



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_25302
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Broilers Fed with Diets Supplemented with Moringa oleifera Leaf Meal and Raw and Cooked Seed Meal Vis-à-vis Synthetic Antibiotic
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Title in appropriate: too long (more than 18 words) and it could be written as Replacement value of dietary <i>Moringa Oleifera</i> leaf for synthetic antibiotics on performance characteristics of broiler chickens" or Response of Broilers to dietary <i>Moringa Oleifera</i> leaf, raw and cooked seed meal and synthetic antibiotics. 2. The Author should state the location of the experimental site in Nigeria. (GPS) 3. "Line 83", if the difference is significant P should be ≤ 0.05. 4. Line 89" Another paper is not appropriate, the paper should be stated. 5. If the experimental diet was fed for 7- weeks as stated in the abstract, then the chemical composition Table of the starter diet must be presented. 6. Table 3 must be rechecked and the use of space before (kg) should be uniform. Eg Live weight (kg), Slaughtered weight kg and Lung weight(kg).....The Author should be consistent. A lot of work has been done on Moringa Oleifera Leaf Meal and seed meal especially on response of broiler chickens to the Moringa Products. It will be more interesting if the Author specify the breed of broiler	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	used because phenotype equals to Genotype and Environment and the only vary environmental factors in this study is the Nutrition	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The line spacing must be the same throughout the write up. Histology can be inserted in the key words instead of toxicity Note the style of inserting references after citation. Ref 1,2,3,4,5,6,7 and 8 had no Authors Name, while ref 11, 12, 13 had Authors name. Line 36 differently seed meal had no meaning. Materials and Method Line 106, 108 and 110 " space before kg Line 116 , how did you observe the disruptions on testis and epididymis ?	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The conclusion is very poor and the Author should note all the corrections as this will make the paper more acceptable	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>F.E. Sola-Ojo</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Animal Production, University of Ilorin, Nigeria</i>