginger at 1.5% inclusion level (38.80g) and (39.46g) respectively while those fed sun-dried ginger at 1.5% inclusion level had the lowest value (33.74g). The FCR of birds fed diet without ginger recorded the least value (2.43) and significantly ($P<0.05$) differ from birds fed Sun-dried at 1.5% inclusion level which had the highest mean value (2.84).

Table 6: Effect Dietary Inclusion of Processed Ginger on Microbial Population of Broiler Chicks

Parameters log (cfu/ml)	Methods				SEM
	Control	Sundried	Airdried	Ovendried	
Total Aerobic count	3.76	3.53	3.50	3.27	0.088
Total Coliform count	3.31	3.31	3.32	3.30	0.057

Table 7: Effect of Graded Dietary Inclusion of Ginger on Microbial Population of Broiler Chicks

Parameters Log (cfu/ml)	Inclusion level				SEM
	0%	1%	1.5%	2%	
Total Aerobic count	3.76	3.43	3.50	3.37	0.088
Total Coliform count	3.31	3.32	3.32	3.30	0.057

Table 8: Interaction Effect of Processing Methods and Inclusion Levels of Ginger on Microbial Population of Broilers

Parameters	Control		Sundried		Air-dried			Oven-dried			SEM
	0%	1%	1.5%	2%	1%	1.5%	2%	1%	1.5%	2%	
Total Aerobic count	3.76	3.47	3.58	3.56	3.54	3.48	3.49	3.29	3.45	3.07	0.09
Total Coliform count	3.31	3.27	3.35	3.31	3.28	3.25	3.43	3.41	3.35	3.31	0.06

The different processing methods of ginger had no significant ($P>0.05$) effect on the total aerobic count and total coliform count of the broiler chicken. However, birds fed with basal diet without ginger (control) had the highest mean value (3.76), while birds fed with oven-dried ginger had the lowest mean value (3.27). The mean values of total coliform count were not significantly ($P>0.05$) different across the birds fed the dietary treatments. Birds fed with diet containing air-dried ginger recorded the highest mean value (3.32), while those fed with diet containing oven-dried ginger recorded the lowest mean value (3.30).

There were no significant ($P>0.05$) variations observed for the total aerobic count and the total coliform count of the broilers fed dietary treatments. However, birds fed with basal diet without ginger (0%) level of inclusion were observed to have recorded the highest total aerobic count (3.76) compared to other birds fed diets containing ginger, while the lowest total aerobic value was observed in birds fed diet that contained 2% ginger. The mean values (3.32) of total coliform counts were highest on birds fed diet that contained 1% and 1.5% ginger and lowest (3.30) on birds fed diet that contained 2% ginger. Although no variation ($P>0.05$) was observed across the values for the graded inclusion levels, but it was observed that birds with 1% and 1.5% inclusion levels recorded higher total coliform counts.

The result of the interaction effect of the processing methods and inclusion level showed that there was no interaction ($P>0.05$) observed in the processing methods and inclusion levels of ginger on the total aerobic count and the total coliform count of the birds. However a significant ($P<0.05$) reduction in the total aerobic count was observed in birds fed diet dietary treatment compared to the birds fed diets without ginger. Birds fed with diet that contained no ginger had the highest value (3.76) and the lowest value (3.29) was observed on birds fed oven-dried ginger at 1% inclusion level. There was no interaction observed in the processing methods and inclusion level of ginger on the total coliform count of the broiler chicks. However, the numerical value showed chicks fed with diet containing air-dried ginger at 2% inclusion level had the highest total coliform count (3.43) while the lowest value (3.25) was observed on birds fed diet containing air-dried ginger at 1.5% inclusion level.

Discussion

208 The results of feed intake obtained in this study are in agreement with the submission of
 209 Herawati (2006; 2010) that broiler birds fed 1.5-2% dried supplementary red ginger meal had
 210 significantly lower feed intake than those on the control diet while Doley *et al.* (2009) reported
 211 no differences in feed intake for broilers fed with ginger extract over a period of 6 weeks period.
 212 The findings in this study showed that neither the processing method nor the inclusion level was
 213 able to improve the daily weight gain of the birds above what was recorded by the birds that
 214 were fed with the control diet. This was contrary to the reports of Garcia *et al.* (2007) and Al-
 215 Homidan (2005) who observed an increase in weight gain of broiler fed 2% and 6% ginger.
 216 However, the interaction of the processing methods and inclusion levels resulted in an increment
 217 in the total weight gain of birds fed diet that contained air-dried ginger at 1.5% inclusion level as
 218 compared with the control and other interaction effects. This can be attributed to the increase in
 219 their high feed intake which resulted in corresponding increase in body weight gain.

220 The findings in this study showed that birds fed with basal diet, without ginger (control) recorded
 221 the best value, regardless of the processing method adopted, the inclusion level or the interaction
 222 effect of the methods and inclusion level in the overall FCR. The result agree with findings of
 223 Wafaa *et al.* (2012) who reported no significant difference among broiler birds that were fed
 224 0.5%, 1% and 1.5% ginger root powder in feed conversion ratio. The result also compares well
 225 with the findings of Mohammed and Yusuf (2011) who reported no differences in feed intake,
 226 final weight, weight gain and feed conversion ratio when they fed supplemental ginger as a feed
 227 additive in broiler diets at 250g, 500g and 750g inclusion per 100 kg diet.

228 The reports of earlier workers Moorthy *et al.* (2009) and Onimisi *et al.* (2005) that observed
 229 significant feed conversion ratio in ginger fed groups of broilers compared to those fed control
 230 diet.

231 The different processing methods and the inclusion levels of ginger fed to the birds did not differ
 232 in the values of their total aerobic count respectively but were significantly reduced compared
 233 with the control. These findings were similar to Hinton and Linton (1988), Izat *et al.* (1990), and
 234 Byrd *et al.* (2001) who demonstrated that the addition of lactic acid, formic acid and propionic
 235 acid to diets and drinking water efficiently controlled *Salmonella* and *Shigella* species,
 236 *Escherichia coli* and other Enterobacteria in poultry.

There was a reduction in the population of the total aerobic count as a result of the interaction of the different processing methods and inclusion levels of the ginger in the diet fed to the birds. This could be due to the presence of antibacterial compounds such as gingerols, shogaols, vitamin A and B, paradol and zingerine in ginger (Kolapo *et al.*, 2007). The antibacterial activities of the ginger extract are expected perhaps due to the presence of compounds like flavonoids and volatile oils which were dissolved in organic solvents. It was reported that sesquiterpenoids are the main component of ginger attributed to its antibacterial activity (Sahebkar, 2011).

Conclusion

This study showed that the performance characteristics of the broiler chickens were not influenced by the processing methods and inclusion levels of ginger. However, the interaction effect of the processing methods and inclusion levels resulted in a higher weight gain of the birds when compared with the birds fed with basal diet without ginger.

Also ginger supplementation in broiler chickens diets considerably reduced and inhibited the growth of pathogenic microbes in broiler chickens.

References

- AL-Homidan, A.A. 2005 Efficacy of using different sources and levels of *Allium sativum* and *Zingiber officinale* on broiler chicks' performance. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences* 12: 96–102.
- AOAC, 1990. Official methods of analysis. 15th Edition, Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington DC.
- Babu, M., Gajendran K., Sheriff F.R. and Srinivasan G. 1992: Crown Growfit® supplementation in broilers improved their performance. *Indian Poultry Review*, May 23, 27-28.
- Barraw, P.A, Fuller, R. 1992. Probiotics For chickens in probiotics. The scientific basis Chapm. Hall, London. pp. 225-257. Uk.
- Byrd, J.A., Hargis, B.M., Caldwell, D.J., Bailey, R.H., Herron, K.L., McReynolds, J.L., Brewer, R.L., Anderson, R.C., Bischoff, K.M and Callaway, T.R. 2001. Effect of lactic acid administration in the drinking water during preslaughter feed withdrawal on *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* contamination of broilers. *Poultry Science* 80, 278-283.

267 Deepak, G., Jogi, S., Kumar, A., Bais, R., Vikas, K. S. 2002: Effect of herbal liver stimulants on
 268 efficacy of feed utilization in commercial broiler chicken. *Indian Journal of Animal Research*,
 269 36, 1, 43-45.
 270 Devegowda, G. 1996. Herbal medicines, an untapped treasure in poultry production. In: Proc.
 271 20th *World Poultry Congress* New Delhi, India.
 272 Doley, S. Gupta J.J. and Reddy, P.B. 2009. Effect of supplementation of ginger, garlic and
 273 turmeric in broiler chicken. *Indian Veterinary Journal* 86: 644-645
 274 Doyle, M.E. 2003. Alternatives to antibiotic use growth promotion in Animal Husbandry Food
 275 Research Institute. University of Wisconsin
 276 García, V., Catalá-Gregori, P., Hernández, F., Megías, M.D., Madrid, J. 2007 Effect of formic
 277 acid and plant extracts on growth, nutrient digestibility, intestine mucosa morphology and meat
 278 yield of broilers. *Journal Applied Poultry Research*; 16:555-562.
 279 Herawati 2006. Effect of red ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc) phytobiotic addition to the broiler
 280 performance and blood profile. *Pengaruh Penambahan Fitobiotik Jahe Merah.*, 14: 173-142.
 281 Herawati 2010. The Effect of Feeding Red Ginger as Phytobiotic on Body Weight Gain, Feed
 282 Conversion and Internal Organs Condition of Broiler. *International Journal of Poultry Science* 9
 283 (10): 963-967.
 284 Hinton, R. H. and Linton, A. H. 1988. Control of Salmonella infections in broilers chickens by
 285 the acid treatment of their feed. *Veterinary Record* 123: 416-421
 286 Izat, A.L., Tidwell, N.M., Thomas, R.A., Reiber, M.A., Adams, M.H., Colberg, M and
 287 Waldroup, P.W. 1990. Effects of a buffered propionic acid in diets on the performance of broiler
 288 chickens and on microflora of the intestine and carcass. *Poultry Science* 69, 818-826.
 289 Jahan, Z. A., Ahsan, U. H., Muhammad, Y., Tanveer, A., Sarzamin, K. 2008. Evaluation of
 290 different medicinal plants as growth promoters for broiler chicks. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*.
 291 24, 2, 323-329
 292 Kolapo, A.L., Popoola, T.O.S., Sanni, M.O. and Afolabi, R.O. 2007. Preservation of soybean
 293 daddawa condiment with dichloromethane extract of ginger. *Research Journal of Microbiology*.
 294 3: 254-259.
 295 Kumar, O.M 1991. Effect of Liv-52® syrup on broiler performance in North Eastern region,
 296 *Indian Poultry Review*, April 22, 37-38.

297 Mohammed A A and Yusuf M 2011 Evaluation of ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) as a feed additive
 298 in broiler diets. *Livestock Research for Rural Development* 23 (9).
 299 Mishra, S.J., Singh, D.S. 2000. Effect of feeding root powder of *Withania somnifera* (L.) Dunal
 300 (aswagandha) on growth, feed consumption, efficiency of feed conversion and mortality rate in
 301 broiler chicks. *Bioved. (Annual)*, 11, 79-83.
 302 Moorthy, M., Ravi, S., Ravikumar, M., Viswanathan, K. and Edwin, S.C. 2009. Ginger, Pepper
 303 and Curry Leaf Powder as Feed Additives in Broiler Diet. *International Journal of Poultry*
 304 *Science* 8: 779-782.
 305 Onimisi, P.A., Dafwang, I.I and Omaga, J.J. 2005. Growth performance and water consumption
 306 pattern of broiler chicks fed graded levels of ginger waste meal. *Journal of Agriculture for Social*
 307 *Sciences*. 3: 113-119.
 308 Onu, P.N. 2010. Evaluation of two herbal spices as feed additives for finisher broilers.
 309 *Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry* 26: 383-392.
 310 Sahebkar, A. 2011. Potential efficacy of ginger as a natural supplement for nonalcoholic fatty
 311 liver disease. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, 17:271-272.
 312 SAS 1999. SAS / STAT User's Guide. Version 8 for windows. SAS Institute Inc., SAS Campus
 313 Drive, Cary, North Carolina, USA.
 314 Saxton, A.M.1998. A macro for converting mean separation output to lettergroupings in Proc.
 315 Mixed.in proc. 23rd SAS users group intl.,SAS institute carry, NC,PP,243-1246.
 316 Torres-Rodriguez, A., Sartor, C., Higgins, S.E., Wolfenden, A.D., Bielke, L.R., Pixley, C.M.,
 317 Sutton, L., Tellez, G., Hargis, B.M. 2005. Effect of Aspergillus meal prebiotic (fermacto) on
 318 performance of broiler chickens in the starter phase and fed low protein diets. *Journal of Applied*
 319 *PoultryResearch*14:665-666
 320