

Productivity of Lentil (*Lens culinaris* L.) as influenced by organic and inorganic fertilizers

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during winter season of 2012-2013 at Agronomy Research Farm, CSKHPKV, Palampur, HP, India to evaluate the effect of biofertilizers and phosphorus levels on lentil. The experiment consisted of 16 treatments comprising of four levels each of biofertilizer (UI, In_{Rh}, In_{PSB} & In_{Rh + PSB}) and phosphorus (0, 20, 40 & 60 kg P₂O₅/ha). Seed and straw yield of lentil was significantly influenced by the different treatments. Significantly higher yield of lentil was obtained in the plots sown with inoculated seed over uninoculated seed (UI). Higher seed as well as straw yield was recorded in the plots treated with phosphorus application over control (Po). Combined inoculation with rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacteria produced the highest seed and straw yield. The highest yield was recorded in the plots received phosphorus 60 kg P₂O₅ per hectare but the maximum response (kg seed/ kg P₂O₅) was at P₄₀. Interaction between biofertilizers and phosphorus levels was also significant in respect of seed as well as straw yield. The maximum yield was recorded in the plots sown with inoculated seed with both biofertilizers and applied 60 kg P₂O₅ per ha (In_{Rh+PSB} + P₆₀).

Key words: Lentil, seed and straw yield, phosphorus, rhizobium, phosphate solubilizing bacteria

INTRODUCTION

Lentil (*Lens culinaris* L.) is an important winter pulse crop grown in Indian sub-continent. In Himachal Pradesh, lentil is the second important rabi pulse crop after chickpea. The area under lentil in H.P. is 600 ha and its productivity is about 667 kg/ha which is low as compared to the national average (Anonymous, 2008-09). Lentil like other pulse crops has been given the secondary importance and is grown on marginal lands with low fertility and receives sub-optimal fertilizer application. It has shown good response to the application of phosphorus as reported by Chaubey *et al* (1999) and Muhammad *et al* (2012). Rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacteria are known to benefit the crop by increasing the availability of soil nitrogen and phosphorus (El Sayed, 1999). The present investigation was carried out to evaluate the effect of biofertilizers and phosphorus levels on lentil production.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during winter season of 2012-13 at Agronomy Research Farm, Chaudhary Sarwan Kumar Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya, Palampur, Himachal Pradesh, India. The experimental site was silty clay loam in texture and acidic in reaction. The fertility status of the soil was low in available nitrogen medium in both phosphorus and potassium. The experiment comprised of 16 treatments of four levels each of biofertilizer (UI= uninoculated, In_{Rh}= inoculation with rhizobium, In_{PSB}= inoculation with phosphate solubilizing bacteria & In_{Rh +PSB}= inoculation with both rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacteria) and phosphorus (0, 20, 40 & 60 kg P₂O₅/ha) was laid in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications having plot size of 3.1 x 3.3m. Lentil variety HPL-5 was used as an experimental material. Row to row spacing was kept 30 cm. The soil of experimental field was silty clay loam in texture, neutral in reaction and medium in available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. The chemical fertilizers Urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash were used as source of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium, respectively. Full dose of phosphorus and potassium and basal dose of nitrogen (10 kg/ha) were applied. The healthy seeds of lentil were inoculated with rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacteria cultures (50 g kg⁻¹ seed) before sowing and were applied to the respective plots as per the layout plan.

The data obtained in respect of various observations were statistically analyzed by the method described by Cochran and Cox (1963). The significance of "F" and "t" was tested at 5 per cent level of significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of rhizobium

Perusal of the data presented in Table 1 revealed that number of plants/m², plant height, dry matter accumulation and number of nodules/plant were significantly highest in combined treatment of seed inoculation with rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacteria but remained at par with inoculation with phosphate solubilizing bacteria as compared to uninoculated and seed treated with rhizobium treatments. Seed inoculation with rhizobium (In_{Rh}) significantly increased (11%) the seed yield over the uninoculated seed treatment (Table 2). It may be due to increase in the availability of soil nitrogen to the plants for increased growth and development as rhizobium present in root nodules of lentil

fix atmospheric nitrogen. Singh *et al.* (2010), Biswas and Bhowmick (2009), Kantar *et al* (1994) and Selim (1995) also reported the similar findings.

Effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria

Seed inoculated with phosphate solubilizing bacteria produced significantly higher seed as well as straw yield. Seed yield recorded in the plots sown with seed inoculated with PSB was 7% and 9% higher as compared to seed inoculated with rhizobium and uninoculated seed, respectively (Table 2). The phosphate solubilizing bacteria help to release unavailable soil phosphorus and may increase the efficiency of applied phosphatic fertilizers. Similar results have been reported by Kumari *et al.*, (2009), Gaur (1990). Singh and Prasad (2014) reported that Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria provided significant influence on plant growth, nodulation, yield and its attributing traits in lentil. Plant growth promoting microbes are an important contributor to biofertilization of agricultural crops. Ponmurugan and Gopi (2006) studied the production of growth regulators by phosphate solubilizing bacteria, while, Chaykovskaya (2001) reported that treatment with phosphate solubilizing bacteria resulted in increased yield of pea and barley^[M1]. These results were close agreement with Biswas and Bhowmick (2009) and Singh *et al.* (2010) for plant growth promotion, nodulation and seed yield in urdbean with Rhizobium and soybean with PSB, respectively. Therefore, the study suggested that phosphate solubilizing bacterial isolates are capable of enhancing the plant height, nodulation, seed yield and its contributing traits by secreting plant growth promoting substances. That is why, the evaluated P solubilizing bacterial isolates were important contributor to improve plant growth and seed yield in lentil. The use of PGPR as inoculants biofertilizers is an efficient approach to replace chemical fertilizers and pesticides for sustainable lentil cultivation.

Combined effect of rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacteria

Combined inoculation with rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacteria produced the highest seed and straw yield (Table 2). Increase of 29% in seed yield and 17% in straw yield was recorded in the plots treated with both rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacteria. This may be due to the increased availability of nitrogen and phosphorus to the plants which in turn results into enhanced absorption of nutrients. El Sayed (1999) also observed the same effect. Jilani *et al.*, (2007) reported that the PSB and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) together could reduce P fertilizer application by 50 % without any

significant reduction ~~of-in~~ crop yield. ~~Therefore, it can be speculated it infers~~ that PSB inoculants / biofertilizers hold great prospects for sustaining crop production with optimized P fertilization. Kumar and Chandra (2008) also observed that inoculation of lentil seeds by PSB increased significantly grain (23.5%) and straw yield (14.0%) of lentil. The present study is well accordance with those earlier findings. The results of Tagore *et al.* (2013) are in close agreement with the present findings who found significant differences in grain and straw yields due to microbial inoculation. The grain and straw yields increased due to microbial inoculation, and the highest seed and straw yields were obtained in inoculation of Rhizobium + PSB and the lowest in the case of control. The increase in grain and straw yield might be attributed to the increased availability of N and P in soil which resulted in higher growth and development and finally yields (Earman *et al.*, 2011).

Effect of phosphorus

Phosphorus application significantly increased both growth as well as seed and straw yield of lentil (Table 1 and 2). The highest seed yield was produced with 60 kg P₂O₅/ha but the maximum response (kg seed/ kg P₂O₅) was with 40 kg P₂O₅/ha. Phosphorus 60 kg P₂O₅/ha gave 61%, 57% and 11% higher seed yield over P₀ (control), P₂₀ and P₄₀, respectively. It may be due to the favourable effect of phosphorus on root and root nodulation development at initial stages and on yield components at later stages. The present results corroborate to the findings of Khare *et al* (1998). The findings of Biswas *et al.* (2015) are very similar to current findings who reported that application of phosphorous up to 60 kg ha⁻¹ increased growth, nodulation, yield attributes, yield and nutrient uptake and was found at par with 45 kg ha⁻¹. The seed yield obtained with 60 kg P₂O₅ ha was 42.5, 22.59 and 1.93% higher over 0, 30 and 45 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, respectively, obviously due to improvement in nodulation, crop growth and yield attributes. Kumari *et al.*, (2009) and Yeshim *et al.* (2008) reported that these results might be due to the fact that application of phosphorous improved the nutrient availability, resulting into greater uptake which might have increased the photosynthesis and translocation of assimilates to different parts for enhanced growth and yield of the crop. In later stage, more assimilates ~~wereare~~ produced than used in growth and development and the excess assimilates ~~wereare~~ diverted to storage compounds resulting into increased seed yield.

Interaction effect of biofertilizers and phosphorus levels

Seed inoculation with both rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacteria in combination with phosphorus 60 kg P_2O_5 /ha significantly increased the seed and straw yield of lentil (Table 2). The higher seed and straw yield was recorded in the plots treated with both rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacteria combined with application of phosphorus 60 kg P_2O_5 /ha. These results are in conformity with those of Azad *et al* (1991). Haque and Khan (2012) reported that phosphatic biofertilizer with inorganic or organic sources of P influenced the growth and yield attributes and yields of lentil. The results of Biswas *et al.* (2015) ~~match~~ are in conformity with the present findings ~~who reported that~~ [M2] maximum seed yield was recorded in the seed inoculation conjointly with Rhizobium + PSB along with application of 60 kg P_2O_5 /ha and it was statistically at par with the seed inoculation conjointly with Rhizobium + PSB plus application of 45 kg P_2O_5 /ha. Therefore, seed inoculation with Rhizobium + PSB along with 45 kg P_2O_5 /ha may be effectively recommended for improving crop growth, microbial population in respect of soil health, nodulation and seed yield of lentil.

CONCLUSIONS

It can be concluded that lentil responded to phosphorus application upto 60 kg P_2O_5 /ha but the maximum response, kg seed per kg P_2O_5 was with 40 kg P_2O_5 /ha. Seed inoculated with rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacteria in combination was proved to be superior over inoculation with either of biofertilizers. Interaction among rhizobium, phosphate solubilizing bacteria and phosphorus application was significant.

REFERENCES

1. Anonymous. Fertilizer Statistics 2008-09.
2. Azad AS, Gill AA, Dhaliwal HS. Response of phosphorus and *Rhizobium* culture on grain yield of lentil. *Lens*. 1991; 18(1-2):14-19.
3. Biswas PK, Bhowmick MK, Kundu MC, Mondal S, Ghosh GK. Conjoint application of biofertilizer and phosphorous levels on growth, nodulation, nutrient uptake and productivity of lentil [*Lens culinaris* Medikus] in red and lateritic soils of West Bengal. *Journal Crop and Weed*, 2015; 11(1):29-32.
4. Biswas PK, Bhowmick MK. Evaluation of different *rhizobium* strain on growth, nodulation and seed yield in urdbean. 2009; *J. Crop and Weed*. 5: 87-89.
5. Chaubey AK, Kuashik MK, Singh SB. Response of lentil to phosphorus and zinc sulphate nutrition. *Crop Research Hisar*. 1999; 17(3): 309-312.
6. Chaykovskaya, LA, Patyka VP, Melnychuk TM. Phosphorous mobilizing microorganisms and their influence on the productivity of plants. In: *Plant Nutrition food security and sustainability of agroecosystem*. Horst W.J. (Ed.) 2001; pp. 668-69.
7. Cochran WG, Cox GM. Planning and analysis of non-experimental studies. In: *Proceedings of the Twelfth Conference on the Design of Experiments in Army Research and Testing*, ARO-D Report 1967; 67(2): 319-36.
8. El Sayed SAM. Influence of *Rhizobium* and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on nutrient uptake and yield of lentil in the New Valley (Egypt). *Egyptian Journal of Soil Science*. 1999; 39(2):175-186.
9. Erman M, Demir S, Ocak, E, Tufenkci S, Oguz F, Akkopru A. Effects of *rhizobium*, *arbuscular mycorrhiza* and whey applications on some properties in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under irrigated and rainfed conditions, yield, yield components, nodulation and AMF colonization. *Field Crops Research*, 2011; 122(1): 14–24.
10. Gaur AC. Phosphate solubilizing micro-organisms as biofertilizers. Omega Scientific Publishers New Delhi pp. 176. 1990
11. Haque MA, Khan MK. Effects of phosphatic biofertilizer with inorganic and organic sources of phosphorus on growth and yield of lentil. *J. Environ. Sci. & Natural Resources*, 2012; 5(2): 225 - 230
12. Jilani G, Akram A, Ali RM, Hafeez FY, Shamsi IH, Chaudhry AN, Chaudhry AG. Enhancing crop growth, nutrients availability, economics and beneficial

- rhizosphere microflora through organic and biofertilizers. *Ann. Microbiol.*, 2007; 57:177-183.
13. Kantar F, Kiziloglu T, Caglar O, Akten S. Lentil yield in relation to rhizobium leguminosarum inoculations in eastern Anatolia. *Lens Newsletter*. 1994; 21(2):36-40.
 14. Khare JP, Tomar GS, Tiwari UK, Sharma HL. Response of lentil to nitrogen and phosphorus levels under rainfed conditions in central India. *Lens Newsletter*. 1998; 15(2):12-14.
 15. Kumar R, Chandra R. Influence of PGPR and PSB on *Rhizobium leguminosarum* Bv. *viciae* strain competition and symbiotic performance in lentil. *World J. Agril. Sci.*, 2008; 4 (3): 297-301.
 16. Kumari M, Vasu D, Hasan Z, Dhurwe UK. Effects of PSB (*Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria*) morphological on characters of *Lens culinaris* Medic. *Biological Forum — An International Journal*, 2009; 1(2): 5-7.
 17. Kumari M, Vasu D, Ul-Hasan Z, Dhurwe UK. Effects of PSB (*Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria*) morphological on characters of *Lens culinaris* Medic. *Biol. Forum-An Intl. J.* 2009; 1:5-7.
 18. Muhammad H, Shah SH, Nazir SM. Differential genotypic response to phosphorus application in lentil (*Lens culinaris* medic). *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*. 2012; 4(1):61-63.
 19. Ponmurugan P, Gopi C. In vitro production of growth regulators and phosphatase activity by phosphate solubilizing bacteria. *Afri. J. Biotechnol.* 2006; 5: 348-50.
 20. Selim MM. Rhizobial inoculation and fertilization on lentil grown under new reclaimed sandy soil conditions. *Egyptian Journal of Agronomy*. 1995; 20(1-2): 137-151.
 21. Singh AV, Prasad B, Shah S. Screening plant growth promontory *rhizobacteria* for improving seed germination and seedling vigor of lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik). 2010; *Environ. Ecol.* 28: 2055-58.
 22. Singh AV, Prasad B. 2014. Enhancement of plant growth, nodulation and seed yield through *Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria* in Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik cv. VL125). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 2014; 3(6) 614-622.
 23. Tagore GS, Namdeo SL, Sharma SK, Kumar N. Effect of *rhizobium* and phosphate solubilizing bacterial inoculants on symbiotic traits, nodule

leghemoglobin, and yield of chickpea genotypes. *International Journal of Agronomy*, 2013; 8 pages

24. Yesim T, Necat T, Yusuf D. Research on the effect of phosphorus and molybdenum applications on the yield parameters in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medic.). *African J. Biotech.* 2008; 7: 1256-60.

Table 1 Effect of biofertilizers and phosphorus levels on the growth of lentil

Treatment	No. of plants/m ²		Plant height (cm)			Dry matter accumulation			No. of nodules/plant	
	90 DAS	120 DAS	90 DAS	120 DAS	At Harvest	90 DAS	120 DAS	At Harvest	90 DAS	120 DAS
P₀	58	50	11.75	21.83	24.83	24.33	52.88	130.18	4.00	6.16
P₂₀	75	71	12.41	23.41	27.00	28.53	62.36	146.19	4.25	6.83
P₄₀	87	83	41.08	26.66	29.41	33.00	70.04	185.97	4.75	8.00
P₆₀	96	93	15.08	27.41	29.91	36.29	78.73	201.70	6.00	8.75
C.D. at 5%	11.98	9.37	1.16	2.28	2.10	1.87	7.76	20.47	0.73	1.14
UI	73	70	12.66	23.25	26.16	29.69	60.30	154.94	4.08	6.74
In_{Rh}	76	74	13.16	24.58	27.66	29.61	64.62	159.63	4.91	7.41
In_{PSB}	79	75	13.16	25.41	27.91	29.69	63.85	163.92	4.66	7.24
In_{Rh+PSB}	88	84	14.33	26.08	29.41	33.16	75.24	185.54	5.33	8.33
C.D at 5%	NS	9.37	1.16	NS	2.10	1.87	7.76	20.47	0.73	NS

Table 2 Effect of biofertilizers and phosphorus levels on yield attributes and yield of lentil

Treatment	No. of plants/m² (at harvest)	No. of primary branches/plant	No. of pods/plant	No. of seeds/pod	Test weight (g)	Biological yield kg/ha	Seed yield kg/ha	Straw yield kg/ha	Harvest index (%)
P₀	57	3.83	49.91	1.68	2.40	139.4	492	902	35
P₂₀	68	4.33	54.91	1.71	2.41	1480	506	974	34
P₄₀	82	5.08	70.33	1.79	2.57	2012	713	1299	35
P₆₀	88	5.33	78.41	1.80	2.561	2192	794	1377	36
C.D at 5%	5.78	0.61	4.61	0.02	0.14	83.49	26.68	75.01	NS
UI	64	4.25	54.16	1.72	2.48	1612	544.80	1067	34
In_{Rh}	74	4.67	62.41	1.75	2.50	1737	605.15	1132	35
In_{PSB}	74	4.58	66.16	1.74	2.45	1770	649.00	1121	36
In_{Rh+PSB}	79	5.08	70.83	1.77	2.57	1959	706.48	1253	35
C.D at 5%	5.78	0.61	4.61	0.02	NS	83.49	26.68	75.01	1.6