

Impact of foliar spray of growth regulators and micronutrients on the performance of Darjeeling mandarin

Abstract

Investigation was carried out in the farmer's field of Kalimpong to evaluate the effect of foliar application of different level of GA₃ and micronutrients on Darjeeling mandarin. The experimental design adopted was randomized block design in which there was seven main plot treatments representing combinations of three growth regulators (GA₃ @ 7.5 ppm and 15 ppm, BA @ 200 ppm and 400 ppm and 2,4-D @ 7.5 ppm and 15 ppm) and two micro nutrients (Zn @ 0.5% and Boron @ 0.1%). Foliar application of GA₃ at the rate of 15 ppm along with zinc (0.5%) and boron (0.1%) improved growth morphology, fruit yield attributes and also effective in enhancing the fruit yield with better fruit quality. Generally, it could be concluded that the treatment (T₃) seems to be the promising treatment for the hilly region of Darjeeling.

Keywords: Mandarin, growth regulator, micronutrient, morphology, quality,

Introduction

Mandarin (*Citrus reticulate* Blanco.), the citrus species native to Darjeeling district is mandarin commonly known as Darjeeling orange and its nutrition management has become one of the most important factor for improving their plant growth and yield efficiency.

Foliar feeding has been used as a means of supplying supplemental doses of minor and major nutrients, plant hormones, stimulants and other beneficial substances. Therefore, judging when to apply foliar materials to apply and at what plant stage to spray with soil applied organic and inorganic fertilizers are important principles to make best uses of this technique. The application of plant growth regulator (PGR) can provide significant economic advantages to citrus growers when used in appropriate situations as these have proven effective in stimulating a number of desired responses such as increase in fruit size and delay in fruit maturity (Coggins and Hield, 1968). Fruit development is thought to be triggered by hormones (Talon *et al.*, 1990) that the endogenous gibberellins status of the developing citrus ovaries is the limiting factor for the initiation of fruit development. Foliar application of different levels of GA₃ (5, 50, 100 and 500 mg/l) to young fruit let's just after fruit set have been reported to clearly increase the fruit weight, peel thickness, juice content with improved taste of grapefruit (Berhow, 2000). Among the growth regulators, 2,4-Dichloro phenoxy acetic acid (2,4-D) is known to improve the fruit size, yield and fruit quality parameters in citrus (Randhawa and Sharma, 1962).

35 Nutrition management is one of the most important factor in improving the plant
 36 growth and yield through increasing photosynthetic efficiency. Micronutrients deficiency in
 37 soil and plants is a worldwide nutritional problem and very severe in many countries
 38 (Alloway, 2008). In Darjeeling, the nutrient deficiencies particularly micronutrients are
 39 common due to climate and nature of soil. By choosing appropriate fertilizer rates, the grower
 40 can drive a crop toward earlier and heavier fruit setting (Alva *et al.*, 2006). Micronutrients
 41 like zinc and boron (B) are very important for optimal plant growth, physiological and
 42 biochemical pathways in citrus cultivation under agro-climatic conditions of Darjeeling.
 43 Reports (Ashraf *et al.*, 2014) indicated that application of Zn improves the citrus fruit yield
 44 and its juice quality. Foliar or soil supply of Zn increases the biosynthesis of chlorophyll and
 45 carotenoid synthesis that are important for proper performance of photosynthetic process
 46 (Mousavi, 2011). Foliar application of Zn had positive impact on fruit yield and quality of
 47 Kinnow mandarin, sweet orange and grapes (Razzaq *et al.*, 2013). Similarly, application of B
 48 with Zn enhanced the juice content (Ram & Bose, 2000). Application of B increases fruit set
 49 and yield by its role in pollen tube germination and elongation (Abd-Allah, 2006). Naz *et al.*
 50 (2012) also noted that B increases growth and flowering in tomatoes. The foliar application
 51 of Zn and B significantly enhanced fruit yield and juice content, total soluble solids, ascorbic
 52 acid and nonreducing sugar (Asad *et al.*, 2003).

53 Mandarin locally known as “*Suntala*” was once considered excellent in the world for
 54 its taste and flavor. In the present situation it cannot claim its superiority due to deterioration
 55 of fruit quality. Its acreage, production and fruit size have also been declined drastically
 56 mainly due to lack of proper orchard management especially nutrients management which
 57 leads to decline. In absence of data on the exact nutrient that is deficient a multi nutrient
 58 approach is followed to formulate the treatment. Therefore, an attempt is made to study the
 59 efficacy of growth regulators and micronutrients on the performance of this crop.

60 **Materials and Methods**

61 The present study was carried out in the farmer’s field of Kalimpong sub division of
 62 Darjeeling hill, West Bengal during the year 2010 to 2012. The climatic condition of this area
 63 is characterized by high rainfall varies from 2100 to 3000 mm, of which 80% is received
 64 during June to October. Minimum and maximum temperature of the area varies from 2-4°C
 65 and 26-30°C respectively. The relative humidity of the area varies from 65% to 98%. The
 66 topography is mainly hilly terrain and is characterized by anticline and syncline with
 67 undeveloped horizon of brown forest soil. The soil of the experimental field was low in pH

(5.5), organic carbon (1.1 %), available N (171.20 kg/ha), available P (37.14 kg/ha) and available K (367.81 kg/ha). The three growth regulators and micro nutrient were sprayed at pre and post monsoon as a two split doses. The experimental design adopted was randomised block design in which there was seven main plot treatments representing combinations of three growth regulators and two micro nutrients and replicated thrice. The growth regulators and micro nutrient were sprayed at pre and post monsoon as a two split doses taking seven different treatment combinations ie. T₁: Control, T₂: GA₃ 7.5 ppm + zinc + boron (0.5% + 0.1%), T₃: GA₃ 15 ppm + zinc + boron, T₄: BA 200 ppm + zinc + boron, T₅: BA 400 ppm + zinc + boron, T₆: 2, 4-D 7.5 ppm + zinc + boron, T₇: 2, 4-D 15 ppm + zinc + boron. Observations on growth characters i.e. tree height, trunk girth, canopy area, shoot length and flowering intensity were recorded as when required whereas yield parameters viz. fruit set per cent, fruit drop per cent, fruit weight, peel weight, segment number and juice content were recorded after harvesting of fruits. Physio-chemical parameters like Total soluble solids content of fruit in °Brix were determined by a method described by Mazumdar and Majumdar (2003). The total titrable acidity as mentioned by Ruck (1969). The total sugar content of fruits were determined by analyzing the fruits following methods of Lane and Eynon (1943) and ascorbic acid was estimated by following the procedure of Rangana, (1979). Data collected from the field experiment were subjected to statistical analysis appropriate to the design RBD (Randomized Block Design) with 7 treatments and 3 replications (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). For the determination of least significance at 5% level of significance, the statistical table formulated by Fisher and Yates (1963) was consulted.

Results and Discussion

Growth morphology was significantly influenced by growth regulators and micronutrients which are illustrated in Table 1. Application of foliar spray of zinc, boron and growth regulators like GA₃, BA and 2,4-D were found effective. Highest tree height (3.82 m) was recorded in T₃ (GA₃ 15 ppm + Zn + B) as compare to other treatments where as the highest trunk girth (33.95 cm) was observed in T₃ (GA₃15 ppm + Zn + B). This growth promotion may be due to action of gibberellins acid. This promotion of cell division is due to stimulation in G₁ phase to enter S phase as Gibberellins firstly stimulates the cell division in the shoot apex, especially in the more basal meristematic cell from which develop the files of cortex and pith cell (Sachs, 1995). Similar findings were also observed by Eliyeva (1975) in apple and Balakrishna *et al.* (1996) in pomegranate. Plant height and plant girth were increased due to the increased application of micro-nutrient including boron and zinc. Glozer and Grant (2006) also reported the increased tree height and girth of plant in case of

California lemon and sweet cherry respectively on application of nutrients like zinc and boron. Canopy area (455.31 m^2) was highest in T_3 (GA_3 15 ppm + Zn + B) and this might be due to the application of zinc that enhances the photochemical reactions occurring in thylakoid membrane, electron transport through PS-II and increases photosynthetic rate (Roach and Liskay, 2014) and chlorophyll content (Alloway, 2004) which may result increased canopy area. The lowest area of canopy (170.45 m^2) was recorded under treatment representing control plot. However, highest shoot length (5.94 cm) was observed in T_6 (2,4-D 7.5 ppm + Zn + B) and these findings can be supported by Pharis and Kua (1977) who reported the growth of leaves and other plants parts in early stages under the influence of 2,4-D. Moreover, Zurflur and Guilfoyle (1982) mentioned that 2, 4-D can increase the horizontal growth of tissue in leaves and other plants parts by inducing growth promotion by cell division. Maximum intensity of flowering (83.89) was in treatment supplied with GA_3 15 ppm along with zinc and boron. This might be due to the spraying of plant growth regulators and micronutrient that enhanced the auxin production, which in turn controlled abscission. This is in conformity with the findings of Saraswathi *et al.* (2002) who reported the Gibberellins can reduce the senescence of leaves and fruits. Application of boron increases flower numbers and act its role in pollen tube germination and elongation (Naz *et al.*, 2012).

Significant difference was observed among the different treatments with respect to different yield attributing characters as in table 2. Highest fruit set (21.31%) was observed in T_3 (GA_3 15 ppm + Zn + B) and lowest was recorded from trees belonging to treatment representing control. Ashraf *et al.* (2014) also indicated that application of zinc improves the citrus fruit yield and this might be due to involvement of zinc in photosynthesis, activation of enzyme systems, protein synthesis and carbohydrate translocation (Tsonev & Lidon, 2012). Abscission layer at the stem resulting in fruit drop is formed due to imbalance of auxins, cytokinins, and gibberellins (Balal *et al.*, 2011). The cause of drop may be related to competition among fruit lets for carbohydrates, water, and hormones (Davies, 1986). Lowest fruit drop (19.38%) was observed in treatment supplied with 2-4-D, 15 ppm with Zn and B as compare to other treatments and this finding also supported by Negin *et al.* (2012) which are corresponding with the effect of auxin application (Guardiola and Garcia, 1997). Plant growth regulator like 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) have been reported to be effective in controlling fruit drop in citrus (Coggins and Lovatt, 2004). Application of 2,4-D at flowering reduced transiently fruit let growth rate and delayed abscission (Daurte *et al.*, 1996). Micro nutrient in other hand attributes to high translocation of foods to fruit bearing portion of plants promoted by micro nutrient described by Khorsandi (2009) on pomegranate.

Talaie and Taheri (2001) showed that foliar sprays of B and Zn significantly decreased fruit drop and improved fruit quality in ‘Zard’ olives. Highest fruit weight (66.24 g) was in treatment that received GA₃ (15 ppm) along with Zn and B. the beneficial effect of zinc significantly increases the size of fruit in Mandarin and this finding the reports of Hang *et al.* (1987). The average number of segments (10.44) was recorded highest in T₃ applied with GA₃ 15 ppm along with Zn (0.5%) and B (0.1%) as foliar spray. This might be due to the increase of fruit weight and size which ultimately enhanced the number of segments per fruit. Moreover, the positive effect of GA₃ was observed in this study with respect to number of segments. Gibberellins are known for their ability to increase cell enlargement (Davis, 2004), so enhancing fruit growth in certain species such as citrus (Eman *et al.*, 2007). Juice percentage (33.83%) was highest in T₃ (GA₃ 15 ppm + Zn +B foliar spray. Moreover, application of Zn and B enhances the juice content (Ram and Bose, 2000). The lowest juice content (25.12%) was recorded under control and this might be due to the small size of fruit, weight and number of segments per fruit.

Effect of growth regulators and micronutrients on fruit quality was significantly influenced by different treatments as depict in table 3. The maximum TSS (10.36 °Brix) was recorded in T₃ (GA₃ 15 ppm + Zn +B). Singh (1991) studied the effect of GA₃ (150 ppm) as post-harvest application in mango cv. Kesar and Amrapali and found the higher TSS compared to control. Takidze (1981) also found that application of Zinc sulphate significantly increased T.S.S. content in the juice of sweet orange. Total sugar (10.15%) was recorded highest in T₃ (GA₃ 15 ppm + Zn +B). Applying zinc to the trees might improved fruit quality by enhancing formation and translocation of carbohydrates and carbohydrate enzymes (Yogeratnam and Greenham, 1982) which resulted the increased percentage of total sugar in Mandarin. The content of reducing sugar (4.11%) was also highest in treatment T₃ and Tariq *et al.* (2007) in sweet orange, Abd-Allah (2006) in Washington navel also found improved reducing sugar content on boron application. The maximum titrable acidity (0.703%) was recorded in control plot and this might be due to unavailability of micronutrients and growth regulators and zinc and boron deficient may leads to increase in acidity. The data presented, reveals that different combination of growth regulators along with zinc and boron significantly affects ascorbic acid content. Highest ascorbic acid (29.94 mg/100g) was recorded in T₃ (GA₃ 15 ppm + Zn +B). But Sindhu and Singhrot (1993) reported minimum acidity in GA₃ (200 ppm) treated lemon fruits cv. Baramasi. No doubt, foliar application of Zn and B significantly influences the content of ascorbic acid (Asad *et al.*, 2003).

Conclusion

Foliar application of GA₃ at the rate of 15 ppm along with zinc (0.5%) and boron (0.1%) improved growth morphology, fruit yield attributes and also effective in enhancing the fruit yield with better fruit quality. Generally, it could be concluded that the treatment (T₃) seems to be the promising treatment for the hilly region of Darjeeling.

Reference

- Abd-Allah, A. S. E. 2006. Effect of spraying some macro and micro nutrients on fruit set, yield and fruit quality of Washington Navel orange trees. *Journal of Applied Science Res.* 11:1059-1063
- Alloway, B.J. 2008. Zinc in soils and crop nutrition. Second edition, published by IZA and IFA, p. 1-39.
- Alloway. B.J. 2004. Zinc-the vital micronutrient for healthy, high-value crops. International Zinc Association (IZA).
- Alva, A. K., Paramasivam, S. Fares, A., Obreza, T. A. and Schumann, A.W. 2006. Nitrogen best management practice for citrus trees: II, Nitrogen fate, transport and components of N budget. *Sci. Hort.* 1: 223-233
- Asad, A., F.P.C. Blamey and D.G. Edwards. 2003. Effects of boron foliar applications on vegetative and reproductive growth of sunflower. *Ann. Bot.*, 92: 565-570.
- Asad, A., F.P.C. Blamey and D.G. Edwards. 2003. Effects of boron foliar applications on vegetative and reproductive growth of sunflower. *Ann. Bot.*, 92: 565-570.
- Ashraf , M.Y., N. Iqbal, M. Ashraf and J. Akhter. 2014. Modulation of physiological and biochemical metabolites in salt stressed rice by foliar application of zinc. *J. Plant Nutrition*, 37: 447-457.
- Balakrishna, K.; Vekatesan, K and Sambandamurthi, S. 1996. Effect of foliar application of Zn, Fe, Mn and B on yield quantity of pomegranate, cv. Ganesh. *Orissa. J .Hor.* 24:33-35.
- Balal, R.M., M.Y. Ashraf, M.M. Khan, M.J. Jaskani and M. Ashfaq. 2011. Influence of salt stress on growth and biochemical parameters of citrus rootstocks. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 43: 2135-2141.
- Berhow, M.A. 2000. Effect of early plant growth regulator treatments on flavonoid levels in grapefruit. *Plant Growth Regul.* 30: 225-232.
- Coggins CW, Jr, Lovatt CJ (2004). Pest management guidelines: Citrus UC Publication 3441, University of California, Riverside, California.

- 203 Coggins, C.W. and Hield, H.Z. 1968. Plant-growth regulators In: *The Citrus Industry*, (Eds.):
 204 W.Reuther, L.D. Batchelor and H J.. Webber, *Revised edition Uni. Calif. USA*, Vol.
 205 II: 371-389.
- 206 Davies, F.S. 1986. The navel oranges In: Janick, J (eds.) *Horticultural Reviews*. AVI
 207 Publishing Co. Westport, Connecticut.
- 208 Duarte, A. M. M. Trindade, D.T.G and Guardiola, J.L. 1996. Thinning of Esbal clementine
 209 with 2, 4-D. Influence on yield, fruit size and fruit quality. *Proc. Int. Soc. Citriculture*
 210 2: 929-933.
- 211 Eliyeva, Z. 1975. The effects of foliar nutrition with minor elements on the growth and
 212 productivity of apple trees in the Kubinskii region of Azerbaijan. *Sub trop. Kul tar* 8:
 213 98-100.
- 214 Eman, A.A., M.M.M. Abd El Migeed, and M.M.I. Omayma, 2007. GA3 and zinc sprays for
 215 improving yield and fruit quality of ‘Washington Navel’ orange and tree growth under
 216 sandy soil conditions. *Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 3(5): 498-503.
- 217 Fisher, R. A. and Yates, F. 1963. Statistical table for biological, agricultural and medical
 218 research. Oliver and Boyd Ltd., Edinburg.
- 219 Glozer, K and Grant, J.A. 2006. Effects of fall application of urea and zinc sulphate to Bing
 220 sweet cherry spring bud break *Horti. Sci.* 41: 1030-1031.
- 221 Gomez, K. A and Gomez, A. A. 1984. *Statistical Procedure for Agri. Res.* (2nd Ed.). A
 222 Willey-Inter Science Publication (John Wilet and sons). New York: 20-30
- 223 Guardiola, J.L and Garcia, L.A. 1997. Thinning effects on citrus yield and fruit size. *Acta*
 224 *Hort.* 453: 463-473.
- 225 Hang, M. N., Shamy, H. A. and Azab, E. M.1987. Magnesium influence on leafchlorophyll,
 226 leaf mineral composition, yield and fruit quality of Washington Naval orange in
 227 Egypt. *Alexandri J. Agri. Res.* 32: 189-198.
- 228 Khorsandi, S.F.; Yazdi, F.A and Vazifehshenas, R. 2009. Foliar Zinc Fertilization improves
 229 Marketable fruit yield and quality attributes of Pomegranate.*Int. J. Agric & Biol*
 230 11:766-770.
- 231 Lane, J.H. and L. Eyon (1943). Determination of reducing sugar by Fehling’s solution with
 232 methylene blue as indicator. *J. Soc. Chem. Ind.*, **42** : 32.
- 233 Mazumdar, B. C. and Majumder, K. (2003). Determination of chemical constituents. In:
 234 *Method of Physico chemical Analysis of Fruits*. pp. 93-139.
- 235 Naz, R.M.M., S.M. Muhammad, A. Hamid and F. Bibi. 2012. Effect of boron on the
 236 flowering and fruiting of tomato. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 28: 37-40.

- 237 Negin, A. A. Ali, A. K and Kazem, A. 2012. Reducing of citrus losses by spraying of
238 synthetic auxins *I.J.A.C.S* 4 (22): 1720-1724.
- 239 Pharis, R. P and Kuo, C. C. 1977. Physiology of gibberellins in conifers. *Can. J. For Res* 7:
240 299-325.
- 241 Ram, R.A. and T.K. Bose. 2000. Effect of foliar application of Mg and micronutrients on
242 growth, yield and fruit quality of mandarin orange (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco). *Indian*
243 *J.Hort.*, 57(3): 215-220.
- 244 Randhawa, G.S and Sharma, B. B. 1962. Effect of plant regulators on fruit set, drop and
245 quality of sweet orange (*C. sinensis* Osbeck). *Indian J. Hort.* 19: 83-91.
- 246 Ranganna, S. C. 1979. Manual of analysis of fruits and vegetable products. Tata Mc Graw
247 Hill Publishing Company Ltd., New Delhi.
- 248 Razzaq, K.A., S. Khan, A.U. Malik, M. Shahid and S. Ullah. 2013. Foliar application of zinc
249 influences the leaf mineral status, vegetative and reproductive growth, and yield and
250 fruit quality of ‘KINNOW’ mandarin. *J. Plant. Nutr.*, 36: 1479-1495.
- 251 Roach, T. and Liszkay, A.K. 2014. Regulation of Photosynthetic Electron Transport and
252 Photoinhibition. *Current Protein and Peptide Science*, 15: 351-362.
- 253 Ruck, J. A. 1969. *Chemical Method for Analysis of Fruit and Vegetable*. Research Station.
- 254 Sachs, R. M. 1995. Stem elongation. *Ann. Rev. Plant. Physio.* . 16: 73-96.
- 255 Saraswathi, T. Rangasamy, P. and Azhakiamanavalan, R. S. 2002. Effect of preharvest spray
256 of growth regulators on fruit retention and quality of Kinnow mandarin (*Citrus*
257 *reticulata* Blanco). *S. India. Hort.* 51: 110-112.
- 258 Sindhu, S. S and Singhrot, R. S. 1993. Effect of pre-harvest spray of growth regulator and
259 fungicides on the shelf life of lemon cv. Baramasi. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.* 22(3): 204 -
260 206.
- 261 Singh, D. B. 1991. Post harvest treatment of ripening changes and quality of mango fruits cv.
262 Kesar and Amrapali, *Acta. Hort.* 291: 472-478.
- 263 Takidze, R. M. 1981. Determination of mineral nutrient requirement of citrus crop by means
264 of foliar diagnosis. *Sub-trop, Kul'tury* No. 1: 61-68.
- 265 Talaie, A. and M. Taheri, 2001. The effect of foliar spray with N, Zn and B on the fruit set
266 and cropping of Iranian local olive trees. *Acta Hort.* 564:337–341.
- 267 Talon, M. L.; Zacarias and Prima, M. E. 1990. Hormonal changes associated with fruit set
268 and development in mandarin differing in their parthenocarpic activity. *Physiol.*
269 *Plant.* 79: 400-406.

- 270 Tariq, M., M. Sharif, Z. Shah and R. Khan. 2007. Effect of foliar application of
271 micronutrients on the yield and quality of sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* L.). *Pak. J.*
272 *Biol. Sci.*, 10: 1823-1828.
- 273 Tsonev, T. and Lidon, F.J.C.. 2012. Zinc in plants – An overview. *Emir. J. Food Agric.*, 24:
274 322-333.
- 275 Yogeratnam, N. and D.W.P. Greenham,1982. The application of foliar sprays containing N,
276 Mg, Zn and B to apple trees. I. Effect on fruit set and cropping. *J. Hort. Sci.* 57:151–
277 154.
- 278 Zurfluh, L. L and Guiloye, T. J. 1982. Auxin induced change in the population of
279 translatable messenger RNA in elongation maize coleoptiles sections. *Planta* 156:
280 525-527.

281 **Table 1. Effect of micro-nutrient and growth regulators on growth morphology of**
282 **Mandarin**

Treatment	Tree height (m)	Trunk girth (cm)	Canopy area (m ²)	Shoot length (cm)	Flowering intensity
T ₁	3.36	26.45	170.45	2.97	81.60
T ₂	3.53	32.61	394.23	4.05	83.82
T ₃	3.82	33.95	455.31	4.51	83.89
T ₄	3.52	31.26	285.64	3.72	83.27
T ₅	3.73	30.23	328.00	3.80	83.08
T ₆	3.80	26.92	358.92	5.94	83.45
T ₇	3.78	32.81	381.98	4.41	83.01
SE±	0.277	2.020	18.600	0.520	0.479
P _{0.05}	0.639	6.230	57.320	1.600	1.480

283 Note: T₁: Control; T₂: GA₃ 7.5 ppm + zinc + boron (0.5% + 0.1%); T₃: GA₃ 15 ppm + zinc + boron; T₄: BA 200 ppm + zinc + boron;
284 T₅: BA 400 ppm + zinc + boron; T₆: 2,4-D 7.5 ppm + zinc + boron and T₇: 2,4-D 15 ppm + zinc + boron.
285

286 **Table 2. Effect of micro-nutrient and growth regulators on yield attributes of Mandarin**

Treatment	Fruit set (%)	Fruit drop (%)	Fruit weight (g)	Segment number	Juice content (%)
T ₁	19.46	48.54	49.35	7.55	25.12
T ₂	20.24	20.37	62.65	10.44	32.37
T ₃	21.31	23.66	66.24	10.33	33.83
T ₄	19.93	26.41	63.62	9.55	31.02
T ₅	19.94	26.28	63.15	9.66	31.86
T ₆	20.19	22.58	66.19	9.55	30.46
T ₇	19.76	19.38	65.46	10.00	32.38
SE±	0.485	1.130	0.923	0.165	0.289
P _{0.05}	1.490	3.500	2.840	0.509	0.892

287 Note: T₁: Control; T₂: GA₃ 7.5 ppm + zinc + boron (0.5% + 0.1%); T₃: GA₃ 15 ppm + zinc + boron; T₄: BA 200 ppm + zinc + boron;
 288 T₅: BA 400 ppm + zinc + boron; T₆: 2, 4-D 7.5 ppm + zinc + boron and T₇: 2,4-D 15 ppm + zinc + boron.
 289

290 **Table 3. Effect of micro-nutrient and growth regulators on fruit quality of Mandarin**

Treatment	T.S.S (°Brix)	Total sugar (%)	Reducing sugar (%)	Titration acidity	Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)
T ₁	9.50	9.51	3.83	0.703	25.36
T ₂	10.07	10.09	4.01	0.696	29.57
T ₃	10.36	10.15	4.11	0.661	29.94
T ₄	9.77	9.86	3.86	0.675	29.13
T ₅	10.14	9.98	3.89	0.651	29.44
T ₆	9.80	10.00	3.92	0.682	28.15
T ₇	10.08	10.04	3.96	0.690	28.76
SE±	0.105	0.025	0.058	0.002	0.038
P _{0.05}	0.324	0.077	0.026	0.009	0.118

291 Note: T₁: Control; T₂: GA₃ 7.5 ppm + zinc + boron (0.5% + 0.1%); T₃: GA₃ 15 ppm + zinc + boron; T₄: BA 200 ppm + zinc + boron;
 292 T₅: BA 400 ppm + zinc + boron; T₆: 2,4-D 7.5 ppm + zinc + boron and T₇: 2,4-D 15 ppm + zinc + boron.