



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_25692
Title of the Manuscript:	Green Manure Source Affects Growth and Vegetative Yield of Fluted Pumpkin
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The paper is well written. It appears very short though. The real manuscript is about 6 pages. Maybe it can qualify as a research note rather than a full paper.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Lines 100-106 – too long sentences, rephrase Line 119...leaves per plant	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Obert Jiri</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Zimbabwe, Zimbabwe</i>