



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_28207
Title of the Manuscript:	Shiga toxin Producing Escherichia coli (STEC) in Food Producing Animals from Trinidad and Tobago
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The results of this study are ver modest and they do not contribute with matter of bovine STEC. The references of Introduction section are olds. If study is about STEC (stx1 and stx2), the authors should be performed the SUBTYPES mainly stx2 (epidemiologic importance). The figure 1 is very bad. This figure missed several details. Serotyping is important performed "H" antigen. This study was performed only SEROGROUP. The authors should be complete serotyping (O:H) for epidemiologic data. And "gene expressions". Only PCR without PHENOTYPIC assays is not very important. The authors should be perfomed the citotoxicity assays with Vero cells (shiga-toxin) because the strains can have gene but without expression.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Brazil