



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_28260
Title of the Manuscript:	Phenotypic Variation Analysis of Sweetpotato Germplasm Resources from Different Agro-climate Zones
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The results of this research are an important for next research and many researchers. But some minor revisions, corrections should be done. They are; Line 44: Table 1 Line 46: altitude Line 47: 20-40m Line 50: "ramets" . not understand! What do you mean meter or not? Or sweet potato clone, or line??? May be "clone" word is suitable for this paper. This word should be corrected in all paper Line 52: no raised word: it is suitable "were grown" And 2.2 part: row to row spacing was 75 cm while plant to plant distance was 25 cm, and row length was 2.5meters. A distance of 1 m was maintained between the plots. Line 60:was shown in Table 1. Line73-75: foliage color of inmature leaf/ immature leaf color. Table and in the paper statements should be same. And no inmature, it should be immature Vine or vien? Control it please in all paper Line 83:data.... Was obtained Line 88: were given Line 113: 21.68 Line 114: 10.92, 9.70, 8.54 Line 117: delete there were. And correct it please, a number of variation	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	were shown in Table 6. Line 129: delete presented/ was given And "dsitance " should be correct in all paper as distance Line 135: group b had a longer distance than group a, Line 137: unfortunately not understand. Please it should be written more clearly.control it please. Line 143: were changed Line 145: were shown Line 155: delete "studies" Line 169:Veasey Line 170: the results can be givenstill Line 172: sweetpotato Line 176:however , agro-morphological traits..... Line 179: collection center, that they are Line 180: province and the national..... Line 183: the high genetic diversity will be maintained Line 185:the result sentences can be changed as a the result of this reseach will be made easy for selecteing superior.... / or will be important source for selecteing superior.... Ps: in all paper; "segration" word should be changed "segregation" And 18 and 21 references are absent in the paper. Please control them. And; I congratulate for you research that you spend a lot of effort.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	absent	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Sahane Funda Arslanoglu</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Field Crops Department, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ondokuz Mayis Samsun, Turkey</i>