



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_27523
Title of the Manuscript:	Productivity and land equivalent ratio of intercropping Egyptian cotton with some winter crops in Egypt
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>compulsory</u> revision comments	1. Journal style- has not been followed 2. Formatting- ensure spacing between words and correct grammar in all section. 3. Abstract (ii) words are too many- check journal format (iii) shorten sentences & clarity required in first section. (iv) do not paragraph (v) at the end write a sentence or two on the conclusion/recommendation of the study. 4. Title- Egypt repeated, 5. Materials and methods- (i) have sub topics to enhance clarity (ii) use numbering according to journal format 6. Results & discussion section- it is not enough to say that results are in agreement with---. What did the authors do & find. 7. Conclusion – poorly presented. Link it to study objectives	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Improve on presentation, grammar and clarity – its not up to journal standard Authors should present well organized paper that will enhance review	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joyce J Lelei Ndemo
Department, University & Country	Department Of Crops Horticulture And Soils, Egerton University Kenya, Kenya