SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEA_26796**
Title of the Manuscript:	**PHENOTYPIC RESPONSES OF ORYZA SPECIES TO SALINE CONDITION AT REPRODUCTIVE GROWTH STAGE**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The objectives of this manuscript was aimed to evaluating the response and morphological effects of salinity stress to Oryza species at reproductive growth stage.** **In Introduction Section:** **-The novelty of the article must be more clear. i.e. the benefit of the research wants to solve???** "Therefore, there is a great deal of urgency for developing 54 rice genotypes which can sustain and set seed under high salt stress condition' Why????? **-The Reference Section include some mistakes must be correct??**	
Minor REVISION comments	**The methodology is written with good manner, except the name of experimental design and statistical procedure must be clearly.** No the plagiarism test is very good it is 88%	
Optional/General comments	**The results and its discussion are good written.**	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Ali E. M. Sharief***
Department, University & Country	***Agronomy Department, Mansoura University, Egypt***